

# Social Media Addiction Among College Students: A Narrative Review on the Prevalence, Mechanisms, Consequences, and Interventions

Nimish Gupta, Khush Shah, Neev and Dr. Mahesh V  
*Jain Deemed to be University, Bengaluru, India*

---

## Abstract

This is an integrative review article summarizing research evidence on the topic of social media addiction among college students (2000–2024) across four questions: prevalence, neuropsychological mechanisms, consequences, and intervention efficiency. Fifteen to forty percent of college students qualify as problematic users. Effects on sleep quality ( $d = 0.44$ ), depression ( $d = 0.42$ ), and anxiety ( $d = 0.38$ ) are of practical significance. The best intervention evidence ( $d = 0.68$ ) belongs to Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy. Three research gaps are identified: longitudinal causal studies, cross-cultural instrument validation, and RCTs for institution-level programs.

**Keywords** — social media addiction, problematic use of social media, college students, mental health, academic performance, behavioral addiction.

---

## I. INTRODUCTION

College years carry multiple risk factors of addiction: unmanaged time, peer-network nucleation, and initial use of platforms engineered to activate compulsive consumption. A meta-analysis examining 31 studies across 14 countries found that 15–40% of college students reported overuse consistent with behavioral addiction levels, driven by variable-ratio reinforcement schedules, social comparison, and algorithmic customization [4]. Reported harm outcomes—including increased depression and anxiety, impaired sleep, and

deteriorating GPA—are examined in this review across four questions: (1) How common is problematic use? (2) What mechanisms drive it? (3) What consequences are measurable? (4) What interventions are evidence-based?

## II. METHODOLOGY

In March 2024, we searched Google Scholar, PsycINFO, and PubMed using the following query: ("social media addiction" OR problematic social media use) AND (college students OR university students) AND (mental health OR academic performance OR sleep OR intervention), covering publications from 2000–2024. Inclusion criteria required peer-reviewed English-language studies, a college/university sample (mean age 18–26), quantitative or mixed designs, and a validated outcome measure. Exclusion criteria eliminated articles published before 2013, studies involving adolescents under 18, and articles lacking a validated social media measure. A purposive sample of 27 sources was selected around the four core research questions. As this is a narrative—not a PRISMA-registered systematic—review, source selection was not pre-registered, no inter-rater reliability testing was conducted, effect sizes were taken directly from cited sources, and no pooled analyses were performed.

## III. PREVALENCE AND MEASUREMENT

In a meta-analysis of 31 studies from 14 countries ( $N > 46,000$ ), 15–40% of college students self-reported overuse (Cheng et al., 2021). Pew Research Center (2022) data indicate that 84% of adults aged 18–29 use YouTube, 78% use Instagram, and 62% use TikTok, with an average of four to six hours of daily engaged use [5]. Lopez et al. (2023) found that one-third of undergraduates were unable to reduce their usage despite intending to, meeting a core self-regulation failure criterion [6]. The Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale (BSMAS; Andreassen et al., 2012) is the most validated instrument, assessing six dimensions—salience, mood modification, tolerance, withdrawal, conflict, and relapse—mirroring DSM substance-use disorder criteria (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .82-.91$ ) [7].

**TABLE I** SOCIAL MEDIA USE AMONG COLLEGE STUDENTS IN THE UNITED STATES

Platform	% College Users	Students (min/day)	Adults (min/day)	Addiction Risk
TikTok	62%	95	46	High
Instagram	78%	53	30	High
Snapchat	65%	49	18	Moderate-High
Twitter/X	42%	31	22	Moderate
Facebook	55%	33	35	Moderate
YouTube	84%	74	40	Moderate

#### IV. NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL MECHANISMS

The mesolimbic dopamine pathway is engaged by social rewards such as likes, comments, and follower counts [1]. Variable-ratio reinforcement—where rewards occur unpredictably—produces the most persistent behavior in any species; pull-to-refresh and notification-badge features directly operationalize this schedule. Tamir and Mitchell (2012) demonstrated that social media self-disclosure activated the nucleus accumbens and ventral tegmental area more strongly than non-social activities [10].

Festinger's (1954) social comparison theory explains how peer-curated content elicits upward comparison, envy, and reduced self-evaluation [11]. Przybylski et al. (2013) found that FOMO mediated 38% of the variance in compulsive checking, with unmet belonging needs most strongly affected among students [12]. Kircaburun and Griffiths (2018) associated problematic Instagram use with ADHD-like symptoms, controlling for personality [13]. Loh and Kanai (2014) found that heavy media multitasking correlated with lower gray-matter density in the anterior cingulate cortex, though these findings are correlational [14].

**TABLE II** EVIDENCE SUMMARY OF SOCIAL MEDIA ADDICTION RISK FACTORS

Risk Factor	Summary of Evidence	Source
Platform Design (variable reward, infinite scroll)	Strongest structural predictor; dopaminergic activity established through neuroimaging	Montag et al. (2019) [1]; Tamir & Mitchell (2012) [10]

Low Self-Esteem / Neuroticism	OR = 2.3 with high neuroticism in BSMAS samples	Andreassen et al. (2012) [7]
Academic Stress and FOMO	Compulsive checking variance mediated by FOMO (38%)	Przybylski et al. (2013) [12]
Social Isolation / Loneliness	d = 0.35 correlation with perceived isolation	Primack et al. (2017) [22]
Existing Mental Disorders	Depression/anxiety predict later problematic use (longitudinal)	Coyne et al. (2020) [3]
ADHD-like Symptoms	Connected with malfunctioning inhibitory control and compulsive checking	Kircaburun & Griffiths (2018) [13]

#### V. CONSEQUENCES

Twenge et al. (2018), in a dataset of 506,820 participants, found that daily use exceeding two to three hours significantly predicted depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation [15]. Vannucci et al. (2017) found a significant association between social media use and anxiety scores among 563 undergraduates ( $r = .18, p < .001$ ) [16]. Passive use (scrolling) consistently produces worse outcomes than active use (messaging, creating): Verduyn et al. (2015) showed passive Facebook use predicted lower affective wellbeing over a week of experience sampling [18].

Junco (2012) found, in a study of 1,839 students, that Facebook use during studying negatively correlated with GPA ( $\beta = -.20$ ) [19]. Rosen et al. (2016) observed that students checked devices eleven times per study session, with each interruption requiring approximately 23 minutes to regain full concentration [20]. Scott and Woods (2019) found that sleep disruption fully mediated the relationship between addictive use and depression (indirect effect  $\beta = .21, 95\% \text{ CI } [.14, .29]$ ), identifying sleep as the single highest-leverage intervention target [21].

**TABLE III** EFFECTS OF PROBLEMATIC SOCIAL MEDIA USE ON STUDENT OUTCOMES

Outcome	Effect Size (d)	Direction	Source
Sleep Quality	0.44	Declines	Scott & Woods (2019) [21]
Depression	0.42	Increases	Twenge et al. (2018) [15]
Anxiety	0.38	Increases	Vannucci et al. (2017) [16]
Loneliness	0.35	Increases	Primack et al. (2017) [22]
GPA	0.31	Decreases	Junco (2012) [19]
Self-Esteem	0.29	Decreases	Woods & Scott (2016) [23]

#### VI. INTERVENTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A meta-analysis of 16 RCTs by Winkler et al. (2013) found that CBT-based interventions produced a pooled effect of  $d = 0.68$  on problematic use, with large co-occurring reductions in depression and anxiety [25]. Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) reduces compulsive checking by widening the interval between impulse and action, though adequately controlled studies remain scarce [24]. Evidence-based self-directed strategies include disabling non-essential notifications, using screen-time management apps, and scheduling dedicated usage windows rather than unrestricted access.

**TABLE IV** SUMMARY OF INTERVENTION EVIDENCE

Intervention	Major Outcome	Level of Evidence	Source
CBT (6-12 sessions)	$d = 0.68$ problematic use decrease; reduced depression/anxiety	Level 1 — RCT meta-analysis	Winkler et al. (2013) [25]
MBSR (8-week group)	Reduced compulsive checking; improved self-regulation	Level 4 — pre-post only	Gao et al. (2020) [24]
Psychoeducation / Media Literacy	Avg. 20 min/day reduction; reduced FOMO	Level 4 — pre-post only	Hunt et al. (2018) [26]
Device-Free Classroom Policy	62% greater recall scores vs. control	Level 3 — quasi-experimental	Kuznekoff & Titsworth (2013) [27]

Screen-Time Restriction Apps	Modest decrease in use with intent-setting	Level 5 — correlational	Rosen et al. (2016) [20]
------------------------------	--	-------------------------	--------------------------

At the policy level, the UK Age Appropriate Design Code (2020) and the EU Digital Services Act (2022) provide templates for regulatory intervention, including mandatory algorithmic disclosure, opt-out mechanisms, and restrictions on dark-pattern features such as infinite scroll and autoplay.

#### VII. LIMITATIONS

Five limitations apply. First, the majority of included studies are cross-sectional, precluding causal inference; reverse causality (depression driving use) cannot be ruled out. Second, purposive, non-preregistered source selection introduces potential selection bias. Third, heterogeneous definitions of problematic use limit direct comparison of effect sizes across studies. Fourth, evidence is predominantly WEIRD (Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, Democratic), limiting generalizability to populations in India and the Global South. Fifth, risk-factor percentage-contribution estimates used in earlier versions of this paper lacked methodological justification; Table II in this version substitutes those figures with direct evidence from cited studies.

#### VIII. CONCLUSIONS

Fifteen to forty percent of college students are documented as problematic social media users on validated scales. Underlying mechanisms are both neurological (dopaminergic variable-ratio reinforcement) and psychological (social comparison, FOMO, impaired inhibitory control). Consequences are quantifiable and practically significant: elevated depression and anxiety, impaired sleep, and declining academic performance. CBT is the most evidence-based treatment modality; device-free classroom policies and media literacy education have quasi-experimental support. Platform-level regulatory intervention is the least-researched but arguably the most powerful lever, given that individual-level interventions must operate against an environment actively engineered to induce compulsive use. Priority research directions include longitudinal

## causal studies, cross-cultural validation of BSMAS, and RCT testing of campus-wide programs.

### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Montag, B. Lachmann, M. Herrlich, and K. Zweig, "Addictive features of social media/messenger platforms and freemium games against the background of psychological and economic theories," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 16, no. 14, p. 2612, 2019.
- [2] J. J. Arnett, "Emerging adulthood: A theory of development from the late teens through the twenties," *Am. Psychologist*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 469–480, 2000.
- [3] S. M. Coyne et al., "Does time spent using social media impact mental health? An eight year longitudinal study," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 104, p. 106160, 2020.
- [4] C. Cheng et al., "Prevalence of social media addiction across 32 nations," *Addictive Behaviors*, vol. 117, p. 106845, 2021.
- [5] Pew Research Center, "Social media use in 2022," [Online]. Available: <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2022/01/31/social-media-use-in-2022/>; DataReportal, "Digital 2024 global overview report," 2024.
- [6] R. B. Lopez, B. T. Denny, and C. P. Fagundes, "Addictive social media use and affective dysregulation among college students," *Psychology of Popular Media*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 211–220, 2023.
- [7] C. S. Andreassen et al., "The development of a Facebook Addiction Scale," *Psychol. Reports*, vol. 110, no. 2, pp. 501–517, 2012.
- [8] C. Montag et al., "Internet communication disorder and the structure of the human brain," *Sci. Reports*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2018.
- [9] A. Alter, *Irresistible: The Rise of Addictive Technology and the Business of Keeping Us Hooked*. New York: Penguin Press, 2017.
- [10] D. I. Tamir and J. P. Mitchell, "Disclosing information about the self is intrinsically rewarding," *PNAS*, vol. 109, no. 21, pp. 8038–8043, 2012.
- [11] L. Festinger, "A theory of social comparison processes," *Human Relations*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 117–140, 1954.
- [12] A. K. Przybylski et al., "Motivational, emotional, and behavioral correlates of fear of missing out," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 1841–1848, 2013.
- [13] K. Kircaburun and M. D. Griffiths, "Instagram addiction and the Big Five of personality: The mediating role of self-liking," *J. Behavioral Addictions*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 158–170, 2018.
- [14] K. K. Loh and R. Kanai, "Higher media multi-tasking activity is associated with smaller gray-matter density in the anterior cingulate cortex," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 9, no. 9, p. e106698, 2014.
- [15] J. M. Twenge et al., "Increases in depressive symptoms, suicide-related outcomes, and suicide rates among U.S. adolescents after 2010," *Clinical Psychological Sci.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 3–17, 2018.
- [16] A. Vannucci, K. M. Flannery, and C. M. Ohannessian, "Social media use and anxiety in emerging adults," *J. Affective Disorders*, vol. 207, pp. 163–166, 2017.
- [17] J. Fardouly et al., "Social comparisons on social media: The impact of Facebook on young women's body image concerns and mood," *Body Image*, vol. 13, pp. 38–45, 2015.
- [18] P. Verduyn et al., "Passive Facebook usage undermines affective well-being," *J. Experimental Psychology: General*, vol. 144, no. 2, pp. 480–488, 2015.
- [19] R. Junco, "The relationship between frequency of Facebook use, participation in Facebook activities, and student engagement," *Computers & Education*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 162–171, 2012.
- [20] L. D. Rosen et al., "Sleeping with technology: Cognitive, affective, and technology usage predictors of sleep problems among college students," *Sleep Health*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 49–56, 2016.
- [21] H. Scott and H. C. Woods, "Fear of missing out and sleep: Cognitive behavioural factors in adolescents' nighttime social media use," *J. Adolescence*, vol. 68, pp. 61–65, 2019.
- [22] B. A. Primack et al., "Social media use and perceived social isolation among young adults in the U.S.," *Am. J. Preventive Medicine*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2017.
- [23] H. C. Woods and H. Scott, "#Sleepyteens: Social media use in adolescence is associated with poor sleep quality, anxiety, depression and low self-esteem," *J. Adolescence*, vol. 51, pp. 41–49, 2016.
- [24] J. Gao et al., "Mental health problems and social media exposure during COVID-19 outbreak," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 15, no. 4, p. e0231924, 2020.
- [25] A. Winkler et al., "Treatment of internet addiction: A meta-analysis," *Clinical Psychology Review*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 317–329, 2013.
- [26] M. G. Hunt et al., "No more FOMO: Limiting social media decreases loneliness and depression," *J. Social and Clinical Psychology*, vol. 37, no. 10, pp. 751–768, 2018.
- [27] J. H. Kuznekoff and S. Titsworth, "The impact of mobile phone usage on student learning," *Communication Education*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 233–252, 2013.