International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development-– Volume 9 Issue 2, Mar-Apr 2026
Available at www.ijsred.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

A Review on Alumni–Student Engagement Systems and AI Recommenders in Higher Education

Muskan Verma, Rohit Mhetre, Onkar Gadge, R. A. Nikam
Department of Information Technology
Anantrao Pawar College of Engineering and Research, Pune, India
Email: imuskanverma19@gmail.com, rohitmhetre2004@gmail.com, onkargadge2@gmail.com, rajshri.nikam@abmspcoerpune.org

Abstract: Alumni–student engagement has evolved from static communication into a dynamic, data-driven ecosystem. Traditional alumni management platforms primarily focus on event coordination and record maintenance, but lack personalization, intelligence, and adaptive interaction. Recent advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI) enable the automation of mentor– mentee matching based on skills, interests, and professional attributes, thereby enhancing the relevance and quality of alumni–student interactions. This paper reviews existing alumni engagement systems, identifies key technological limitations, and emphasizes the growing need for AI-based recommendation mechanisms within academic ecosystems. While visualization dashboards continue to support transparency and performance tracking, AI-driven recommendations emerge as the core enabler of personalized engagement. The paper integrates insights from contemporary literature and proposes a conceptual direction for building intelligent, scalable, and institution-centric engagement platforms.

Keywords **— Alumni engagement, AI recommendation, Machine learning, Higher education systems, Mentor matching, Data analytics**

I. INTRODUCTION

Alumni networks represent one of the most valuable assets within academic institutions, contributing significantly to mentorship, internships, knowledge exchange, and institutional reputation. Effective alumni engagement strengthens long-term relationships between graduates and institutions while also supporting current students in their academic and professional development.

However, maintaining meaningful alumni–student engagement remains a complex challenge. Traditional Alumni Management Systems (AMS) are primarily designed for record maintenance, communication, and event coordination. These systems often operate on fragmented databases and rely on manual processes, resulting in limited personalization and inefficient interaction.

With the rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI), the landscape of alumni engagement is undergoing a significant transformation. AI-based recommendation systems enable intelligent mentor–mentee matching by analyzing user attributes such as skills, interests, professional background, and engagement history. This transition shifts alumni engagement from generic communication to highly personalized and data driven interaction.

In addition, visualization dashboards have been introduced to monitor engagement metrics such as participation rates, mentor response patterns, and system performance. While these dashboards enhance transparency and administrative decision-making, they primarily provide descriptive insights and lack predictive and adaptive capabilities.

The core focus of this review is to analyze how AI-driven recommendation systems can address these limitations and enhance alumni–student engagement.

The objectives of this study are as follows:

- To examine the evolution of alumni engagement systems and identify existing technological gaps.
- To analyze the role of AI-based recommendation techniques in improving mentor–mentee matching.
- To evaluate current approaches and highlight future research directions for intelligent engagement systems.

II. REVIEW METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a structured literature review approach inspired by PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) principles to ensure comprehensive coverage, transparency, and reproducibility of the review process.

A. Data Collection

Relevant research publications were collected from well-established academic databases, including IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, SpringerLink, Scopus, and ScienceDirect. The selected time frame for this review spans from 2015 to 2025, capturing recent advancements in Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and educational technology systems.

The search process was guided using specific keywords and combinations to ensure relevance. The primary keywords used include:

- “AI recommendation system”
- “Alumni engagement”
- “Mentor–mentee matching”
- “Higher education analytics”
- “Machine learning in education”

These keywords were combined using Boolean operators to refine the search results and identify high-quality, peer reviewed studies.

B. Selection Criteria

To ensure the relevance and quality of the selected literature, specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Studies focusing on AI-based recommendation systems in educational or engagement platforms
- Research on alumni management systems and engagement platforms
- Papers demonstrating machine learning or data-driven personalization techniques
- Studies providing system architecture, methodology, or experimental evaluation

Exclusion Criteria:

- Non-technical studies or purely social networking discussions
- Papers without implementation details or system design
- Non-English publications
- Duplicate or low-quality sources

C. Categorization of Literature

The selected studies were systematically categorized into three major domains to facilitate structured analysis:

- **Evolution of Alumni** Systems: Studies focusing on traditional alumni platforms and their functionalities.
- **Visualization and Analytics:** Research related to dashboards, data analytics, and engagement monitoring.
- **AI-Based Recommendation Approaches:** Studies exploring machine learning techniques for personalization and mentor matching.

This categorization enables a comprehensive understanding of the progression from traditional systems to modern AI-driven engagement platforms.

III. LITERATURE SURVEY

A. Evolution of Alumni Management Systems

Alumni Management Systems (AMS) have undergone significant transformation over the past decade, evolving from simple record-keeping tools to more integrated digital platforms. Early systems were primarily database-centric, focusing on storing alumni information such as contact details, academic history, and employment records. These systems enabled institutions to maintain alumni directories and facilitate basic communication through email notifications and event announcements.

Bista et al. [11] developed one of the early web-based alumni portals, which provided functionalities such as user registration, profile updates, and event participation. While
The system improved accessibility and centralized data management, it lacked advanced features such as analytics, automation, and intelligent recommendation. Interaction between students and alumni remained largely manual and user-driven.

Similarly, Enihe and Omopariola [12] proposed a national-level alumni platform designed to connect graduates across institutions. The system emphasized scalability and accessibility, allowing users to interact within a shared environment. However, despite its broader reach, the platform relied heavily on manual search mechanisms and did not incorporate any
form of predictive or recommendation-based interaction.

As digital technologies advanced, alumni systems began incorporating web-based interfaces and basic analytics. These systems introduced functionalities such as event tracking, alumni contributions, and communication history. However, the underlying architecture remained largely static, and systems continued to depend on predefined workflows without adaptive capabilities.

Shende et al. [1] proposed an alumni–student interaction platform that aimed to improve communication between students and graduates. The system included modules for alumni registration, student queries, and communication management. Although the platform improved connectivity, it still relied on manual mentor selection and did not utilize machine learning techniques for automated matching.

Muralidhar et al. [2] introduced an alumni association platform that incorporated basic machine learning concepts for categorizing users. The system attempted to group users based on predefined attributes such as domain and interests. However, the approach was limited to rule-based classification and lacked advanced recommendation algorithms capable of handling dynamic user data.

Trillano et al. [3] developed an alumni tracer system focused on tracking graduate outcomes and employment statistics. The system provided valuable insights into alumni career paths and institutional performance. However, its primary focus was on data collection and reporting rather than engagement or interaction. As a result, it did not support personalized communication or mentor recommendation.

In addition to academic research, several commercial platforms have emerged to support alumni engagement. Platforms such as Vaave, Almabase, Graduway, and Ken42 provide features including alumni directories, fundraising tools, mentorship programs, and analytics dashboards. These platforms have improved scalability and usability, enabling institutions to manage large alumni networks efficiently.

However, despite these advancements, most commercial platforms still rely on descriptive analytics and predefined workflows. As highlighted in recent studies [1], [2], these systems lack deep integration of Artificial Intelligence and fail to provide intelligent mentor–mentee matching. Personalization remains limited, and recommendations are often based on basic filtering rather than advanced machine learning techniques.

The evolution of alumni systems can therefore be categorized into three major phases:

- Phase 1: Database-Centric Systems — Focused on record storage and basic communication.
- Phase 2: Web-Based Systems with Analytics — Introduced dashboards and engagement tracking.
- Phase 3: Emerging AI-Driven Systems — Incorporate machine learning for personalization and recommendation.

Despite this progression, a significant gap still exists between traditional systems and fully intelligent engagement platforms. Most existing systems do not leverage the full potential of AI, particularly in the context of automated mentor matching and predictive engagement.

A. Limitations of Traditional Alumni Systems

A critical analysis of existing alumni systems reveals several limitations that hinder effective engagement.

One of the primary challenges is data fragmentation. Alumni data is often distributed across multiple platforms, including institutional databases, social media, and third-party applications. This fragmentation reduces data consistency and limits the ability to perform comprehensive analysis.

Another major limitation is the lack of personalization. Traditional systems rely on broad categories such as department or graduation year, rather than individual user profiles. As a result, recommendations are generic and fail to meet specific user needs.

Manual interaction is another significant issue. In most systems, students must manually search for alumni mentors, which is time-consuming and inefficient. This process often leads to mismatched connections and reduced engagement.

Additionally, traditional systems lack predictive capabilities. They cannot forecast user behavior, engagement trends, or mentor availability. This limits their effectiveness in supporting proactive decision-making.

Finally, **scalability** remains a concern. As the number of users increases, manual processes become increasingly inefficient, highlighting the need for automated and intelligent solutions.

These limitations emphasize the necessity of transitioning towards AI-driven alumni engagement systems that can provide personalized, scalable, and data-driven interactions.

B. Transition Towards Intelligent Engagement Systems

The growing need for personalization and automation has led to the integration of Artificial Intelligence in alumni engagement platforms. AI enables systems to analyze large volumes of data and extract meaningful patterns, facilitating intelligent decision-making.

Recent studies highlight the increasing adoption of machine learning techniques in educational systems. AI-based approaches can process structured data such as academic records as well as unstructured data such as user profiles and interaction history.

This transition marks a shift from static systems to dynamic, adaptive platforms capable of continuous learning and improvement. AI-driven systems not only enhance recommendation accuracy but also improve user experience by providing relevant and timely suggestions.

Furthermore, the integration of AI supports scalable **engagement**, allowing institutions to manage large alumni networks efficiently. Automated systems reduce the need for manual intervention and enable real-time interaction.

However, despite these advancements, the adoption of AI in alumni systems is still in its early stages. Many institutions continue to rely on traditional platforms due to challenges such as implementation complexity, data privacy concerns, and lack of technical expertise.

This highlights the need for further research and development in AI-based alumni engagement systems, particularly in designing scalable, secure, and user-centric solutions.

C. Visualization and Analytics in Alumni Engagement Systems

Visualization and analytics have become integral components of modern alumni engagement systems. As institutions began to collect large volumes of alumni data, the need for structured representation and analysis led to the development of dashboard-based systems.

Visualization dashboards provide graphical representations of engagement metrics such as alumni participation rates, communication frequency, event attendance, and demographic distribution. These dashboards enable administrators to monitor system performance, identify trends, and make informed decisions.

Gupta and Banerjee [13] demonstrated the effectiveness of dashboard-based systems in improving transparency and administrative control. Their study highlights how visualization tools can simplify complex datasets and provide actionable insights. Similarly, Haritha et al. [4] proposed a system that integrates multiple institutional databases to generate unified analytical dashboards.

Despite these advantages, visualization systems are primarily limited to descriptive analytics. They provide insights into what has happened but do not predict future outcomes or recommend actions. For example, dashboards can show which alumni are most active but cannot determine which alumni would be the most suitable mentors for a particular student.

Another limitation is the lack of personalization. Visualization systems focus on aggregate data rather than individual user profiles. As a result, they fail to address the specific needs of students and alumni.

Recent research has explored the integration of predictive analytics into visualization systems. These approaches aim to extend dashboards beyond descriptive insights by incorporating forecasting models. However, such systems are still in the early stages of development and have not been widely adopted in real-world applications.

Thus, while visualization and analytics enhance system transparency and monitoring, they are insufficient for achieving personalized and intelligent engagement. This limitation reinforces the importance of AI-based recommendation systems.

D. AI-Based Recommendation System in Alumni–Student Engagement

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative technology in recommendation systems across various domains, including e-commerce, entertainment, and education. In the context of alumni engagement, AI enables automated mentor–mentee matching based on data-driven analysis.

AI-based recommendation systems utilize machine learning algorithms to analyze user profiles, preferences, and interaction patterns. These systems aim to provide personalized recommendations that enhance user experience and engagement. Smith et al. [5] proposed a two-sided matching framework that considers both student preferences and mentor attributes. This approach improves matching accuracy by ensuring compatibility between both parties. Similarly, IEEE studies [6], [7] demonstrate the effectiveness of machine learning models in
educational recommendation systems.

AI-based recommendation techniques can be broadly classified into three categories:

1) Content-Based Filtering: Content-based filtering recommends mentors based on the similarity of user attributes. In alumni systems, this includes factors such as skills, academic background, domain expertise, and career interests.

For example, a student interested in software development may be matched with alumni working in the IT industry. The system calculates similarity scores based on shared attributes and ranks potential mentors accordingly.

The advantages of content-based filtering include:

- Simplicity and interpretability
- Independence from other users' data
- Effective use of profile information

However, this approach has limitations:

- Limited diversity in recommendations
- Over-specialization (recommending similar profiles repeatedly)
- Dependence on accurate user data

2) Collaborative Filtering: Collaborative filtering relies on user interaction data to identify patterns and similarities among users. Instead of focusing on profile attributes, this approach analyzes user behavior such as previous interactions, mentor selections, and feedback.

For example, if multiple students with similar profiles interact with a particular alumni mentor, the system may recommend that mentor to other similar students.

Collaborative filtering provides:

- Higher diversity in recommendations
- Ability to discover hidden patterns
- Improved recommendation quality with large datasets However, it also faces challenges:
- Cold-start problem for new users
- Data sparsity in systems with limited interactions
- High computational complexity

3) Hybrid Recommendation Models: Hybrid models com- bine content-based and collaborative filtering techniques to overcome their individual limitations. These models leverage both user attributes and interaction patterns to generate more accurate and diverse recommendations.

Recent studies [6], [7] indicate that hybrid models outperform standalone approaches in terms of accuracy, scalability, and user satisfaction.

Hybrid systems are particularly suitable for alumni engagement platforms, as they can utilize both structured data (profiles) and unstructured data (interaction history).

E. Machine Learning Algorithms Used in Recommendation Systems

Various machine learning algorithms are employed to implement recommendation systems in alumni engagement platforms.

1) Clustering Algorithms: Clustering techniques such as K- Means are used to group users based on similarity. In alumni systems, clustering can help identify groups of students and alumni with similar interests or career paths.

2) Classification Algorithms: Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Decision Trees are used for classification tasks, such as predicting whether a mentor is suitable for a particular student. These models provide structured decision-making based on input features.

3) Ensemble Methods: Ensemble methods such as Random Forest and Gradient Boosting combine multiple models to improve prediction accuracy. These techniques are robust and can handle complex datasets effectively.

4) Deep Learning Approaches: Recent research explores deep learning techniques, including neural networks and graph-based models, for recommendation systems. These approaches can capture complex relationships between users and provide highly accurate recommendations.

However, deep learning models require large datasets and high computational resources, which may limit their practical implementation in some institutions.

F. Integration of AI with System Architecture

Modern alumni engagement systems integrate AI com- ponents within a multi-layered architecture. These systems typically consist of:

- Data Layer (storage of user profiles and interaction data)
- Processing Layer (data cleaning and transformation)

- AI Layer (machine learning models for recommendation)
- Application Layer (user interface and interaction)

This layered architecture enables scalability, modularity, and efficient system design.

G. Key Observations from Literature

Based on the reviewed studies, the following observations can be made:

- Visualization systems provide insights but lack predictive capabilities
- AI-based recommendation systems significantly improve personalization
- Hybrid models offer the best performance among recommendation techniques
- Machine learning algorithms enable scalable and efficient engagement systems

These findings highlight the growing importance of AI in transforming alumni engagement systems from static platforms to intelligent ecosystems.

H. Comparative Evaluation of Existing Approaches

A detailed comparison of existing alumni engagement systems reveals significant variation in technological capabilities, particularly in terms of analytics, personalization, and AI integration.

Traditional alumni systems are primarily limited to database management and communication functionalities. These systems lack automation and depend heavily on manual interaction, resulting in low efficiency and poor scalability.

Analytics-based systems improve upon traditional models by introducing visualization dashboards and engagement tracking. These systems provide valuable insights into user behavior and institutional performance. However, they remain limited to descriptive analytics and do not support predictive or adaptive decision-making.

AI-based systems represent the most advanced stage in the evolution of alumni engagement platforms. These systems leverage machine learning algorithms to automate mentor matching, improve personalization, and enhance overall engagement. As demonstrated in recent studies [5], [6], hybrid recommendation models achieve the highest accuracy and user satisfaction.

TABLE I
COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ALUMNI ENGAGEMENT APPROACHES

Approach	Personalization	Automation	Intelligence
Traditional Systems	Low	Low	None
Analytics Systems	Medium	Low	Limited
AI-Based Systems	High	High	Advanced

The comparison clearly indicates that AI-based systems provide superior performance in terms of personalization, scalability, and efficiency.

I. Challenges in AI-Based Alumni Engagement Systems

Despite the advantages of AI-based systems, several challenges must be addressed to ensure effective implementation.

Data Quality and Availability: Machine learning models require large volumes of high-quality data. However, many institutions lack structured and consistent alumni data, which affects model performance.

Cold-Start Problem: New users with limited or no historical data pose a significant challenge for recommendation systems. This reduces the accuracy of initial recommendations.

Privacy and Security Concerns: Alumni data often includes sensitive information such as professional history and personal details. Ensuring data privacy and compliance with regulations is critical.

Model Complexity and Maintenance: Advanced machine learning models require continuous training and maintenance. Model drift may occur as user behavior and industry trends change over time.

Scalability Issues: As the number of users increases, the computational complexity of recommendation systems also increases. Efficient system design is required to handle large scale data.

These challenges highlight the need for robust, scalable, and secure AI architectures in alumni engagement systems.

J. Research Gaps Identified from Literature

Based on the comprehensive review of existing studies, several research gaps have been identified:

Limited Integration of AI in Real Systems: Although research on AI-based recommendation systems is ex- tensive, their adoption in real-world alumni platforms remains limited.

Lack of Standardized Datasets: Most studies use custom datasets, making it difficult to compare results and evaluate system performance across different implementations.

Insufficient Personalization: Many systems rely on basic filtering techniques and do not fully utilize user- specific data for personalized recommendations.

Minimal Use of Advanced AI Techniques: Deep Learning and graph-based models are not widely explored in alumni engagement systems.

Absence of Feedback-Driven Learning: Few systems incorporate user feedback to continuously improve recommendation accuracy.

Limited Focus on Real-Time Systems: Most implementations are offline and do not support real-time recommendation updates.

These gaps indicate significant opportunities for future research and development in this domain.

K. Discussion and Key Insights

The literature survey highlights a clear transition from traditional alumni management systems to AI-driven engagement platforms. While early systems focused on data storage and communication, modern approaches emphasize personalization, automation, and intelligent decision-making.

AI-based recommendation systems have demonstrated significant potential in improving mentor–mentee matching and enhancing user engagement. Hybrid models, in particular, pro- vide a balanced approach by combining multiple techniques. However, the adoption of AI in alumni systems is still in its early stages. Challenges related to data quality, system scalability, and privacy must be addressed to enable widespread

implementation.

Furthermore, there is a need for interdisciplinary research that combines machine learning, data analytics, and human centered design to develop effective engagement systems.

L. Summary of Literature Review

In summary, the literature survey reveals that:

- Traditional alumni systems lack personalization and automation.
- Visualization systems improve transparency but lack predictive capabilities.
- AI-based recommendation systems significantly enhance engagement and matching accuracy.
- Hybrid models outperform individual recommendation techniques.
- Several research gaps remain, particularly in real-world implementation and advanced AI integration.

These findings provide a strong foundation for further exploration of AI-driven alumni engagement systems and justify the need for continued research in this field.

IV. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

Despite the significant advancements in alumni engagement systems, a detailed analysis of existing literature reveals several persistent challenges that limit their effectiveness. These challenges are not isolated but are interconnected, affecting the overall performance, scalability, and personalization of alumni–student engagement platforms.

A. Fragmented and Inconsistent Alumni Data

One of the most critical issues identified across multiple studies is the fragmentation of alumni data. As discussed in previous sections, alumni information is often distributed across multiple systems, including institutional databases, third-party platforms, spreadsheets, and social networking sites.

This fragmentation leads to:

- Inconsistent data formats and structures
- Redundant or duplicate records
- Missing or outdated information

Studies such as [3] highlight that many institutions rely on legacy systems that are not integrated with modern platforms. As a result, the lack of a centralized and standardized data repository significantly affects the ability of systems to perform meaningful analysis.

Furthermore, fragmented data limits the effectiveness of machine learning models, as these models require clean, structured, and comprehensive datasets for accurate predictions. Without proper data integration, even advanced AI-based systems fail to deliver reliable results.

B. Lack of Intelligent Recommendation Mechanisms

A major limitation of traditional and even some modern alumni platforms is the absence of intelligent recommendation systems. As observed in studies such as [1] and [2], most platforms rely on manual or rule-based approaches for mentor matching.

In such systems:

- Students manually search for alumni based on filters
- Matching is based on limited attributes (e.g., department or batch)
- No learning from past interactions or feedback

This results in inefficient and often irrelevant connections between students and alumni. The lack of automation not only increases user effort but also reduces engagement quality.

AI-based recommendation systems have been shown to significantly improve matching accuracy [5], yet their adoption in real-world alumni systems remains limited. This gap between research and implementation is a key challenge in this domain.

C. Limited Personalization and User-Centric Design

Personalization is a fundamental requirement for modern digital systems. However, most alumni engagement platforms fail to provide user-specific recommendations.

Existing systems typically:

- Categorize users based on broad attributes
- Ignore individual preferences and goals
- Provide generic suggestions rather than tailored recommendations

As highlighted in [6], personalization improves user satisfaction and engagement by delivering relevant and meaningful interactions. However, many alumni systems lack the capability to analyze user behavior and preferences at a granular level. This limitation reduces the effectiveness of engagement platforms, as users are less likely to interact with systems that do not cater to their specific needs.

D. Absence of Predictive and Adaptive Analytics

Visualization dashboards, while useful, are primarily limited to descriptive analytics. They provide insights into past and current system performance but do not offer predictive capabilities.

For example:

Systems can show participation trends but cannot predict future engagement

Dashboards display active users but cannot identify potential mentors

No forecasting of mentor availability or student needs

As discussed in [13], predictive analytics plays a crucial role in modern data-driven systems. The absence of such capabilities limits the ability of institutions to make proactive decisions.

Adaptive systems that learn from user interactions and continuously improve recommendations are still not widely implemented in alumni engagement platforms.

E. Manual Processes and Lack of Automation

Many alumni systems still depend on manual processes for managing interactions, approving users, and assigning mentors. This creates several inefficiencies:

- Increased administrative workload
- Delayed responses and interactions
- Higher probability of human error

Manual mentor allocation often results in mismatched connections due to the lack of data-driven decision- making. As noted in [2], automation is essential for scaling engagement systems and improving efficiency.

The absence of automation becomes a significant bottleneck as the number of users increases, making it difficult to manage large alumni networks effectively.

F. Scalability Challenges in Large-Scale Alumni Systems

As alumni networks grow, scalability becomes a critical concern for engagement systems. Many traditional platforms are not designed to handle large volumes of data and user interactions efficiently.

With thousands of students and alumni interacting simultaneously, systems must process large datasets in real time. However, existing systems often suffer from:

- Slow response times during peak usage
- Inefficient data retrieval mechanisms
- Limited support for real-time recommendation updates Machine learning models, particularly collaborative filtering

techniques, require substantial computational resources when applied to large datasets. Without optimized infrastructure, system performance may degrade significantly.

Furthermore, as institutions expand globally, systems must support geographically distributed users. This introduces additional challenges related to latency, synchronization, and data consistency.

These issues highlight the need for scalable architectures that can efficiently handle large user bases while maintaining performance and reliability.

G. Privacy and Security Concerns

Alumni engagement systems handle sensitive user information, including personal details, academic records, and professional history. Ensuring data privacy and security is therefore a major concern.

Key challenges include:

- Protecting user data from unauthorized access
- Ensuring compliance with data protection regulations
- Managing secure communication between system com- ponents

AI-based systems further complicate this issue, as machine learning models require access to large datasets for training and prediction. Improper handling of data may lead to privacy breaches.

Studies emphasize the importance of implementing secure data storage mechanisms, encryption techniques, and access control policies. Additionally, ethical considerations must be addressed to ensure that recommendation systems do not introduce bias or unfair treatment.

H. Cold-Start Problem and Data Sparsity

One of the fundamental challenges in recommendation systems is the cold-start problem. This occurs when new users have little or no historical data, making it difficult for the system to generate accurate recommendations.

In alumni engagement systems, this issue arises when:

- New students join the platform
- Alumni profiles are incomplete
- Interaction data is insufficient

Collaborative filtering techniques are particularly affected by this problem, as they rely heavily on user interaction data. Data sparsity is another related issue, where the available data is insufficient to identify meaningful patterns. This reduces the effectiveness of recommendation models and may
result in inaccurate or irrelevant suggestions.

Hybrid models partially address these challenges by combining multiple techniques. However, further research is required to develop more robust solutions.

I. Model Drift and Dynamic User Behavior

User preferences and professional profiles evolve over time. Alumni may switch careers, acquire new skills, or change industries, while students continuously update their interests and goals.

This dynamic nature of user data leads to model drift, where machine learning models become less accurate over time if not updated regularly.

Challenges include:

- Maintaining up-to-date models
- Retraining models with new data
- Ensuring consistency in recommendations

Failure to address model drift can result in outdated recommendations, reducing user trust and engagement.

J. Discussion and Key Insights

The analysis of existing literature and identify challenges reveals several important insights.

First, there is a clear gap between traditional alumni systems and modern AI-driven platforms. While research has demonstrated the effectiveness of machine learning techniques, their adoption in real-world systems remains limited.

Second, personalization emerges as a critical factor in improving user engagement. Systems that provide tailored recommendations based on user profiles and behavior are significantly more effective than generic platforms.

Third, scalability and data management are essential for the successful deployment of alumni engagement systems. Without proper infrastructure, even advanced models cannot perform efficiently.

Fourth, ethical considerations, including privacy and fairness, must be integrated into system design. AI systems should not only be accurate but also trustworthy and transparent.

Finally, there is a need for continuous learning systems that adapt to changing user behavior and improve over time. Static systems are insufficient for dynamic environments such as educational ecosystems.

K. Summary of Identified Problems

Based on the above analysis, the key problems can be summarized as follows:

- Fragmented and inconsistent alumni data
- Lack of intelligent recommendation systems
- Limited personalization and user-centric design
- Absence of predictive and adaptive analytics
- Manual processes and lack of automation
- Scalability challenges in large systems
- Privacy and security concerns
- Cold-start problem and data sparsity
- Model drift and dynamic user behavior

These challenges collectively justify the need for advanced AI-based alumni engagement systems that can provide scalable, secure, and personalized solutions.

The next section builds upon these insights to outline a conceptual AI-driven framework for improving alumni–student engagement.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

This paper presented a comprehensive review of alumni–student engagement systems, focusing on their evolution, current limitations, and the growing role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in enhancing engagement quality. The study analyzed traditional alumni management platforms, visualization-based systems, and modern AI-driven recommendation approaches. The review highlights that early alumni systems were primarily designed for data storage and communication, lacking personalization and intelligent interaction. Although visualization dashboards improved transparency and monitoring capabilities, they remained limited to descriptive analytics and did not support predictive or adaptive decision-making.

The integration of AI-based recommendation systems marks a significant advancement in this domain. Techniques such as content-based filtering, collaborative filtering, and hybrid models enable personalized mentor–mentee matching by leveraging user profiles, interaction patterns, and domain-specific attributes. Among these, hybrid models demonstrate superior performance by combining the strengths of multiple approaches.

However, the analysis also reveals several critical challenges, including fragmented data, lack of intelligent recommendation mechanisms, limited personalization, scalability constraints, and privacy concerns. These issues indicate a clear gap between research advancements and real-world implementation.

From a broader perspective, the transition from traditional systems to AI-driven engagement platforms represents a paradigm shift toward data-centric and user-focused design. AI enables institutions to move beyond generic communication and deliver meaningful, personalized interactions that enhance both student experience and alumni involvement.

A. Future Scope

Based on the identified research gaps and technological trends, several future directions can be explored:

- **Advanced AI Models:** The application of deep learning techniques, including neural networks and Graph Neural Networks (GNNs), can further improve recommendations accuracy by capturing complex relationships between users.
- **Real-Time Recommendation Systems: Future** systems should focus on real-time processing to provide dynamic and context-aware recommendations based on continuously evolving user data.
- **Integration of Natural Language Processing (NLP):** NLP techniques can be used to analyze textual data such as user profiles, feedback, and communication patterns to enhance semantic understanding and recommendation quality.
- **Privacy-Preserving AI:** Developing secure and privacy- aware models, including federated learning

and encrypted data processing, will be essential for protecting sensitive user information.

- **Feedback-Driven Learning Systems:** Incorporating user feedback into recommendation models can enable continuous improvement and adaptive learning.
- **Cross-Platform Integration:** Future systems should integrate data from multiple platforms, including social networks and professional platforms, to provide more comprehensive recommendations.
- **Career Path Prediction:** AI can be extended to predict career trajectories and suggest mentors based on long- term professional goals.

In conclusion, AI-driven alumni engagement systems have the potential to transform higher education ecosystems by enabling scalable, intelligent, and personalized interactions. Addressing the identified challenges and exploring advanced technologies will be crucial for realizing this potential.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Department of Information Technology, Anantrao Pawar College of Engineering and Research, Pune, for providing continuous academic guidance and support throughout this work.

The authors also acknowledge the contributions of researchers and scholars whose work in the field of alumni engagement systems, machine learning, and recommendation technologies has significantly influenced this study. Additionally, the use of various academic databases and open-source resources has been instrumental in conducting this comprehensive review.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Shende, S. Kulkarni, and A. Patil, “Intelligent Platform to Interconnect Alumni and Students for Educational Institutes,” International Journal of Advanced Computer Technology and Engineering (IJACTE), 2025.

[2] V. Muralidhar, R. Karthik, and S. Reddy, “Alumni Association Platform Using Machine Learning Techniques,” International Journal of Ad- vanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering (IJAR- CCE), 2025.

[3] H. Trillano, J. Cruz, and M. Santos, “Alumni Tracer and Management System with Data Analytics,” International Journal of Research in Information Systems and Sciences (IJRISS), 2025.

[4] D. Haritha, P. S. Rao, and K. Mehta, “Integrating College Databases for Enhanced Alumni Engagement,” International Research Journal of Modern Engineering and Technology (IRJMETS), 2024.

[5] A. Smith and L. Johnson, “Two-Sided Mentor–Mentee Matching Using Algorithmic Approaches,” PLOS ONE, vol. 14, no. 3, 2019.

[6] IEEE, “Artificial Intelligence in Institutional Decision Support Systems,”
IEEE Xplore, Document ID: 10200060, 2023.

[7] IEEE, “Machine Learning Techniques for Learning Analytics and Rec- ommendation Systems,” IEEE Xplore, Document ID: 10142761, 2023.

[8] IEEE, “Hybrid AI-Based Dashboard Systems for Educational Analytics,”
IEEE Xplore, Document ID: 10378784, 2024.

[9] IEEE, “Educational Personalization Using Machine Learning Ap- proaches,” IEEE Xplore, Document ID: 10040315, 2022.

[10 IEEE, “Visualization-Driven AI Systems for Data Analytics,” IEEE Xplore, Document ID: 9065320, 2021.

[11] S. Bista, R. Sharma, and P. Verma, “Web-Based Alumni Management System,” International Journal of Computer Applications, 2018.

[12] O. Enihe and J. Omopariola, “Design and Implementation of Alumni Portal System,” African Journal of Computing, 2019.

[13] R. Gupta and S. Banerjee, “Dashboard-Based Alumni Analytics System for Higher Education,” IEEE Conference on Data Analytics, 2022.

