International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development-– Volume 9 Issue 6, Nov – Dec 2026
Available at www.ijsred.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Workplace Stress and Employee Well-Being: A Review

Dipendra Nath Subedi[1*], Dr. Rajesh Arora[2]
[1]Ph.D. Scholar, Manipur International University, Centre for Academic Research, Education Wing, RERF, Mount Abu, Rajasthan, India.
[2]Senior Professor, Value Education Department, Manipur International University and CARE, Brahma kumaris, Abu Road, India.
Corresponding author(s): dipendranathsubedi@gmail.com ORCID:0009-0007-8954-5443

----------**********----------

Abstract
Workplace stress is a major occupational and organizational concern that can influence employees' psychological health, job satisfaction, work engagement, and overall well-being. Employees may experience stress due to excessive workload, time pressure, role ambiguity, lack of autonomy, interpersonal difficulties, job insecurity, inadequate organizational support, and challenges in maintaining work–life balance. The present review examines the relationship between workplace stress and employee well-being by synthesizing available literature on job demands, job resources, burnout, work engagement, psychological health, and organizational support. The reviewed evidence indicates that high and persistent job demands are generally associated with increased stress, emotional exhaustion, burnout, and reduced well-being, whereas adequate job resources such as autonomy, social support, feedback, recognition, and opportunities for development may protect employees from negative psychological outcomes. The literature further suggests that employee well-being is influenced not only by individual coping abilities but also by organizational and workplace conditions. The Job Demands–Resources framework provides an important theoretical perspective for understanding how excessive demands and insufficient resources can contribute to burnout, while supportive work environments can promote engagement and positive functioning. Recovery from work-related stress, work–life balance, and effective organizational interventions also appear to play important roles in maintaining employee well-being. Overall, the review highlights the need for organizations to adopt comprehensive approaches to workplace stress management that combine individual-level strategies with organizational changes. Future research should emphasize longitudinal and intervention-based studies across diverse occupational and cultural contexts to strengthen understanding of the long-term relationship between workplace stress and employee well-being.

Keywords: Workplace Stress, Employee Well-Being, Job Demands, Job Resources, Burnout, Work Engagement, Occupational Health, Work–Life Balance

----------**********----------

1. Introduction
Work is an important part of adult life and provides individuals with income, social relationships, identity, purpose, and opportunities for personal development (Taris & Schaufeli, 2015). However, workplace conditions can also become a significant source of psychological and emotional strain. Workplace stress generally occurs when job demands exceed an employee's perceived ability or available resources to manage those demands effectively (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). When stress is prolonged or inadequately managed, it may affect employees' psychological health, physical health, job satisfaction, work performance, and overall well-being (Maslach & Leiter, 2016; World Health Organization [WHO], 2022).

Modern workplaces are characterized by increasing workload, rapid technological changes, performance expectations, job insecurity, changing work arrangements, and continuous communication demands (Bakker et al., 2023; WHO, 2022). Employees may also experience role ambiguity, interpersonal conflict, limited control over work, insufficient recognition, and difficulties in balancing professional and personal responsibilities. These conditions can contribute to persistent occupational

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

stress and negatively influence employee functioning (Luchman & González-Morales, 2013; Schaufeli & Taris, 2014).

Employee well-being is a multidimensional concept that extends beyond the absence of illness. It includes psychological health, emotional functioning, job satisfaction, positive work experiences, social relationships, sense of purpose, and the ability to function effectively at work and in personal life (Taris & Schaufeli, 2015; WHO, 2022). A healthy workplace therefore requires attention not only to productivity but also to the psychological and social conditions that support employee well-being (WHO, 2022).

One important framework for understanding workplace stress is the Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) model. Demerouti et al. (2001) proposed that every occupation involves job demands and job resources. Job demands may include workload, emotional demands, time pressure, and role conflict, whereas job resources may include autonomy, social support, feedback, recognition, and opportunities for development. Excessive demands may contribute to exhaustion and burnout, while sufficient resources may promote motivation and work engagement (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Bakker and Demerouti (2007) further developed the JD-R framework and demonstrated its usefulness for understanding employee burnout and engagement across different occupational settings. Later research has continued to show that the balance between job demands and available resources is closely related to employee well-being and organizational functioning (Lesener et al., 2019; Bakker et al., 2023).

Burnout is one of the most important psychological outcomes associated with prolonged workplace stress. It is commonly characterized by emotional exhaustion, psychological distancing or cynicism, and reduced professional efficacy (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). Maslach and Leiter (2016) emphasized that burnout develops in the context of chronic workplace stress and may have important consequences for both employees and organizations.

Workplace stress may also influence work engagement. While excessive demands can increase exhaustion and disengagement, adequate job resources can support employees' motivation, energy, and involvement in their work. Crawford et al. (2010) demonstrated the importance of job demands and resources in explaining differences in employee engagement and burnout.

Another important dimension is work–life balance and recovery from work stress. Continuous exposure to work-related demands without adequate opportunities for psychological detachment and recovery may prevent employees from restoring their physical and mental resources. Sonnentag and Fritz (2015) highlighted the importance of recovery experiences in understanding how employees cope with job stress and maintain well-being.

Organizational support also plays an important role in shaping employees' experiences of stress. Supportive supervisors, positive interpersonal relationships, recognition, fair treatment, autonomy, and opportunities for professional development may help employees manage job demands more effectively (Nahrgang et al., 2011; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). In contrast, inadequate support and limited control may increase vulnerability to psychological strain (Luchman & González-Morales, 2013; WHO, 2022).

The relationship between workplace stress and employee well-being is therefore multidimensional and dynamic. Individual characteristics, job demands, job resources, organizational culture, leadership, social relationships, and work–life conditions can interact to influence psychological outcomes (Lesener et al., 2019; Bakker et al., 2023). Consequently, workplace stress should not be understood solely as an individual's inability to cope; organizational and environmental conditions are equally important (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014; WHO, 2022).

Persistent workplace stress may have consequences beyond individual well-being. High stress and burnout may be associated with reduced job satisfaction, lower work engagement, absenteeism, turnover intentions, reduced performance, and difficulties in maintaining healthy workplace relationships (Crawford et al., 2010; Nahrgang et al., 2011; Taris & Schaufeli, 2015). Improving employee well-being is therefore relevant not only for employees themselves but also for organizational effectiveness and sustainability.

The growing recognition of mental health as an important component of occupational health has increased attention toward healthy and supportive

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

workplaces. Organizations are increasingly encouraged to identify psychosocial risks, reduce excessive job demands, strengthen available resources, and develop preventive approaches to workplace stress (WHO, 2022; Bakker et al., 2023).
Therefore, examining the existing literature on workplace stress and employee well-being is important for understanding the major sources and consequences of occupational stress, the protective role of job resources and organizational support, and the strategies that may promote healthier and more productive work environments. A systematic synthesis of the available evidence can also help identify important research gaps and future directions in occupational well-being research (Lesener et al., 2019; Galanakis & Tsitouri, 2022; WHO, 2022).

2.Need and Justification of the Study

Workplace stress has become an important concern because employees spend a substantial proportion of their lives in work environments where organizational demands can directly influence psychological health and quality of life. Increasing workloads, time pressure, technological changes, job insecurity, role conflict, interpersonal problems, and difficulties in maintaining work–life balance make it necessary to examine the conditions under which workplace stress affects employee well-being.
The present review is needed because employee well-being is closely connected with both individual and organizational functioning. Persistent workplace stress may contribute to emotional exhaustion, burnout, reduced job satisfaction, psychological distress, absenteeism, and turnover intentions. At the same time, employees with better psychological well-being are more likely to experience positive work attitudes, engagement, motivation, and effective functioning.
Another important justification is that workplace stress cannot be explained only by individual coping ability. Organizational conditions and job characteristics such as workload, autonomy, leadership, recognition, social support, fairness, and opportunities for development can either increase stress or provide protection against it. Therefore, an integrated examination of job demands and job resources is necessary.
The review is also important because modern work arrangements have changed considerably. Remote and hybrid work, digital communication, constant connectivity, flexible schedules, and changing boundaries between work and personal life have created both opportunities and new sources of occupational stress. These developments make continuous examination of employee well-being particularly relevant.
Existing studies have examined workplace stress, burnout, work engagement, job demands, job resources, and organizational support from different theoretical and methodological perspectives. However, findings vary across occupations, organizational settings, countries, and employee groups. A review can synthesize these findings and provide a broader understanding of the factors associated with employee well-being.
The review is further justified by its practical organizational importance. Understanding the major sources of workplace stress can help organizations design preventive strategies, improve working conditions, strengthen employee support systems, and develop effective stress-management and well-being programs.
Therefore, the present review is needed to synthesize evidence on the relationship between workplace stress and employee well-being, identify major risk and protective factors, examine important research gaps, and provide practical recommendations for developing healthier and more supportive work environments.

3. Objectives

The present review aims to:

1. Examine the relationship between workplace stress and employee well-being.
2. Identify major workplace factors that contribute to occupational stress, including workload, time pressure, role conflict, job insecurity, and limited job control.
3. Examine the relationship between workplace stress, burnout, job satisfaction, and work engagement.
4. Examine the protective role of job resources, including organizational support, leadership, autonomy, recognition, and positive workplace relationships.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

5. Identify individual and organizational factors that influence employees' ability to cope with workplace stress and maintain well-being.
6. Identify research gaps and provide recommendations for effective workplace stress management and promotion of employee well-being.

4. Methodology

4.1 Review Design

- **Review type:** Narrative literature review.
- **Primary focus:** Workplace stress and employee well-being.
- **Main areas:** Job demands, job resources, burnout, work engagement, job satisfaction, organizational support, work–life balance, and recovery from work stress.

4.2 Literature Search Strategy

- **Sources:** Peer-reviewed journals, academic databases, scholarly books, and credible institutional publications.
- **Key search terms:** "workplace stress," "occupational stress," "employee well-being," "job stress," "burnout," "work engagement," "job demands," "job resources," "organizational support," "job satisfaction," and "work–life balance."
- **Search method:** Keywords were used individually and in combinations to identify relevant literature.
- **Language:** Primarily English-language scholarly literature was considered.

4.3 Inclusion Criteria

Studies were included when they:

- Examined employees or working populations.
- Addressed workplace or occupational stress.
- Examined employee well-being or related outcomes.
- Discussed relevant job demands, job resources, organizational, or psychosocial factors.
- Were published in credible academic or institutional sources.
- Provided sufficient information relevant to the objectives of the review.

4.4 Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded when they:

- Focused primarily on students, children, or populations unrelated to employment.
- Did not examine workplace stress or employee well-being.
- Were unrelated to the objectives of the review.
- Were duplicate publications.
- Were non-scholarly materials such as blogs, promotional content, or news reports.
- Did not provide sufficient information for meaningful interpretation.

4.5 Thematic Organization

The selected literature was organized into major themes:

1. Sources and determinants of workplace stress.
2. Job demands and job resources.
3. Workplace stress and burnout.
4. Stress and work engagement.
5. Job satisfaction and psychological well-being.
6. Organizational support and leadership.
7. Work–life balance and recovery.
8. Individual coping and resilience.
9. Organizational interventions for stress management.

4.6 Analysis and Synthesis

- **Approach:** Qualitative thematic synthesis.
- **Comparison:** Findings were compared across occupational groups and research contexts.
- **Focus:** Common findings, differences, risk factors, protective factors, and theoretical explanations.
- **Theoretical framework:** Particular attention was given to the Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) model.
- **Outcome:** Major findings, research gaps, future directions, and practical implications were identified.
- **Statistical meta-analysis:** Not conducted because of substantial differences in study designs, populations, measures, and outcomes.

5. Review of literature

5.1 Workplace Stress and Employee Well-Being

Workplace stress is closely associated with employees' psychological and occupational well-being. Persistent exposure to excessive job demands

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

may contribute to emotional strain, reduced job satisfaction, psychological distress, and impaired work functioning. The Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) model explains how workplace demands and available resources influence employee well-being through health-impairment and motivational processes. The literature generally indicates that employees experience better well-being when workplace demands are appropriately managed and adequate resources and organizational support are available (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007; World Health Organization [WHO], 2022).

5.2 Job Demands and Psychological Strain

Job demands include workload, time pressure, emotional demands, role conflict, and other aspects of work that require sustained physical or psychological effort. Demerouti et al. (2001) demonstrated that excessive job demands are strongly associated with exhaustion, an important component of burnout. Bakker and Demerouti (2007) further explained that high job demands may contribute to employee strain, particularly when adequate job resources are unavailable. A meta-analytic review by Luchman and González-Morales (2013) also examined the relationships among job demands, control, and workplace support, highlighting their relevance to employee well-being.

5.3 Job Resources and Employee Well-Being

Job resources such as autonomy, social support, feedback, recognition, and opportunities for professional development can help employees manage work demands and promote positive work experiences. The Job Demands–Resources model proposes that resources contribute not only to stress management but also to motivation and work engagement (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Crawford et al. (2010), through a meta-analytic examination, found that job resources were positively associated with work engagement and negatively associated with burnout. Similarly, Nahrgang et al. (2011) identified the importance of knowledge, autonomy, and a supportive work environment in employee engagement and workplace safety outcomes.

However, the buffering effect of job resources should not be assumed to operate uniformly in every workplace. Huth and Chung-Yan (2023), using Bayesian meta-analysis, found strong evidence against the commonly assumed interaction between job demands and job control in explaining workers' well-being. This suggests that the direct contribution of resources and their potential buffering effects should be considered separately.

5.4 Workplace Stress and Burnout

Burnout is one of the most widely studied consequences of chronic workplace stress. It involves emotional exhaustion, psychological distancing or cynicism, and reduced professional efficacy. Maslach and Leiter (2016) emphasized that burnout is closely related to the quality of the work environment and the mismatch between employees and their working conditions. The original Job Demands–Resources study also demonstrated the importance of job demands and resources in explaining the exhaustion and disengagement dimensions of burnout (Demerouti et al., 2001).

Crawford et al. (2010) found that job demands were positively associated with burnout, whereas job resources were negatively associated with burnout. These findings reinforce the importance of workplace conditions in shaping employees' psychological health and occupational functioning.

5.5 Workplace Stress and Work Engagement

Work engagement represents a positive work-related psychological state characterized by energy, dedication, and involvement. Adequate job resources can strengthen employee engagement by supporting motivation, professional development, and effective work functioning (Bakker et al., 2023). Crawford et al. (2010) found that job resources were consistently positively associated with engagement. However, the relationship between job demands and engagement depended on the nature of the demand: hindrance demands were negatively associated with engagement, whereas certain challenge demands could have positive associations.

The literature therefore suggests that organizations providing autonomy, constructive feedback, social support, and development opportunities may create conditions that encourage employee engagement (Galanakis & Tsitouri, 2022).

5.6 Job Satisfaction and Psychological Well-Being

Job satisfaction is another important component of employee well-being. High workplace stress may contribute to dissatisfaction by creating emotional exhaustion and perceptions of excessive demands. In

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

contrast, supportive working conditions, recognition, autonomy, and positive workplace relationships may contribute to greater job satisfaction and psychological well-being.

Faragher et al. (2005), in a meta-analysis of 485 studies involving 267,995 individuals, identified significant associations between job satisfaction and several indicators of physical and psychological health, including burnout, depression, and anxiety. These findings indicate that job satisfaction is an important correlate of employee health. The relationship between occupational conditions, burnout, and employee well-being is also addressed within the JD-R framework (Hakanen et al., 2008; WHO, 2022).

5.7 Organizational Support and Leadership

Organizational support and effective leadership can play a protective role in workplace stress. Supportive supervisors may help employees manage workloads, clarify responsibilities, provide constructive feedback, and address workplace difficulties. Nahrgang et al. (2011) emphasized the relevance of supportive work environments and job resources in employee engagement and workplace safety.

The WHO (2022) guidelines on mental health at work also recommend organizational interventions and manager training to improve workplace mental health. Positive leadership and supportive organizational cultures may therefore contribute to healthier psychological functioning and more supportive working environments.

5.8 Social Support and Workplace Relationships

Positive relationships with supervisors and colleagues can provide emotional and practical resources that help employees cope with occupational demands. Social support may reduce feelings of isolation and improve employees' sense of belonging and psychological security. Luchman and González-Morales (2013) examined the interrelationships among job demands, control, and workplace support, demonstrating the importance of these work characteristics in occupational health research.

Conversely, interpersonal conflict, poor communication, workplace incivility, and other negative social interactions may become important sources of stress. Gerhardt et al. (2021), in a systematic review and meta-analysis, examined the relationship between social stressors at work and employee well-being and health. Their findings reinforce the importance of healthy interpersonal relationships in the workplace.

5.9 Work–Life Balance

Work–life balance is increasingly recognized as an important aspect of employee well-being. High work demands and persistent work-related responsibilities may interfere with personal and family commitments. Such interference can contribute to psychological strain and reduce satisfaction with both work and personal life.

The development of the JD-R framework has increasingly incorporated the relationship between work and non-work resources through the work–home resources model (Bakker et al., 2023). The WHO (2022) also emphasizes the importance of addressing workplace conditions that may adversely affect employees' mental health. These perspectives suggest that work–life balance should be considered an important component of organizational approaches to employee well-being.

5.10 Recovery from Workplace Stress

Employees require adequate opportunities to recover from work-related demands. Sonnentag and Fritz (2015) emphasized the importance of psychological detachment, relaxation, mastery experiences, and control during non-work time. Psychological detachment involves mentally disengaging from job-related activities and thoughts outside working hours. Their stressor-detachment framework explains how insufficient recovery may contribute to the accumulation of work-related strain and poorer individual well-being. Effective recovery opportunities may therefore help employees restore psychological resources and reduce the accumulation of occupational stress (Sonnentag & Fritz, 2015).

5.11 Individual Coping and Resilience

Individual characteristics also influence how employees respond to workplace stress. Coping strategies, psychological resilience, emotional regulation, and personal resources may help employees manage challenging work situations. The JD-R framework recognizes the relevance of personal resources and individual differences in understanding employee responses to job demands (Bakker et al., 2023; Schaufeli & Taris, 2014).

However, individual coping should not replace organizational responsibility for reducing unhealthy

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

working conditions. The WHO (2022) recommends combining appropriate individual-level interventions with organizational measures that address psychosocial risks in the workplace.

5.12 Job Demands–Resources Model

The Job Demands–Resources model provides an important theoretical framework for understanding the relationship between workplace conditions and employee well-being. The model proposes two broad processes: excessive job demands may contribute to exhaustion and burnout through a health-impairment process, whereas job resources may promote motivation, engagement, and positive work functioning through a motivational process (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Longitudinal research has provided further support for important assumptions of the model. Hakanen et al. (2008) examined the relationships among burnout, depression, commitment, and work engagement over three years. Lesener et al. (2019), through a meta-analytic review of longitudinal studies, also found support for the central assumptions of the JD-R model.

Bakker et al. (2023) further discussed developments in the framework, including person–situation interactions, multilevel applications, proactive approaches, and work–home resources. Nevertheless, some assumptions require careful interpretation. Huth and Chung-Yan (2023) found that the expected interaction between job demands and job control was not consistently supported by the available evidence. This indicates that the relationships between job demands, job resources, and employee well-being may vary across occupational settings and research designs.

5.13 Workplace Stress and Employee Performance

Workplace stress may also have implications for organizational performance. Excessive stress and burnout may be associated with reduced concentration, lower motivation, absenteeism, turnover intentions, and reduced work effectiveness. Taris and Schaufeli (2015) discussed the conceptual and theoretical relationships between individual well-being and work performance, emphasizing the importance of understanding employee well-being within the broader work context.

Crawford et al. (2010) identified relationships between job demands, resources, burnout, and work engagement, while Nahrgang et al. (2011) examined how these factors relate to workplace safety outcomes. Collectively, these studies indicate that employee well-being and organizational functioning are interconnected and should be considered together when developing workplace stress-management strategies.

5.14 Organizational Approaches to Stress Management

The literature increasingly supports a combination of individual and organizational approaches to workplace stress management. Individual strategies may include relaxation, mindfulness, physical activity, time management, and psychological support. Organizational strategies may include workload management, improved job autonomy, supportive leadership, employee participation, flexible work arrangements, and stronger psychosocial safety practices.

The WHO (2022) guidelines specifically recommend organizational interventions, manager training, worker training, and individual-level interventions to promote mental health at work. Galanakis and Tsitouri (2022) also highlighted the relevance of the JD-R framework for understanding work engagement and burnout. More recently, Bakker et al. (2023) emphasized the continued development of the framework and the importance of considering individual, organizational, and work–home resources.

These findings suggest that effective workplace stress management should not rely exclusively on individual coping techniques. Instead, it should combine employee-level support with organizational efforts to identify and reduce harmful working conditions.

5.15 Overall Synthesis

Overall, the reviewed literature indicates that workplace stress and employee well-being are strongly interconnected. High and persistent job demands are generally associated with burnout and poorer psychological outcomes, whereas adequate job resources, organizational support, positive leadership, social relationships, autonomy, and recovery opportunities are associated with better well-being and work engagement.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

The evidence also suggests that workplace stress should be understood as a multilevel phenomenon involving individual, interpersonal, job-related, and organizational factors. Consequently, effective stress management requires not only individual coping strategies but also organizational changes that reduce excessive demands and strengthen the resources available to employees.

6. Findings and Discussion

The reviewed literature indicates that workplace stress is closely associated with employee well-being, particularly when job demands remain high over extended periods without adequate organizational and personal resources (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007; WHO, 2022). Persistent workplace stress may affect psychological health, job satisfaction, work engagement, and overall functioning (Faragher et al., 2005; Crawford et al., 2010; Taris & Schaufeli, 2015).

A major finding is that excessive job demands are consistently associated with psychological strain and burnout. High workload, time pressure, emotional demands, role conflict, and job insecurity may increase employees' risk of exhaustion and psychological distress (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). The Job Demands–Resources model provides a useful explanation for this relationship by proposing that sustained job demands consume employees' physical and psychological resources (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker et al., 2023).

The literature also demonstrates the important protective role of job resources. Autonomy, social support, feedback, recognition, opportunities for development, and supportive supervision may help employees manage demanding work conditions. Job resources can therefore reduce the negative consequences of stress while simultaneously promoting motivation and work engagement (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007; Crawford et al., 2010; Bakker et al., 2023).

Another important finding concerns burnout. Chronic exposure to stressful workplace conditions may contribute to emotional exhaustion, cynicism, psychological distancing, and reduced professional efficacy. Burnout is therefore not simply an individual problem but can also reflect unfavorable organizational conditions and an imbalance between job demands and available resources (Demerouti et al., 2001; Maslach & Leiter, 2016).

The review further indicates that workplace conditions influence work engagement in addition to negative psychological outcomes. Employees who have sufficient resources and supportive working environments are more likely to experience energy, dedication, and involvement in their work (Crawford et al., 2010; Bakker et al., 2023). Thus, workplace well-being should include both the prevention of negative outcomes and the promotion of positive work experiences.

Organizational support and leadership emerged as important protective factors. Supportive supervisors can help employees clarify responsibilities, manage workload, obtain feedback, and deal with workplace difficulties. Positive leadership may also strengthen trust, fairness, communication, and psychological safety within organizations (Nahrgang et al., 2011; WHO, 2022).

Social relationships at work are another important component of employee well-being. Positive relationships with colleagues and supervisors can provide emotional and practical support, whereas interpersonal conflict, poor communication, workplace incivility, and lack of cooperation may increase psychological stress (Luchman & González-Morales, 2013; Gerhardt et al., 2021).

The literature also highlights the importance of work–life balance and recovery. When employees remain psychologically connected to work during non-work hours, opportunities for recovery may be reduced. Sonnentag and Fritz (2015) emphasized that psychological detachment, relaxation, mastery experiences, and control during leisure time can support recovery from job stress. The work–home resources perspective further highlights the interrelationship between work and non-work conditions (Bakker et al., 2023).

The findings further suggest that individual coping and resilience can influence employees' responses to workplace demands. Employees may use strategies such as exercise, relaxation, mindfulness, social support, and time management to cope with stress. However, individual coping strategies should be considered complementary rather than substitutes for

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

improving unhealthy organizational conditions (Schaufeli & Taris, 2014; WHO, 2022).

An important overall finding is that workplace stress is multidimensional. It is influenced by the interaction of individual characteristics, job demands, job resources, organizational culture, leadership, interpersonal relationships, and work–life conditions. Therefore, employee well-being cannot be adequately explained by examining a single stressor (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007; Schaufeli & Taris, 2014; Bakker et al., 2023).

The review also indicates that workplace stress has consequences for organizational functioning. High levels of stress and burnout may contribute to absenteeism, reduced productivity, turnover intentions, lower job satisfaction, and impaired work relationships. Promoting employee well-being may therefore benefit both individual employees and organizations (Crawford et al., 2010; Nahrgang et al., 2011; Taris & Schaufeli, 2015).

Overall, the evidence supports a balanced organizational approach based on reducing excessive job demands and strengthening job resources. Effective workplace stress management should combine individual-level interventions with organizational-level changes such as workload management, supportive leadership, employee participation, autonomy, flexible work arrangements, and opportunities for recovery (Bakker et al., 2023; WHO, 2022).

However, differences in occupational groups, cultural contexts, research designs, and measurement instruments limit direct comparison among studies. Many studies also rely on cross-sectional and self-reported data. Therefore, stronger longitudinal and intervention-based research is required to establish causal relationships and determine which workplace interventions produce sustained improvements in employee well-being (Lesener et al., 2019; Hakanen et al., 2008; Bakker et al., 2023).

7. Research Gaps and Future Recommendations

The reviewed literature identifies several important gaps in understanding workplace stress and employee well-being. These gaps can be broadly classified into methodological, contextual, measurement, occupational, organizational, intervention, and longitudinal gaps.

7.1 Methodological Gap

A substantial proportion of studies use cross-sectional designs, which identify relationships between workplace stress and well-being but provide limited evidence regarding causality. Future research should place greater emphasis on longitudinal, experimental, quasi-experimental, and mixed-method approaches.

7.2 Contextual Gap

Workplace stress may vary considerably across countries, cultures, economic conditions, and organizational systems. More research is needed in South Asian and developing-country contexts, including Nepal, where workplace structures and psychosocial conditions may differ from those in Western settings.

7.3 Measurement Gap

Studies use different instruments to assess workplace stress, burnout, job satisfaction, work engagement, and employee well-being. Greater use of validated and standardized measures would improve comparability across studies.

7.4 Occupational Gap

Research findings may differ across occupations because job demands and resources vary substantially. Further studies should compare sectors such as education, healthcare, banking, information technology, manufacturing, public administration, and service industries.

7.5 Organizational Gap

Some research focuses heavily on individual coping while giving less attention to organizational conditions. Future research should examine workload, leadership, organizational culture, psychological safety, autonomy, recognition, fairness, and employee participation as important determinants of well-being.

7.6 Intervention Gap

Although several stress-management approaches have been proposed, evidence regarding the long-term effectiveness of specific workplace interventions remains limited. Future studies should evaluate both individual-level and organizational-level interventions and compare their effectiveness.

7.7 Longitudinal Gap

More evidence is needed regarding how workplace stress and employee well-being change over time. Long-term studies should examine whether improvements in job resources and working

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

conditions produce sustained reductions in burnout and improvements in engagement and well-being.

Future Recommendations:

- Conduct more longitudinal, experimental, and mixed-method studies.
- Increase research on workplace stress among Nepali and South Asian employees.
- Use standardized and validated measures of stress, burnout, engagement, and well-being.
- Compare workplace stress across different occupational sectors and organizational levels.
- Examine the combined effects of job demands and job resources.
- Investigate the role of leadership, organizational support, autonomy, recognition, and psychological safety.
- Examine work–life balance, remote work, hybrid work, and digital connectivity as emerging workplace factors.
- Evaluate organizational interventions such as workload redesign, flexible work arrangements, supportive leadership, and employee participation.
- Examine individual strategies such as exercise, mindfulness, relaxation, resilience training, and counseling as complementary approaches.
- Conduct longer-term follow-up studies to determine whether workplace well-being interventions produce sustainable improvements.

8. Practical Implications

1. **For Organizations:** Develop comprehensive workplace well-being policies that address both psychological health and working conditions.
2. **For Management:** Monitor workload, time pressure, role ambiguity, and other major sources of occupational stress and take corrective measures when necessary.
3. **For Supervisors and Leaders:** Promote supportive leadership, open communication, constructive feedback, recognition, fairness, and employee participation in workplace decisions.
4. **For Human Resource Departments:** Include employee well-being and psychosocial risk assessment within regular HR practices and workplace health programs.
5. **For Job Design:** Strengthen job resources such as autonomy, role clarity, skill development, feedback, and opportunities for professional growth.
6. **For Stress Management:** Provide accessible programs involving relaxation, mindfulness, physical activity, resilience training, and other evidence-informed coping strategies.
7. **For Burnout Prevention:** Identify early signs of emotional exhaustion and excessive work demands and provide timely organizational and psychological support.
8. **For Work–Life Balance:** Encourage reasonable working hours, adequate leave, flexible work arrangements where appropriate, and clear boundaries between work and personal time.
9. **For Recovery:** Encourage employees to take appropriate breaks, disconnect from work outside working hours, and use leave and non-work time for psychological recovery.
10. **For Social Support:** Strengthen positive relationships among employees through teamwork, mentoring, peer-support systems, and respectful workplace practices.
11. **For Remote and Hybrid Work:** Establish clear communication expectations and workload boundaries to reduce excessive digital connectivity and work-related intrusion into personal time.
12. **For Employee Assistance:** Provide confidential counseling, employee-assistance services, and referral mechanisms for employees experiencing significant psychological distress.
13. **For Organizational Evaluation:** Regularly assess employee stress, burnout, engagement, job satisfaction, and well-being to evaluate the effectiveness of workplace interventions.

9. Limitations

1. **Review-Based Evidence:** The review is based on previously published literature and

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

does not include primary data collected directly from employees.

2. **Variation in Study Designs:** The reviewed studies differ in research designs, sample sizes, occupational groups, and study durations, making direct comparison difficult.
3. **Measurement Differences:** Different instruments and definitions are used to assess workplace stress, burnout, job satisfaction, work engagement, and employee well-being.
4. **Self-Report Bias:** Many studies rely on self-reported measures, which may be influenced by recall, perception, or social-desirability bias.
5. **Limited Causal Evidence:** A considerable number of studies use cross-sectional designs; therefore, observed relationships cannot always be interpreted as causal.
6. **Occupational Variation:** Sources and levels of workplace stress may differ substantially across professions, sectors, organizational levels, and working conditions.
7. **Contextual Differences:** Cultural, economic, organizational, and social differences may influence how employees experience and respond to workplace stress.
8. **Limited Long-Term Evidence:** Evidence regarding the sustained effects of workplace stress-management and employee-well-being interventions remains comparatively limited.
9. **Generalizability:** Findings should be generalized cautiously because workplace conditions and employee experiences vary across organizations and employment contexts.

10. Conclusion

The reviewed literature demonstrates that workplace stress is an important determinant of employee well-being. Persistent exposure to excessive workload, time pressure, role conflict, job insecurity, limited autonomy, interpersonal difficulties, and inadequate organizational support may contribute to psychological strain, emotional exhaustion, burnout, reduced job satisfaction, and lower work engagement. At the same time, the evidence indicates that job resources can play a protective and motivational role**.** Autonomy, supportive leadership, social support, recognition, feedback, role clarity, professional development, and opportunities for recovery may help employees manage workplace demands and maintain positive psychological functioning. The Job Demands–Resources framework provides a useful basis for understanding this balance between workplace demands and available resources.

The review further indicates that employee well-being cannot be addressed solely through individual coping strategies. Although practices such as physical activity, relaxation, mindfulness, time management, resilience-building, and counseling may help employees manage stress, organizational-level changes are equally important. Reducing excessive demands, improving working conditions, strengthening leadership support, and promoting work–life balance are essential components of effective workplace stress management.

Overall, workplace stress should be understood as a multidimensional organizational and psychosocial issue rather than merely an individual problem. Organizations that prioritize employee well-being may not only reduce burnout and psychological distress but also support job satisfaction, engagement, productivity, and sustainable organizational functioning.

Future research should emphasize longitudinal and intervention-based designs, diverse occupational and cultural contexts, and objective as well as standardized measures of workplace stress and well-being. In conclusion, creating supportive, balanced, and psychologically healthy workplaces is essential for protecting employee well-being and promoting both individual and organizational effectiveness.

References

1. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands-Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 22*(3), 309–328. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115
2. Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Sanz-Vergel, A. (2023). Job demands-resources theory: Ten years later. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 10*, 25–53. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-120920-053933

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

3. Crawford, E. R., LePine, J. A., & Rich, B. L. (2010). Linking job demands and resources to employee engagement and burnout: A theoretical extension and meta-analytic test. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 95*(5), 834–848. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019364
4. Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 86*(3), 499–512. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.3.499
5. Faragher, E. B., Cass, M., & Cooper, C. L. (2005). The relationship between job satisfaction and health: A meta-analysis. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 62(2), 105–112. https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2002.006734
6. Galanakis, M. D., & Tsitouri, E. (2022). Positive psychology in the working environment: Job demands-resources theory, work engagement and burnout: A systematic literature review. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 1022102. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1022102
7. Gerhardt, C., Semmer, N. K., Sauter, S., Walker, A., de Wijn, N., Kälin, W., Kottwitz, M. U., Kersten, B., Ulrich, B., & Elfering, A. (2021). How are social stressors at work related to well-being and health? A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public Health, 21, Article 890. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10894-7
8. Hakanen, J. J., Schaufeli, W. B., & Ahola, K. (2008). The Job Demands-Resources model: A three-year cross-lagged study of burnout, depression, commitment, and work engagement. *Work & Stress, 22*(3), 224–241. https://doi.org/10.1080/02678370802379432
9. Huth, K. B. S., & Chung-Yan, G. A. (2023). Quantifying the evidence for the absence of the job demands and job control interaction on workers' well-being: A Bayesian meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 108*(6), 1060–1072. https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0001066
10. Lesener, T., Gusy, B., & Wolter, C. (2019). The job demands-resources model: A meta-analytic review of longitudinal studies. *Work & Stress, 33*(1), 76–103. https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2018.1529065
11. Luchman, J. N., & González-Morales, M. G. (2013). Demands, control, and support: A meta-analytic review of work characteristics interrelationships. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 18*(1), 37–52. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030541
12. Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry, 15*(2), 103–111. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20311
13. Nahrgang, J. D., Morgeson, F. P., & Hofmann, D. A. (2011). Safety at work: A meta-analytic investigation of the link between job demands, job resources, burnout, engagement, and safety outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 96*(1), 71–94. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021484
14. Schaufeli, W. B., & Taris, T. W. (2014). A critical review of the Job Demands-Resources model: Implications for improving work and health. In G. F. Bauer & O. Hämmig (Eds.), *Bridging occupational, organizational and public health* (pp. 43–68). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5640-3_4
15. Sonnentag, S., & Fritz, C. (2015). Recovery from job stress: The stressor-detachment model as an integrative framework. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 36*(S1), S72–S103. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1924
16. Taris, T. W., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2015). Individual well-being and performance at work: A conceptual and theoretical overview. In M. van Veldhoven & R. Peccei (Eds.), *Well-being and performance at work* (pp. 15–34). Psychology Press. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315743325-2
17. World Health Organization. (2022). *WHO guidelines on mental health at work*. World Health Organization.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved