

Impact of Work-Life Balance on Employee Job Satisfaction and Performance: A People Analytics Perspective

Submitted by: Divya Arunrao Dhote (Roll Number: WMBFMB25025) | Email: dhotedivya43@gmail.com

Guided by: Prof. Ketaki Kukde | Email : kukde.k@wcem.edu.in

Wainganga College of Engineering and Management, Nagpur (An Autonomous Institute)

Abstract

Work-life balance (WLB) has become an important issue in modern human resource management, particularly with the growth of hybrid work, flexible working arrangements and digital connectivity. Organisations increasingly use people analytics to understand how employee wellbeing is associated with job satisfaction, performance and retention. This study examines the relationship between work-life balance, job satisfaction and employee performance through a conceptual and analytical review of existing literature, theories and secondary sources. The study identifies four dimensions of work-life balance, develops a conceptual model with five testable hypotheses, and proposes a people analytics framework through which HR departments can measure, monitor and improve work-life balance. The reviewed literature indicates that flexibility, organisational support and manageable workloads are associated with better work-life balance and employee outcomes, while excessive workload and role conflict are associated with stress and reduced wellbeing. The study is descriptive and analytical, based entirely on secondary sources; therefore, the hypotheses are not statistically tested in the present study. The paper concludes with practical recommendations for HR managers and directions for future empirical research.

Keywords: Work-Life Balance, Job Satisfaction, Employee Performance, People Analytics, HR Analytics, Flexible Work, Organisational Support.

I. Introduction

A. Background of the Study

Human resources are the most valuable asset of any organisation. In an era of intense competition, an organisation's success depends not only on how many hours employees work but on how motivated, healthy and satisfied they are while working. Worklife balance refers to the extent to which an individual is equally engaged in,

and equally satisfied with, work roles and family or personal roles [1], [2].

Recent changes in the nature of work have made the topic more important. Remote and hybrid work can blur the boundary between office and home, while smartphones and messaging platforms can extend work communication beyond normal working hours. Recent research also indicates that flexibility, telework and workrelated fatigue

can influence work-life balance and employee outcomes [10], [11].

At the same time, business analytics has changed the way HR functions operate. People analytics, also called HR analytics, uses workforce data to support decisions on recruitment, engagement, performance, wellbeing and retention [3], [4]. Recent evidence also shows that HR analytics can support evidence-based approaches to employee wellbeing and organisational support [12]. Combining the HRM concept of work-life balance with an analytics approach allows organisations to move from opinion-based decisions towards evidence-based decisions. This study is written from that combined perspective.

B. Rationale

Work-life balance is widely discussed as a welfare issue, and people analytics is widely discussed as a technical tool, yet the two are rarely studied together. Policy commentary describes what organisations should offer, and analytics literature describes how HR data can be used, but few studies connect the two in one practical process that an HR manager can follow. Understanding this link is essential because work-life balance policies deliver value only where their effect on satisfaction, performance and retention is actually measured. The rationale of this study lies in bringing the concept of worklife balance and the people analytics approach into one framework with testable hypotheses.

C. Statement of the Problem

Many organisations introduce work-life balance policies such as flexible hours, leave schemes and wellness programmes, but few measure whether these policies actually improve satisfaction and performance. As a result, HR investments are often made without evidence. The problem addressed in this study is: how does worklife balance influence employee job satisfaction and performance, and how can HR analytics be used to measure and manage it?

D. Objectives of the Study

1. To understand the concept and key dimensions of work-life balance.
2. To examine the relationship between work-life balance, job satisfaction and employee performance on the basis of existing literature.
3. To identify the organisational factors that support or hinder work-life balance.
4. To propose an HR analytics framework for measuring and monitoring work-life balance.
5. To suggest practical recommendations for HR managers.

E. Research Questions

RQ1: What are the key dimensions of work-life balance, and how can they be measured? RQ2: What does existing literature suggest about the relationship of work-life balance with job satisfaction and employee performance? RQ3: Which organisational factors act as enablers and which act as barriers to work-life balance? RQ4: How can an HR analytics cycle be used to measure, monitor and improve work-life balance?

F. Hypotheses of the Study

H1: Work-life balance has a significant positive relationship with job satisfaction. H2: Work-life balance has a significant positive relationship with employee performance. H3: Job satisfaction has a significant positive relationship with employee performance.

H4: Schedule flexibility and organisational support have a significant positive effect on work-life balance.

H5: Excessive workload has a significant negative relationship with work-life balance. Note: As the study is conceptual and uses only secondary sources, H1–H5 are proposed hypotheses for future primary research and are not statistically tested in the present study.

G. Scope and Limitations

The study covers the concept of work-life balance, its four dimensions, and its relationship with job satisfaction and employee performance as reported in the literature. It reviews theories drawn from work family conflict, border theory, the Job Demands Resources model and the twofactor theory. Limitations include: (1) Reliance on secondary data only without primary survey testing; (2) Individual and cultural variation in work-life balance experience; (3) The questionnaire provided in the Appendix is purely illustrative for future research.

II. Review of Literature

Greenhaus and Beutell [1] described work-family conflict as a form of inter-role conflict in which pressures from work and family are mutually incompatible, identifying time-, strain-, and behaviour-based dimensions. Clark [5] proposed work/family border theory, which explains boundary management. Bakker and Demerouti [6] developed the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model, holding that high demands lead to exhaustion while resources drive engagement. Marler and Boudreau [3] and Davenport and Harris [4] established the role of evidencebased HR analytics.

Table I. Summary of Key Literature

Sr. No.	Author(s)	Focus of Study	Key Contribution
1	Greenhaus & Beutell [1]	Work-family conflict	Time-, strain- and behaviourbased conflict between roles

2	Clark [5]	Work/family border theory	Boundary management explains how balance is achieved
3	Kalliath & Brough [2]	Meaning of balance	Balance is multi-dimensional and needs multiple measures
4	Bakker & Demerouti [6]	Job DemandsResources model	Demands drive exhaustion; resources support engagement
5	Marler & Boudreau [3]	HR analytics	Evidence-based review of analytics use in HR
6	Recent WLB research [10], [11]	Flexibility, telework & performance	Recent evidence links flexibility, workload and WLB with outcomes

III. Research Methodology

This study adopts a descriptive and analytical secondaryresearch design, reviewing published textbooks, articles, and corporate reports. To guide future empirical research, a structured statistical analytical plan is proposed below.

Table II. Proposed Analytical Plan for Primary Research

Stage	Tool / Technique	Purpose
-------	------------------	---------

Data Collection	Structured questionnaire, 5point Likert scale	Capture employee perception of WLB, satisfaction and performance
Reliability Testing	Cronbach's alpha (acceptable > 0.70)	Check internal consistency of the measurement scale
Descriptive Analysis	Mean, standard deviation, percentage	Understand the baseline profiles and average responses
Relationship Testing	Pearson correlation	Test strength and direction of relationship between variables
Impact Testing	Multiple linear regression	Measure effect of WLB dimensions on satisfaction & performance

IV. Conceptual Analysis and Framework

Work-life balance is treated as the central independent construct composed of four dimensions, affecting two primary dependent outcomes: Job Satisfaction and Employee Performance.

Table III. Variables of the Study

Variable	Role in Model	Indicative Measures
Working Hours & Workload	Dimension of WLB	Average hours per week, overtime, task overload

Schedule Flexibility	Dimension of WLB	Flexible timing, work from home, leave availability
Role Conflict & Stress	Dimension of WLB	Difficulty switching off, family interference with work
Manager & Org. Support	Dimension of WLB	Supportive supervisor, wellness & family policies
Job Satisfaction	Dependent Variable	Satisfaction with work, pay, growth and environment
Employee Performance	Dependent Variable	Productivity, quality of work, appraisal rating

Table IV. Enablers and Barriers of Work-Life Balance

Enablers of WLB	Barriers to WLB
1. Schedule flexibility and hybrid work	1. Excessive workload and overtime
2. Supportive manager relations	2. Role conflict and difficulty switching off
3. Clear norms on afterhours communication	3. Lack of personal control over time
4. Wellness and active familyfriendly policies	4. Policies that exist only on paper

The Proposed HR Analytics Framework

To convert WLB from a vague welfare concept into a metrics-driven process, a 5-stage HR Analytics Cycle is proposed:

Stage	Activity / Description
1. Measure	Collect metrics via survey tools, overtime tracking, and leave usage patterns.

2. Analyse	Apply descriptive statistics and regression to pinpoint main drivers of low balance.
3. Predict	Utilize attrition and wellbeing-risk indicators ethically to spot vulnerable teams.
4. Act	Introduce flexible scheduling, workload redistribution, and manager training workshops.
5. Review	Track changes in satisfaction, performance, and turnover metrics postintervention.

V. Discussion and Recommendations

The secondary data suggests that WLB is a core strategic lever impacting key outcomes. Traditional systems focusing only on hours of presence miss out on capturing fatigue and role conflict. True workplace flexibility can function as an essential job resource under the JD-R model, but only if supported by clear team norms and active supervisor backing.

Key Recommendations for HR Managers:

- **Protect Personal Time:** Set institutional barriers against late-night digital messaging and calls.
- **Train Frontline Supervisors:** Educate managers to spot burnout early and ensure uniform policy application.
- **Shift Performance Metrics:** Evaluate output quality and objective milestone completions rather than raw facetime.
- **Regular Continuous Dashboarding:** Deploy short pulse surveys to track WLB health dynamically.

VI. Conclusion

This study links the strategic capabilities of people analytics with the structural needs of employee work-life balance. By treating balance as a multidimensional variable (workload, flexibility, conflict, support), organisations can build targeted interventions that directly elevate job satisfaction and job performance.

Future research must apply the proposed questionnaire instruments empirically across corporate sectors to validate these conceptual linkages.

References

- [1] J. H. Greenhaus and N. J. Beutell, 'Sources of conflict between work and family roles,' *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 76–88, 1985.
- [2] T. Kalliath and P. Brough, 'Work-life balance: A review of the meaning of the balance construct,' *Journal of Management & Organization*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 323–327, 2008.
- [3] J. H. Marler and J. W. Boudreau, 'An evidencebased review of HR analytics,' *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 3–26, 2017.
- [4] T. H. Davenport and J. G. Harris, *Competing on Analytics: The New Science of Winning*. Harvard Business School Press, 2007.
- [5] S. C. Clark, 'Work/family border theory: A new theory of work/family balance,' *Human Relations*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 747–770, 2000.
- [6] A. B. Bakker and E. Demerouti, 'The Job DemandsResources model: State of the art,' *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 309–328, 2007.