International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development-– Volume 9 Issue 2, Mar-Apr 2026
Available at www.ijsred.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

AI Agents: Understanding Their Role, Challenges, and Future:

Swati Akhare[1], Aditya G. Mohod[2], Yash R. Bhole[3], Pranit V. Sadafale[4], Rushikesh S. Ingle[5], Yazish Khan[6]

[1]*Ast. Prof,* [2,3,4,5,6] *Students, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, R. V. Parankar College of Engineering and Technology.*

Abstract:

AI **agents** are autonomous software systems that use artificial intelligence (often LLMs) to perform tasks with minimal human intervention. They are increasingly used to automate daily repetitive tasks – for example, summarizing or replying to emails, sorting messages, scheduling meetings, and managing to-do lists. Modern workflow platforms like n8n enable building such agents through visual, drag-and-drop interfaces and prebuilt nodes, avoiding extensive hand-coding. This paper explains what AI agents are and how they work, describes how tools like n8n make it easy to create them, and presents concrete use cases (e.g. auto-replying to email inquiries, automatic task creation, meeting scheduling) as evidence of their capabilities. We compare these new agentic tools to traditional automation and AI systems in terms of flexibility, usability, scalability, and technical complexity, and discuss benefits (e.g. efficiency gains, accessibility), limitations (e.g. current technical maturity, need for oversight), and future prospects. Key findings include that agentic platforms can dramatically streamline repetitive work, yet must be applied judiciously with proper monitoring, and that no-code builders greatly lower the barrier to deploying sophisticated automations.

Keywords— AI Agents, Intelligent Task Automation, Workflow Automation, Low-Code Automation, n8n Platform, Large Language Models, Autonomous Software Agents, Email Automation, Productivity Automation, Traditional Automation Comparison

1. Introduction

Everyday knowledge workers spend many hours on routine tasks: reading and triaging email, replying with routine information, scheduling meetings, sorting documents, and reminding themselves of actions. **AI agents** promise to handle these chores autonomously. Broadly speaking, an AI agent is a software system "capable of acting autonomously to understand, plan and execute tasks". Unlike simple chatbots that answer one prompt at a time, agentic AI can decompose a goal into subtasks, call external tools, and iterate on responses to complete work end-to-end. Recent advances in large language models (LLMs) and AI-driven automation have spawned platforms and tools that let non-specialists assemble such agents. In particular, low-code/no-code workflow engines like "**n8n"** provide visual editors and hundreds of integrations, allowing users to build multi-step AI-driven workflows without writing extensive code. This paper surveys the role of AI agents in automating repetitive daily work. We first define what agentic AI is and how it differs from traditional automation (Background), then describe how tools like n8n work (Methodology). Next, we compare these modern agents to older approaches (Comparative Analysis), illustrate use cases (Use Cases), and discuss challenges and prospects (Challenges, Conclusion). Throughout, we cite recent examples and expert sources to support our analysis.

2. Background

AI agents defined. Industry experts define an AI agent as a system that autonomously takes actions to achieve goals in its environment. For example, Lindy.ai characterizes AI agents as "software agents that use artificial intelligence to make decisions and take actions to complete specific goals" by interacting with digital environments (email, CRM,

calendars, etc.). IBM's Think piece similarly describes an AI agent as "a system that autonomously performs tasks by designing workflows with available tools".. Unlike traditional AI (rule-based scripts) or basic chatbots, agentic AI can dynamically plan, reason over data, call external APIs, and adjust its own steps. As Snowflake notes, agentic systems represent "a big leap" beyond basic AI: they can "sift through different information sources… use reasoning [and] decision-making algorithms to generate options for a solution, coordinate the plan and execute it". In short, AI agents learn over time from feedback and work collaboratively with humans, acting like "digital teammates" that complete tasks with minimal supervision.

Traditional automation vs agentic AI. Before LLMs, most task automation relied on fixed scripts or RPA (Robotic Process Automation). RPA bots can automate repetitive, rule-based tasks (e.g. filling forms, moving data) but are brittle: they fail if an interface changes or an exception occurs. In contrast, AI agents can handle unstructured data and adapt. As one analysis puts it, "traditional RPA automates tasks; AI automation automates decisions and outcomes". Agentic AI can split complex goals into subtasks and call tools as needed, while classical tools would have to be explicitly pre-programmed for each variation. Another viewpoint: RPA follows "if–then" rules for fixed processes, whereas AI agents use LLMs to understand intent, weigh options, and handle novel situations.

The n8n platform. n8n is an open-source, low-code workflow automation tool designed to let technical users build complex automations. It offers a **visual node-based editor** in which each node represents an action or logic step. Users can connect nodes for triggers, data processing, API calls, and more. For example, a workflow could start with an email-trigger node (on new mail), then pass the content to an AI text analysis node, and finally route to an email-send or task-creation node. n8n emphasizes flexibility: it is **self-hostable** and **open-source**, allows JavaScript or Python code at any step for custom logic, and supports over 1000 integrations (APIs, services, databases). This makes it more powerful than simple no-code tools (like some pre-set automation apps) yet far simpler than building a bespoke backend from scratch. n8n even includes specialized "AI nodes" for tasks like classification, summarization or GPT-powered reasoning.

In recent years, other companies have launched similar AI-agent tools. For instance, Zapier (a popular automation service) has introduced an AI-powered "Copilot" builder for automations. However, n8n's open-source nature and rich customizability give it an edge for developers who want granular control. Tabletop analysis of platform features (e.g. n8n vs Zapier) shows that n8n offers self-hosting, native code steps, and scalable execution at a predictable cost, whereas many SaaS competitors charge per action and restrict advanced features to expensive plans.

3. Methodology

To understand how AI agents automate daily tasks, we examine both the **architectural patterns** and **practical workflows** used. Our approach was to review official documentation, community tutorials, and case studies for tools like n8n, and to identify common building blocks of agent workflows. We also considered technical analyses (e.g. Neomanex, Ventus) comparing agentic AI to conventional automation.

A typical **agent workflow** in a platform like n8n follows a trigger-action pattern. First, a **trigger node** detects an event (e.g. a new email, a scheduled time, a webhook). Then the workflow chains through nodes that **process data** or make decisions: for example, **AI nodes** that call an LLM to classify or summarize text, logic nodes to branch on conditions, and data-

transformation nodes (merge, map, filter). Finally,**action nodes** perform outputs such as sending a message, creating a calendar event or writing to a database. n8n explicitly supports this pipeline: its node types include triggers, actions (e.g. "Send Email"), logic, data transformation, code execution, integration (API calls), and AI-assistance.

For example, in an email automation workflow one might use: 1. **Trigger:** Watch a Gmail inbox for new messages.
2. **AI Summarization:** Pass the email body to a GPT-based summarizer node.
3. **Conditional Logic:** Branch on keywords or sentiment (via an AI classification node).
4. **Action:** If it's an inquiry, use an AI agent node to draft a reply and send it out; if it's a task-related email, create a new to-do in a task management app.

This no-code methodology greatly simplifies what would otherwise require custom coding. The **n8n interface** allows dragging these nodes onto a canvas and wiring them together, viewing data flows at each step. Internally, the platform manages API calls, LLM requests, and state. Workflows can be tested step-by-step and re-run with saved data for debugging. We built and inspected sample workflows (from the n8n community templates and documentation) to identify common patterns for email and task automation.

In this study, we systematically compare such modern agentic workflows to **traditional**

approaches. We identify key dimensions (flexibility, usability, scalability, complexity) and evaluate each approach using published metrics, user reports, and platform feature lists. By combining hands-on examination of tools with insights from current literature, we aim to present a balanced analysis of the state of AI-driven automation.

4. Comparative Analysis

Flexibility. Modern AI-agent platforms like n8n are highly flexible. They allow users to integrate virtually any API or data source (over 1000 integrations), add custom code at any point, and adjust logic visually. For example, n8n's workflow builder "adapts to how developers already think about logic, data flow, and integrations," combining visual blocks with optional JavaScript fallbacks. This contrasts with older systems. RPA bots can only work with screen controls or fixed APIs; even minor UI changes break them. Likewise, traditional macros or scripts typically operate on fixed inputs (e.g. exact email formats) and cannot easily adapt to new contexts. In short, agents built on LLMs can reason **over unstructured inputs** and call different tools as needed, whereas rule-based tools follow static paths.

Usability and Access. No-code/low-code AI agent tools greatly reduce technical barriers. Platforms like n8n present a drag-n-drop interface that business users can often use without deep programming expertise. Pre-built templates and nodes (e.g. "Send Email", "Call OpenAI") handle much of the complexity. By contrast, implementing similar automation in the past required software development. Zapier, for example, excels at user-friendliness but sacrifices flexibility; n8n offers similar ease plus the power of cointegration.

According to MindStudio, no-code AI platforms let non-technical users create agents in minutes using visual builders and natural language prompts. This democratization is crucial: custom AI agent development otherwise demands specialized ML engineering (often taking months and costing \$75k–\$500k). In summary, new agents are easier to build (with wizards and templates) than traditional custom software, yet more capable than simple rule-based tools.

Scalability. AI agent platforms are designed for scaling. n8n, for instance, supports "queue mode" with multiple workers and multi-server deployment, and its licensing charges per workflow run rather than per step. Cloud-hosted solutions can spin up

additional capacity on demand. In contrast, classical automation often scaled by deploying more independent bots or writing more scripts, which became expensive and brittle at scale. The Intellify analysis notes that while rule-based automations have low initial costs, maintenance grows steeply as processes expand. Agentic systems, on the other hand, can handle growing exceptions automatically and may require less human upkeep over time. Scalability also refers to the breadth of tasks: AI agents can handle multi-system workflows (email, CRM, calendar) natively, while older tools often required siloed implementations.

Technical Complexity. Building an AI agent with modern platforms is conceptually simpler than traditional custom development. With n8n, for example, a user need only configure nodes; the underlying complexity of API calls, data parsing, and LLM requests is managed by the platform. By contrast, implementing the same features without a platform would involve coding HTTP requests, handling authentication, integrating SDKs, and possibly tuning a model or writing glue code. That said, agentic tools are inherently more complex than basic automations.

They may rely on evolving LLM APIs and need careful design of prompts and logic. In terms of skill required, no-code platforms shine: MindStudio reports that no-code agent builders cut AI development time by ~40% compared to custom engineering. However, achieving robust results may still require understanding of AI concepts and iterative tuning (especially for mission-critical tasks).

Summary. In sum, AI-agent platforms represent a new blend: they combine the multi-app integration of iPaaS (integration-platform-as-a-service) with the intelligence of LLMs. Compared to "traditional AI" like standalone chatbots or analytics tools, agents can take real actions. Compared to classic RPA or coded scripts, agents are more adaptable and maintainable. Our analysis finds that tools like n8n offer unmatched freedom (custom code + low-code), broad integrations, and enterprise features (on-premise hosting, version control), making them technically more flexible and scalable than legacy systems, albeit with a learning curve for complex logic.

5. Use Cases

AI agents are being applied to many routine tasks. We describe key examples:

Email management and auto-replies: AI agents can "organize inboxes, prioritize messages, draft replies, and send follow-ups without manual input". For instance, a workflow might use an email trigger node, then an AI summarization node to extract a brief of the email, followed by a generation node to craft a reply. n8n's templates include an AI-powered email handler that classifies incoming queries and uses GPT to draft professional responses. Real-world tools like Lindy automatically triage incoming emails, suggest replies in the user's tone, and sync decisions back into CRMs.

Message sorting and classification: Agents can scan messages (emails, chat, tickets) for intent. For example, a Gmail trigger can pass email text to an AI classification node to label it (e.g. "Invoice", "Support Request", "Spam"). The agent can then route or delete messages accordingly. Commercial products use this: Zendesk's AI auto-classifies tickets, routes them, and even drafts responses. In Slack or teams, agents can tag discussions or escalate urgent items. (Even a simple spam filter is a form of reflex agent: it tags mails using rules as described in the literature.)

Task and project management: When an email or chat mentions an action item, an AI agent can turn it into a task. For example, one n8n workflow "automatically creates Tasks from forwarded Emails" by letting each user configure a route to their Notion workspace. The agent uses an LLM to convert an email into an actionable task and summary, then

creates a task entry in Notion. Similarly, apps like Motion and Reclaim use AI to fit tasks and meetings into calendars: Motion builds a dynamic daily plan that **"adjusts automatically when meetings move or deadlines shift,"** reshuffling tasks in real time. These systems free users from manual calendar juggling.

Scheduling and reminders: AI scheduling agents remove tedious back-and-forth. A Lindy example ("Lindy Scheduler") connects to Google/Outlook, finds common free slots, sends meeting invites, and reschedules if conflicts arise. Reclaim reorganizes tasks to protect focus time as new meetings appear. Agents can also monitor deadlines and send reminders via email or chat, based on context. For instance, a workflow could trigger a reminder message when an event is due, or escalate a task if it becomes overdue, all driven by the agent's logic.

Other everyday automations: Beyond communication, AI agents assist with customer support (auto-responding to FAQs by fetching info from a knowledge base), data entry (extracting info from documents), and even personal errands.

For example, a voice assistant agent could book appointments by checking calendars and email chains, similar to how an executive assistant would schedule calls. The key is combining AI understanding with multi-step tools: e.g. scanning an email for a name, looking it up in CRM, and then sending a personalized outreach – all in one workflow.

Across these examples, the patterns are common: ingest data → apply AI reasoning → take action. Platforms like n8n simplify these by providing ready-made connectors (Gmail, Slack, Calendars) and AI nodes, so a user can assemble a custom "digital assistant" for their daily routine without building everything from scratch.

6. Challenges

While promising, AI agents for daily tasks face limitations. First, **technical maturity:**Today's agents are still early-stage. As IBM analysts observe, most current "agents" are essentially LLMs with simple planning or function-calling, not fully autonomous reasoners. Significant advances in contextual reasoning and memory are needed before agents can handle very complex, high-stakes tasks without errors. Second, **accuracy and reliability:** LLMs can hallucinate or produce incorrect output, so agents must be carefully monitored. Even with logic nodes, errors in reasoning or data handling can cause missteps (e.g. booking the wrong meeting time). This is why many platforms advocate human-in-the-loop guardrails and detailed logging.

Third, **security and privacy:**Agents often need access to personal emails, calendars, or corporate data. Ensuring that sensitive information is handled securely (end-to-end encryption, on-premise deployment) is crucial. There is also a risk that a misguided agent could inadvertently expose confidential data. Fourth, **ethical and societal risks:** Fully autonomous agents raise questions of accountability – who is responsible if an agent makes a bad decision?

The World Economic Forum notes technical issues (errors, cyberattack risks) as well as broader concerns about job impacts and decision-making accountability.

Finally, there are practical challenges: designing a good agent requires clear goals and data governance. As one guide points out, AI agents add complexity that must be justified by uncertain workflows – for simple, well-defined tasks, rule-based automation may suffice. Agents also need ongoing maintenance: model updates, prompt tuning, and monitoring for drift. In summary, while agents excel at dynamic, judgment-based tasks, they are not a plug-and-play fix for every process. Organizations must "balance innovation with responsibility", ensuring human oversight, testing, and ethical safeguards are in place

as agents become more prevalent.

7. Conclusion

AI agents represent a transformative approach to automating routine tasks, leveraging modern machine learning and integration platforms to do work that once required human attention. Tools like n8n exemplify this trend: they enable users to **visually assemble multi-step AI-driven workflows** that can read emails, call LLMs, decide, and act – all without extensive coding. We have seen how such agents can handle email triage, message sorting, scheduling, and task reminders, saving hours of manual effort each week. Compared to traditional automation (RPA, scripted bots) and earlier AI systems, these agents are far more flexible and adaptable: they reason over unstructured data and learn from feedback. At the same time, platforms like n8n keep complexity in check by providing GUI tools and templates that non-experts can use.

The benefits are clear: improved efficiency, faster workflows, and more time for creative work. Companies report big ROI gains (some claim 8:1 vs 2:1 for RPA) when agents handle repetitive tasks. However, current agents are not infallible. They require good prompt engineering, testing, and sometimes human review. Security, governance, and ethical use are vital considerations as autonomy increases. Looking ahead, research and industry projects are pushing toward more sophisticated agent capabilities (multi-agent collaboration, better memory, multimodal perception). Surveys suggest that by 2025–2027, a majority of businesses will pilot agentic AI in some form. As these systems mature and standards evolve, the hope is that they will handle more of our mundane tasks reliably, allowing humans to focus on strategic and creative endeavors. In conclusion, AI agents enabled by platforms like n8n offer a powerful new paradigm for daily automation. When applied appropriately, they can **save time, reduce errors, and augment productivity** – marking a significant advance over the script-based tools of the past.

8. References

IBM. *What Are AI Agents?* IBM Think. “An artificial intelligence (AI) agent is a system that autonomously performs tasks by designing workflows with available tools…”.

Snowflake. *What Are AI Agents? Types, Use Cases and Benefits*. Snowflake Blog. Explains AI agents as autonomous systems that improve decision-making with ML, handling tasks beyond simple automation.

Lindy.ai. *Top 30 AI Agent Examples for 2026*. Blog. Defines AI agents as “software agents that use AI to make decisions and take actions” and gives email automation as an example.

n8n. *Build Custom AI Agents With Logic & Control*. n8n Product Page. Highlights n8n as a “flexible AI workflow automation” platform with visual building and code fallback. Also notes support for 500+ integrations and self-hosting.

n8n Blog. *15 Practical AI Agent Examples to Scale Your Business in 2025*. Blog post (Mar 2025). Discusses the shift from static automation to adaptive AI agents and how n8n simplifies building them.

Codefinity. *What is n8n and How to Build Automations Without Coding* (Feb 2026). Tutorial. Describes n8n as an open-source, node-based workflow tool combining drag-and-drop with full JavaScript support.

n8n Community Template. *AI-Powered Email Automation for Business*. n8n Workflow (2024). Example workflow that summarizes and replies to emails using AI.

n8n Community Template. *Turn emails into AI-enhanced tasks in Notion*. n8n Workflow (2024). Demonstrates automatically converting forwarded emails into actionable tasks via AI processing.

Neomanex. *AI Agents vs RPA: Why Traditional Automation Falls Short in 2026*. Article (Dec 2025). Compares AI agents to RPA, noting that RPA is

"like a sophisticated macro" while agents can pursue goals and adapt.

Ventus AI. *RPA vs AI Agents: The Real Difference* (Feb 2026). Blog. Highlights that RPA uses brittle UI scripts, whereas AI agents "combine reasoning, vision, and communication" to handle tasks end-to-end.

MindStudio. *No-Code AI Agent Builders: 2026 Comparison Guide*. Article (2025). Reports that custom AI agent development is costly and slow, while no-code platforms drastically cut costs and development time (agents can be built in minutes).

World Economic Forum. *What are the risks and benefits of 'AI agents'?* (Dec 2024). WEF Article. Discusses AI agents' capabilities and warns of risks (technical errors, security issues, accountability) as they become more autonomous.

Top 30 AI Agent Examples for 2026 (Real-World Use Cases) | Lindy

https://www.lindy.ai/blog/ai-agents-examples

AI Agents vs RPA: Why Traditional Automation Falls Short in 2026 | Neomanex - AI-First Company Transformation

https://neomanex.com/posts/ai-agents-vs-rpa

AI Agents in 2025: Expectations vs. Reality | IBM

https://www.ibm.com/think/insights/ai-agents-2025-expectations-vs-reality

AI Workflow Automation Platform & Tools - n8n

https://n8n.io/

What is n8n and How to Build Automations Without Coding

https://codefinity.com/blog/What-is-n8n-and-How-to-Build-Automations-Without-Coding

What Are AI Agents? | IBM

https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/ai-agents

What Are AI Agents? Types, Use Cases and Benefits

https://www.snowflake.com/en/fundamentals/what-are-ai-agents-understanding-their-role-and-impact/

RPA vs AI Agents: The Real Difference (2026 Guide) | Ventus AI Blog | Ventus AI

https://www.ventus.ai/blog/rpa-vs-ai-agents-real-difference-2026-guide/

No-Code AI Agent Builders: 2026 Comparison Guide

https://www.mindstudio.ai/blog/no-code-ai-agent-builders

n8n vs Zapier – Which is right for you?

https://n8n.io/vs/zapier/

AI-powered email automation for business: Summarize & respond with RAG | n8n workflow template

https://n8n.io/workflows/2852-ai-powered-email-automation-for-business-summarize-and-respond-with-rag/

Turn emails into AI-enhanced tasks in Notion (multi-user support) with Gmail, Airtable and Softr | n8n workflow template

https://n8n.io/workflows/2377-turn-emails-into-ai-enhanced-tasks-in-notion-multi-user-support-with-gmail-airtable-and-softr/

15 Practical AI Agent Examples to Scale Your Business in 2025 – n8n Blog

https://blog.n8n.io/ai-agents-examples/

Build Custom AI Agents With Logic & Control

| n8n Automation Platform

https://n8n.io/ai-agents/

What are the risks and benefits of 'AI agents'? | World Economic Forum

https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/12/ai-agents-risks-artificial-intelligence/

