International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development-– Volume 9 Issue 6, Nov – Dec 2026
Available at www.ijsred.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Noise Pollution: Sources, Health Effects and Sustainable Control Measures

Sushma M V*, Lavanya Shantappa Ullegaddi**, Mohammed Rihan Wahid**, Mohith H**, Nishmitha R**

*(Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning), Malnad College of Engineering, Hassan, Karnataka, India
Email: smv@mcehassan.ac.in)

**(Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning), Malnad College of Engineering, Hassan, Karnataka, India
Email: lavanyaullegaddi123@gmail.com, mdrihanwaheed@gmail.com, mohith.h2006@gmail.com, nishmithar1505@gmail.com)

----------**********----------

Abstract:

Noise pollution is an increasingly important environmental and public-health issue in urban and developing regions. Road traffic, construction work, industrial equipment, loudspeakers and domestic activities can create unwanted sound that affects daily comfort and quality of life. The effects depend on sound intensity, duration, timing, frequency and the vulnerability of exposed individuals. This narrative review examines common sources of noise, methods used to assess exposure, consequences for sleep, learning, hearing and cardiovascular well-being, and possible impacts on wildlife. It also considers preventive strategies at the source, along the sound path and within buildings. Particular attention is given to practical measures relevant to Indian towns and cities, including traffic planning, enforcement of noise regulations, public participation and low-cost awareness programmes. The discussion draws on published guidance and research rather than newly collected field data. It concludes that reducing environmental noise requires coordinated action by government agencies, institutions, industries and residents.

Keywords — Noise pollution, environmental noise, decibels, urban traffic, public health, noise monitoring, mitigation.

----------**********----------

I. INTRODUCTION

Sound supports communication and everyday activity, but it becomes environmental noise when it is unwanted or interferes with health, comfort and normal functioning. A busy road may generate sound throughout the day, while a celebration or construction activity may produce short periods of very high disturbance. These different patterns make noise a complex environmental problem. Rapid urbanisation has placed homes, schools and hospitals close to traffic corridors and commercial activity. Unlike visible pollution, noise leaves no obvious residue, which can lead communities to underestimate its effects.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Many urban areas experience overlapping sound sources without sufficient planning for quiet spaces. Roadside residents, students, hospital patients and workers may experience different levels of exposure, yet a single complaint or sound measurement rarely describes the full problem. Effective management therefore requires identification of major sources, suitable exposure indicators and preventive measures that are affordable and enforceable.

III. OBJECTIVES

This review aims to identify common environmental noise sources; explain basic noise indicators; discuss potential health, educational and ecological effects; compare approaches to noise prevention; and outline responsibilities for institutions, local authorities and citizens. The paper also proposes a simple framework for conducting a future community noise survey.

IV. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

The World Health Organization provides environmental noise guidelines for important transport and leisure sources [1]. Basner and colleagues discuss both auditory and non-auditory effects, including sleep disturbance, annoyance and pathways linking chronic exposure with cardiovascular health [2]. The European Environment Agency describes the substantial environmental-noise burden associated with transport in Europe [3]. These sources support prevention as a public-health measure, while also showing why findings from one

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

region should not automatically be treated as local measurements in another.

V. MAJOR SOURCES OF NOISE

A. Transportation

Road engines, horns, tyre-road contact, braking and congestion create variable sound levels. Railways and aircraft can add intermittent high-noise events near transport routes.

B. Construction and Industry

Drilling, concrete mixing, cutting, generators, compressors and heavy machinery may affect both workers and neighbouring communities. Poor maintenance can increase vibration and noise.

C. Social and Commercial Activity

Public-address systems, events, markets, workshops and entertainment venues may create local disturbances, especially during evening and night hours.

D. Household and Building Services

Appliances, pumps, televisions and ventilation equipment can contribute to indoor sound, particularly in buildings with limited insulation.

VI. UNDERSTANDING NOISE LEVELS

Sound pressure level is commonly reported in decibels (dB). A-weighted decibels, written dB(A), are often used in environmental assessment because they approximate the sensitivity of human hearing. The decibel scale is logarithmic, so a numerical increase does not represent a proportional increase in sound energy. The equivalent continuous sound level (LAeq) expresses the average sound energy over a stated measurement interval. Night-time indicators are important because intermittent sound can interrupt sleep even when the daytime average appears acceptable.

VII. HUMAN HEALTH EFFECTS

A. Sleep and Recovery

Repeated night-time noise may delay sleep onset, trigger awakenings and reduce the quality of rest. Poor sleep can affect mood, attention and daily performance.

B. Stress and Well-Being

Persistent unwanted sound can cause annoyance and contribute to stress responses. Research has investigated associations between long-term transport noise and cardiovascular outcomes, although individual risk depends on multiple factors [2].

C. Hearing

Intense or prolonged exposure can damage hearing, particularly in occupational and recreational settings. Environmental exposure and workplace safety require different assessment methods.

D. Learning

Background noise may mask teachers' speech and interrupt reading, memory and concentration, making quieter learning spaces valuable.

VIII. EFFECTS ON WILDLIFE AND COMMUNITY LIFE

Animals use sound to locate mates, detect predators and maintain contact. Human-generated noise may mask these signals, alter movement and interfere with feeding or breeding behaviour in some species [4]. Within communities, noisy surroundings can discourage outdoor recreation, reduce the perceived comfort of homes and create disputes between residents and businesses. The extent of these effects varies by habitat, sound type and exposure duration.

IX. NOISE ASSESSMENT AND MONITORING

A basic field study should select representative sites such as a busy intersection, residential street, school boundary and quiet reference area. Measurements should be taken at comparable times on multiple days. A suitable sound-level meter should be calibrated, and observations should record weather, distance from roads, nearby activities and the duration of each sample. LAeq, maximum sound level and time-of-day differences can then be compared. Mobile-phone applications may help awareness but should not replace calibrated instruments for regulatory decisions.

X. APPROACHES TO NOISE CONTROL

A. Control at Source

Maintain engines and machinery, choose quieter equipment, limit unnecessary honking and manage high-noise operations.

B. Control Along the Path

Use properly designed barriers, road setbacks and building layouts that shield sensitive locations. Vegetation may improve visual comfort, but thin rows of plants alone should not be assumed to provide large acoustic reductions.

C. Protection at the Receiver

Improve window sealing, façade insulation and room placement. Appropriate hearing protection is necessary for hazardous workplace exposures, but it is not a substitute for reducing noise at source.

D. Administrative Action

Schedule construction thoughtfully, designate quieter zones and establish transparent complaint-response systems.

XI. INDIAN URBAN CONTEXT

Indian cities face a combination of road congestion, frequent horn use, dense mixed land use, construction activity and public events. The Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000, establish a framework for controlling ambient noise and regulating certain activities [5]. Implementation depends on local monitoring, public awareness and enforcement. Schools and hospitals benefit from special consideration because learning, communication and recovery can be sensitive to disturbance. Local planning should also account for the needs of vendors, transport services and residents rather than relying on restrictions without practical alternatives.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

XII. PROPOSED COMMUNITY STUDY DESIGN

A future student-led survey could compare four location categories: a traffic junction, a residential area, an educational institution and a commercial street. At each location, trained observers could record calibrated readings during morning, afternoon and evening periods across several weekdays and a weekend. A short anonymous questionnaire could separately record perceived annoyance and sleep disruption. Measurements and perceptions should be analysed as distinct forms of evidence; neither should be presented as proof of medical harm.

XIII. EXPECTED PRACTICAL BENEFITS

Better noise management can improve the usability of classrooms, offices, public spaces and residential neighbourhoods. It may also encourage more careful traffic behaviour and better equipment maintenance. However, the size of any improvement must be established through before-and-after measurements rather than assumed from the introduction of a control measure.

XIV. DISCUSSION

Noise pollution is often addressed only after complaints occur. A preventive approach is more effective: identify sound-sensitive land uses early, reduce unnecessary sources and track exposure over time. A single intervention rarely solves every problem. For example, quieter road surfaces may help with tyre-road noise, while horn enforcement, traffic flow and vehicle maintenance address different parts of the same sound environment. The selection of controls should consider cost, feasibility, maintenance and community acceptance.

XV. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Noise varies with traffic, weather, seasons and human activity, making short surveys difficult to generalise. Perceived annoyance is influenced by expectations and context as well as sound level. Monitoring equipment requires calibration and trained operation. Enforcement may be difficult where agencies share responsibility or resources are limited. This paper is a narrative review, not an original experimental investigation; it reports no new noise measurements, survey responses or verified intervention outcomes.

XVI. RECOMMENDATIONS

1) For local authorities: Map recurring hotspots, maintain accessible complaint channels and coordinate transport, planning and pollution-control agencies.

2) For educational institutions: Schedule noisy maintenance outside teaching hours, review classroom ventilation noise and educate students about safe listening habits.

3) For industry and construction: Maintain equipment, communicate work schedules and use engineering controls before relying on individual protective measures.

4) For residents: Avoid unnecessary honking, moderate loudspeaker use and report recurring disturbances with accurate time and location details.

XVII. FUTURE SCOPE

Future work may involve repeated measurements across seasons, geographic noise mapping, comparisons between residential and commercial zones and evaluation of practical interventions. Researchers could combine calibrated acoustic data with traffic counts and anonymous community surveys. Where possible, results should be shared in understandable formats so residents and local decision-makers can participate in setting priorities.

XVIII. CONCLUSION

Noise pollution is a significant but manageable environmental concern. Its sources range from everyday transport to industrial and social activities, and its effects can extend beyond annoyance to disturbed sleep, impaired communication and potential long-term health risks. A useful response combines measurement, planning, quieter technology, responsible behaviour and consistent implementation of relevant regulations. The most sustainable approach prevents unnecessary noise before it reaches homes, schools, hospitals and natural habitats.

REFERENCES

[1] World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe, Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region. WHO, 2018.
[2] M. Basner et al., “Auditory and non-auditory effects of noise on health,” The Lancet, vol. 383, no. 9925, pp. 1325–1332, 2014.
[3] European Environment Agency, Environmental Noise in Europe — 2020, EEA Report No. 22/2019, 2020.
[4] G. Shannon et al., “A synthesis of two decades of research documenting the effects of noise on wildlife,” Biological Reviews, vol. 91, no. 4, pp. 982–1005, 2016.
[5] Government of India, Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000, as amended.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved