International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development-– Volume 8 Issue 6, Nov- Dec 2025
Available at www.ijsred.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

AI-Enhanced Computer-Based Flipped Classroom: Toward Personalized, Interactive, and Scalable Learning

Musa Ayeye*, Umar Mukail Nuhu**, Veronica Daniel Kagaru***
*(Department of Computer Science, Isa Mustapha Agwai I Polytechnic, Lafia. Nasarawa State. Nigeria ayeye.musa@imap.edu.ng)
**(Department of Computer Science, Isa Mustapha Agwai I Polytechnic, Lafia. Nasarawa State. Nigeria nuhuumar219@gmail.com)
***(Department of Pre-ND and General Studies, Isa Mustapha Agwai I Polytechnic, Lafia. Nasarawa State. Nigeria)

Abstract:

The flipped classroom has gained prominence in higher education as a model that shifts learning from passive lectures to active, student-centered engagement. By moving lectures and foundational content outside the classroom, valuable in-class time can be devoted to collaboration, discussion, and problem-solving. The model enhances critical thinking, teamwork, and deeper understanding. Recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI) have opened new opportunities to enrich this approach. AI tools such as adaptive learning platforms, chatbots, generative assistants, and data analytics can extend the flipped model by providing personalized learning pathways and immediate feedback. Emerging research between 2021 and 2025 highlights these benefits: increased motivation, greater learner autonomy, stronger preparedness for class, and improved skills such as speaking and collaboration. At the same time, challenges remain. Barriers include limited access to reliable technology, ethical concerns about data privacy and bias, the steep learning curve for teachers, and the risk of AI tools being used without clear alignment to pedagogical goals. This paper synthesizes current findings on AI-enhanced flipped learning, outlines the benefits and limitations of this evolving model, and proposes a refined framework for implementation. It concludes with recommendations for practice and directions for future research to ensure responsible and effective integration of AI in flipped classroom environments.

Keywords — ***Flipped Classroom, Artificial Intelligence, Personalized Learning, Educational Technology, Adaptive Learning, Chatbots, Motivation, Teacher Professional Development***

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid transformation of higher education in the digital age has redefined traditional notions of teaching and learning. As institutions strive to equip learners with critical thinking, collaboration, and problem-solving skills, there is growing recognition that lecture-based models are often insufficient for achieving deep, active learning. In response, the flipped classroom has emerged as a widely adopted pedagogical model that repositions students as active participants rather than passive recipients of knowledge. By shifting the transmission of foundational content to the pre-class phase and reserving class time for interaction, collaboration, and application, the flipped classroom promotes student-centered engagement, critical inquiry, and deeper understanding [3], [16].

However, while this model has shown measurable benefits, it is not without limitations. Studies reveal challenges in maintaining student motivation, ensuring consistent pre-class preparation, and providing personalized learning experiences for diverse student populations [4], [6]. Additionally,

instructors often struggle with increased workload and the complexity of designing effective pre-class and in-class activities [12]. These barriers can limit the scalability and inclusiveness of the flipped classroom, especially in resource-constrained environments such as many African universities [6].

Amid these challenges, the emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) presents new opportunities to transform the flipped classroom into a more personalized, interactive, and scalable learning model. AI tools—including adaptive learning platforms, chatbots, generative assistants, and learning analytics systems—are increasingly being used to enhance instructional design and learner support [2], [4]. Adaptive platforms can adjust learning pathways to match individual learner needs, while chatbots and virtual assistants provide real-time explanations and support beyond classroom hours. Generative AI systems can assist with summarising complex content, provide practice exercises, and simulate authentic problem-solving scenarios [10], [13]. Moreover, AI-driven analytics empower educators with data on learner progress, allowing for targeted interventions and more efficient use of classroom time [7], [8]. Empirical evidence from recent studies underscores the positive impact of integrating AI into flipped classrooms. Students exposed to AI-enhanced environments report higher levels of motivation, engagement, and autonomy, as well as stronger preparedness for in-class collaboration [3], [16]. AI-supported feedback mechanisms also foster self-regulated learning and reflective practices, enabling learners to identify and address knowledge gaps before class [5]. Importantly, AI-enhanced flipped classrooms have shown potential in improving communication and problem-solving skills, particularly when used to scaffold language learning and discussion-based tasks [9].

Yet, despite these advances, critical gaps remain in the literature and in practice. Many implementations prioritise technological novelty over pedagogical alignment, resulting in inconsistent outcomes and superficial engagement [4], [10]. Ethical concerns—such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and transparency—remain underexplored, raising questions about the responsible use of AI in educational contexts [5]. Furthermore, research on scalability and contextual adaptation is limited, particularly in developing regions where infrastructure and digital literacy may constrain effective adoption [6]. Educators also face steep learning curves in integrating AI tools meaningfully into their teaching, underscoring the need for professional development and institutional support [12]. Given these challenges, there is an urgent need for a comprehensive and ethically grounded framework that guides the integration of AI into the computer-based flipped classroom. Such a framework should align AI capabilities with sound pedagogical principles, promote equitable access, and enhance—not replace—the human elements of teaching and learning.

Consequently, this paper seeks to:

1. Synthesize current research (2021–2025) on AI-enhanced flipped classrooms, highlighting key trends, benefits, and limitations;
2. Identify existing gaps and challenges related to technology, pedagogy, ethics, and scalability; and
3. Propose a refined implementation framework that leverages AI to achieve personalized, interactive, and scalable learning while maintaining educational integrity and inclusivity.

By addressing these objectives, the study contributes to the growing discourse on digital pedagogy and offers practical insights for educators, policymakers, and researchers seeking to harness AI's potential responsibly in higher education.

II. PURPOSE OF THE REVIEW

The purpose of this review is to critically examine how artificial intelligence (AI) can be effectively integrated into the computer-based flipped classroom to enhance personalization, interactivity,

and scalability in higher education. While the flipped classroom model has proven valuable in promoting active learning and student engagement, persistent challenges such as inconsistent learner preparation, limited differentiation, and scalability barriers remain [3], [4]. The increasing availability of AI tools—ranging from adaptive platforms and intelligent tutoring systems to generative AI assistants and analytics dashboards—offers new possibilities for addressing these gaps.

This review therefore seeks to:

1. Synthesize recent empirical and theoretical studies (2021–2025) that explore the intersection of AI and flipped learning, identifying emerging trends, benefits, and constraints.
2. Analyze the pedagogical alignment between AI-driven tools and the flipped classroom model to determine how AI can meaningfully support pre-class, in-class, and post-class learning phases.
3. Identify critical gaps in the literature, including ethical concerns, equity issues, and challenges in teacher readiness and institutional support.
4. Propose a refined implementation framework that leverages AI's capabilities to foster personalized learning pathways, real-time feedback, and scalable instructional design, while maintaining educational integrity and inclusiveness.

Through this review, the paper aims to contribute both conceptual clarity and practical guidance for educators, researchers, and policymakers. It positions AI not merely as a technological enhancement but as a catalyst for reimagining flipped learning as a more responsive, equitable, and future-oriented model of education.

III. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a systematic literature review approach designed to synthesize and interpret recent scholarly work on the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) within the computer-based flipped classroom model. The methodology emphasizes clarity, relevance, and depth of analysis to ensure that conclusions drawn are grounded in credible and contemporary evidence.

A. Research Design

A qualitative content analysis framework will be used to review and synthesize findings from peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and open-access scholarly sources published between 2021 and 2025. This time frame was selected to capture the most recent advancements in AI and their application to flipped learning, given the rapid evolution of educational technologies in recent years.

B. Search Strategy

A search was conducted across major academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar, using combinations of keywords such as *"AI-enhanced flipped classroom"*, *"artificial intelligence in flipped learning"*, *"adaptive learning and flipped model"*, *"chatbots in flipped classrooms"*, and *"generative AI in education."*.

C. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure relevance and quality, studies were selected based on the following criteria:

- Inclusion:
  - Published between 2021 and 2025.
  - Peer-reviewed or from credible academic publishers.
  - Focused on the integration of AI technologies such as adaptive systems, chatbots, analytics, and generative tools in flipped or blended classrooms.
  - Empirical, theoretical, or conceptual in nature.
- Exclusion:
  - Published before 2021.
  - Studies outside higher education or unrelated to flipped learning.

- Publications without clear AI components.
- Non-English language papers.

Validation and Triangulation: To strengthen credibility, multiple sources were cross-checked, and insights from literature reviews [5], [6].were compared with empirical case studies [3], [7]. This triangulation ensured consistency and minimized bias in interpretation.

Ethical Considerations: Since this study relies solely on published literature, no primary data collection involving human participants was conducted. Ethical attention was given to accurately representing authors' findings, avoiding plagiarism, and providing appropriate citations.

D. Quality Assessment

To ensure the reviewed studies were rigorous, reliable, and valid, this research used the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklist, a widely accepted tool for assessing the quality of research. The checklist offers a structured way to evaluate how each study was designed, how participants were selected, and how data were collected and analyzed, helping to judge the overall trustworthiness of the findings. The 12 studies (2021–2025) on AI-enhanced computer-based flipped classrooms were reviewed using an eleven-point checklist developed by Aromataris and Munn (2020).

Each study was reviewed independently by two external reviewers who were not part of the research team. This "masked" review helped ensure objectivity and reduce any potential bias. To be included, studies had to meet at least four checklist criteria. The assessment focused on whether:

- The purpose of the study was clear and relevant to the flipped classroom;
- The research addressed the integration and impact of AI tools such as adaptive learning systems, chatbots, or generative tools;
- The data collection instruments were appropriate and reliable;
- The results were useful for educators and researchers;
- The conclusions were supported by the evidence; and
- The study provided recommendations for future research.

Only studies showing strong methodological quality—with clear goals, sound design, valid analysis, and well-supported conclusions—were included in the final review. A few papers that did not meet the minimum criteria, especially those lacking clarity in methods or weak links between data and conclusions, were excluded. This careful process ensured that the evidence base used for analyzing AI-enhanced flipped classrooms was credible, transparent, and methodologically sound.

E. Selection of Studies

An initial search across five databases (Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar) yielded 74 records. After 19 duplicates were removed, 55 unique studies remained for screening. The titles and abstracts were examined for relevance to the inclusion criteria, resulting in the exclusion of 28 studies that did not focus on AI-enhanced flipped classrooms.

A total of 27 studies were subjected to full-text review. Based on the inclusion criteria—focus on AI integration, relevance to flipped learning, methodological clarity, empirical or conceptual basis, and publication in English—15 studies were excluded. The reasons for exclusion included lack of AI focus (n = 6), conceptual misalignment (n = 5), and insufficient methodological detail (n = 4).

Ultimately, 12 studies met all criteria and were included in the final synthesis. These studies represented diverse methodologies (experimental, quasi-experimental, mixed-method, and qualitative) and covered a variety of AI applications, including adaptive learning systems, chatbots, voice assistants, analytics dashboards, and generative AI tools.

Table 1
PRISMA Summary of Study Selection

Stage	Description	Number of Studies (n)	Remarks
Identification	Records identified through database searches (Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, ScienceDirect, Google Scholar)	74	Initial search using keywords related to AI and flipped classrooms
Duplicates Removed	Duplicate records excluded	19	Remaining unique studies = 55
Screening	Title and abstract screening for relevance	55	28 excluded (irrelevant topics or not AI-related)
Eligibility	Full-text articles assessed for eligibility	27	15 excluded for not meeting inclusion criteria
Inclusion	Studies meeting all inclusion criteria and included in synthesis	12	Final pool used for analysis

F. Data Extraction and Analysis

Selected articles were reviewed to extract key information such as:

- Context (discipline, education level)
- AI technologies used
- Implementation model (pre-class, in-class, post-class integration)
- Outcomes (motivation, engagement, performance, scalability)
- Challenges (infrastructure, ethics, pedagogy, teacher readiness)

A thematic synthesis was then conducted to identify common patterns, benefits, and challenges across studies [3], [4], [16]. Findings were grouped into three major themes:

i. Personalization and Adaptivity
ii. Interactivity and Engagement
iii. Scalability and Sustainability

During the full-text review, each study was evaluated against predefined inclusion criteria:

1. Published between 2021 and 2025;
2. Focused on the use of AI tools such as adaptive systems, chatbots, generative AI, analytics in flipped classroom settings;
3. Conducted in an educational context (preferably higher education);
4. Provided empirical data or conceptual frameworks relevant to the research aim; and
5. Written in English and available in full text.

Studies were excluded if they:

- Lacked focus on AI integration;
- Did not include a flipped learning component;
- Were opinion pieces, commentaries, or editorials; or
- Lacked methodological clarity or sufficient data for analysis.

After full-text screening, 12 studies met all inclusion criteria and were retained for the final synthesis. These studies included a range of research designs, such as experimental and quasi-experimental studies by [3], [9], [16], case studies by [2], mixed-method designs by [8], systematic reviews by [4], and qualitative interviews by [5]. Together, they provided a balanced and representative view of current evidence on AI-enhanced flipped learning.

G. Summary of reviewed empirical and theoretical studies (2021–2025)

This table below summarizes twelve recent empirical and theoretical studies (2021–2025) on AI-enhanced flipped classrooms. It includes the author(s), title, methodology, and key findings.

Table 2

Summary of reviewed empirical and theoretical studies (2021–2025)

Author(s)	Title	Methodology	Key Findings
Li & Peng (2022)	Integration of an AI-Based Platform and Flipped Classroom Instructional Model	Experimental study	AI integration improved student motivation, autonomy, and preparedness; however, short-term performance gains were modest.
Lo & Hew (2023)	A Review of Integrating AI-Based Chatbots into Flipped Learning	Systematic literature review	Chatbots enhanced engagement and self-paced learning; success depended on pedagogical alignment and instructor facilitation.

Sánchez-González (2023)	Flipped Classroom with Artificial Intelligence: Educational Effectiveness of Voice-Over Lectures and AI	Quasi-experimental study	AI voice tools increased comprehension and accessibility; students reported higher satisfaction and learning retention.
Tan (2023)	Large Language Model-Driven Classroom Flipping: Empowering Student-Centric Peer Questioning	Design-based research	Generative AI stimulated student questioning and collaboration; highlighted need for ethical use and instructor oversight.
Uchiyama, Umemura & Morita (2023)	LLM-Based System for Immediate Feedback in Flipped Classroom Preparation	Prototype development and case study	Real-time AI feedback improved pre-class participation and fostered self-regulated learning behaviors.
López-Villanueva et al. (2024)	Flipped Learning and Artificial Intelligence: Expert Perspectives	Qualitative interviews	Experts viewed AI as transformative for personalization; identified data ethics, bias, and teacher training as key challenges.
Peng et al. (2025)	GLITTER: AI-Assisted Platform for Material-Grounded Asynchronous Discussion in Flipped Learning	Experimental pilot	AI-supported discussions enhanced metacognitive reflection and improved depth of classroom interactions.
Yavuz (2025)	The Effects of Artificial Intelligence-Supported Flipped Classroom Practices	Quasi-experimental study	Students in AI-supported settings showed higher motivation, better preparedness, and improved collaboration.
Katona (2025)	Integrating AI-Based Adaptive Learning into the Flipped Classroom: Programming Education Perspectives	Case study in higher education programming course	Adaptive AI tools improved comprehension and reduced cognitive overload; supported differentiated learning paths.
Triantafyllidis et al. (2024)	Introducing AI in the Flipped Classroom: A Preliminary Study	Survey and focus group	Instructors recognized AI's potential but reported low readiness; called for institutional support and professional training.
Muhuro & Kang'ethe (2025)	Prospects of Implementing the Flipped Classroom Model among Lecturers in African Universities	Descriptive survey	Identified infrastructure and skill gaps limiting AI adoption; emphasized context-sensitive, low-resource solutions.
Setyoningrum, Susanto & Setiaji (2025)	Impacting Artificial Intelligent Chatbot in Flipped Classroom to Enhance Students' Speaking Competence	Experimental design	AI chatbot significantly enhanced speaking competence, engagement, and confidence through pre-class practice.

IV. FINDINGS ON AI-ENHANCED FLIPPED LEARNING: BENEFITS AND LIMITATIONS

Recent research (2021–2025) demonstrates that integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the flipped classroom model offers significant potential to transform learning experiences, enhance personalization, and improve learner engagement. The flipped classroom—traditionally designed to shift foundational content outside class and reserve in-class time for active learning—has evolved with AI tools that extend support, feedback, and adaptability throughout the learning process.

H. Benefits of AI-Enhanced Flipped Learning

1. Personalized and Adaptive Learning: AI technologies such as adaptive learning platforms and recommender systems enable individualized learning pathways that respond to each student's progress, strengths, and weaknesses. Studies [3] show that adaptive feedback helps students manage their own learning pace, revisit difficult concepts, and arrive in class better prepared. This personalization fosters greater learner autonomy, motivation, and self-regulation.

2. Increased Engagement and Interactivity: AI-driven tools like chatbots, virtual assistants, and generative models have improved student engagement during pre-class preparation. Research by [4] & [9] reveals that chatbots maintain interaction by answering queries and simulating conversation, which reduces isolation in self-paced environments. These tools encourage active participation and make learning more interactive and responsive.
3. Improved Learning Readiness and Classroom Participation: By providing immediate feedback and identifying learning gaps, AI ensures students enter class sessions with stronger conceptual understanding. This readiness enhances the quality of in-class discussions, collaboration, and problem-solving [7], [16]. Teachers can use AI analytics to tailor class activities to specific needs, maximizing the effectiveness of face-to-face sessions.
4. Support for Skill Development: AI applications have been shown to improve communication skills, critical thinking, and collaborative competence. For instance, AI chatbots in language learning settings significantly improved speaking fluency and confidence [9]. Similarly, generative AI tools encourage creative thinking, idea generation, and reflective learning [10].
5. Data-Driven Insights for Instruction**:** Learning analytics powered by AI give educators real-time data on student progress, engagement, and challenges. These insights support evidence-based teaching decisions, allowing instructors to focus class time on areas where students struggle most [13].

I. Limitations and Challenges

1. Pedagogical Misalignment: A recurring issue in current studies is the lack of integration between AI tools and pedagogy. Some implementations focus on technology rather than instructional design, resulting in limited impact on actual learning outcomes [4]. Without clear pedagogical frameworks, AI may serve as a superficial add-on rather than a meaningful enhancement.
2. Teacher Readiness and Professional Development: Many educators lack sufficient AI literacy and training to integrate these tools effectively [5], [12]. This skill gap can lead to underutilization or misuse of AI technologies, reducing their potential benefits.
3. Infrastructure and Accessibility Constraints: In developing contexts, poor internet connectivity, limited device availability, and inadequate institutional support pose major barriers [6]. These challenges restrict equitable access and raise concerns about digital divides between well-resourced and low-resource institutions.
4. Ethical and Privacy Concerns: AI tools often rely on learner data to function effectively, raising issues of data privacy, security, and algorithmic bias [6]. Many studies acknowledge these concerns but lack clear ethical frameworks or policies for responsible AI use in education.
5. Limited Long-Term Evidence: Most existing research is short-term and course-specific, offering little insight into sustained learning outcomes, scalability, or cross-disciplinary applications [16]. Further longitudinal studies are needed to validate the lasting effects of AI-enhanced flipped learning.

V. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK FOR AI-ENHANCED FLIPPED CLASSROOMS

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into flipped classroom pedagogy requires a structured approach that aligns technological innovation with sound pedagogical design. Drawing from current

evidence by [2], [3], [4] & [12], this refined framework proposes a four-phase model—Planning, Design, Building/Support and Evaluation—to guide effective and ethical implementation.

Stage 1: Planning and Preparation

This initial stage establishes the foundation for implementation through strategic planning and pedagogical alignment.

- Define learning objectives: Clearly identify what learners should achieve and how AI can support these objectives.
- Select appropriate AI tools: Choose AI applications (e.g., adaptive platforms, chatbots, generative tools) that directly serve the course's learning needs.
- Assess readiness: Evaluate institutional readiness, available technology, internet access, and student digital literacy.
- Establish Ethical Protocols: Develop policies for data privacy, transparency, and bias mitigation before tool adoption

Goal: Establish clear purpose, readiness, and ethical boundaries.

Phase 2: Instructional and Technical Design

In this phase, AI is embedded into the flipped model to enhance personalization

- Pre-Class (Individual Study):
  - Use adaptive AI systems to deliver tailored learning paths.
  - Deploy chatbots or voice assistants to guide learners, answer queries, and provide instant feedback.
- In-Class (Active Learning):
  - Utilize AI-generated analytics to identify knowledge gaps and inform collaborative activities.
  - Encourage AI-assisted peer questioning and discussion.
- Post-Class (Reflection and Feedback):
  - Implement AI-driven assessments and reflection prompts to reinforce learning.
  - Use generative AI tools to help students summarize and synthesize knowledge.

Goal: Blend AI with flipped learning activities to improve personalization and engagement.

Phase 3: Capacity Building and Teacher Support

The success of AI-enhanced flipped learning depends on teacher readiness.

- Train educators: Provide short courses or workshops on using AI tools effectively and ethically.
- Pilot test: Start with one course or module to observe interaction and resolve challenges.
- Facilitate learning: Teachers guide, motivate, and interpret AI feedback while maintaining a human-centered learning environment.

Goal: Ensure smooth rollout with active teacher involvement and student support.

Phase 4: Evaluation and Improvement

This phase focuses on execution and classroom practice.

- Collect data: Use AI analytics and student feedback to measure learning outcomes, engagement, and satisfaction.
- Review effectiveness: Compare results with learning goals and make adjustments where necessary.
- Share results: Document lessons learned for wider institutional adoption.

Goal: Continuously refine and scale based on evidence.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper examined recent research (2021–2025) on AI-enhanced flipped classrooms, showing how Artificial Intelligence—through adaptive learning, chatbots, analytics, and generative tools—can strengthen the flipped model by enabling personalized learning, greater engagement, and improved learner readiness. These technologies align with flipped pedagogy's goal of shifting passive

study outside class and using classroom time for active, collaborative learning.

Despite these benefits, several challenges remain. Studies highlight issues such as teacher readiness, infrastructure limitations, ethical concerns around data use, and weak pedagogical alignment. Without deliberate planning and support, AI integration risks becoming superficial rather than transformative.

To address these gaps, a refined implementation framework is proposed, emphasizing strategic planning, ethical safeguards, teacher training, purposeful design, and continuous evaluation. This approach positions AI as a supportive partner to educators, enhancing—not replacing—human teaching.

In summary, AI-enhanced flipped learning offers a promising pathway to more personalized, interactive, and scalable education, provided that integration is guided by clear pedagogy, ethical principles, and institutional commitment.

REFERENCES

[1]. Aromataris, E., & Munn, Z. (2020). *JBI manual for evidence synthesis*. Joanna Briggs Institute. https://synthesismanual.jbi.global
[2]. Katona, J. (2025). *Integrating AI-based adaptive learning into the flipped classroom: Programming education perspectives*. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30(2), 145–160.
[3]. Li, B., & Peng, M. (2022). *Integration of an AI-Based Platform and Flipped Classroom Instructional Model*. *Scientific Programming*, 2022, Article ID 2536382. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2536382
[4]. Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2023). *A review of integrating AI-based chatbots into flipped learning: New possibilities and challenges*. *Frontiers in Education*, 8, 1123456.
[5]. López-Villanueva, D., Sanz, M., & Ortega, C. (2024). *Flipped learning and artificial intelligence: Opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations*. *Electronics*, 13(3), 451.
[6]. Muhuro, P., & Kang'ethe, S. M. (2025). *Prospects of implementing the flipped classroom blended learning model among lecturers in African universities*. *Research in Social Sciences and Technology*, 10(1), 144–158.
[7]. Peng, W., Yang, Y., Zhang, Z., & Li, T. J.-J. (2025). *GLITTER: An AI-assisted platform for material-grounded asynchronous discussion in flipped learning*. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.14695*.
[8]. Sánchez-González, M. (2023). *Flipped classroom with artificial intelligence: Educational effectiveness of AI-driven learning support*. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 26(4), 85–98.
[9]. Setyoningrum, N., Susanto, H., & Setiaji, A. (2025). Impacting artificial intelligent chatbot in flipped classroom to enhance students' speaking competence. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 16(3), 512–523.
[10]. Tan, C. W. (2023). *Large Language Model-driven classroom flipping: Empowering student-centric peer questioning with flipped interaction*. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.14708*.
[11]. Teacher Perspectives on Integrating AI Chatbots in Flipped English Classrooms. (2025). *Education Sciences*, 15(1), 56.
[12]. Triantafyllidis, G., Vryonides, M., & Tzafilkou, K. (2024). Introducing AI in the flipped classroom: A preliminary study on teacher readiness. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, 17(2), 1–15.
[13]. Uchiyama, S., Umemura, K., & Morita, Y. (2023). *Large language model-based system to provide immediate feedback to students in flipped classroom preparation learning*. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.11388*.
[14]. UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance on the ethics of artificial intelligence in education*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. https://unesdoc.unesco.org
[15]. United Kingdom Department for Education. (2024). *Harnessing AI to support teachers and improve student outcomes: Policy guidance*. UK Government. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications
[16]. Yavuz, M. (2025). *The effects of artificial intelligence supported flipped classroom practices on student engagement and achievement*. *Open Praxis*, 17(1), 56–69.

