International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development-– Volume 8 Issue 6, Nov-Dec 2025
Available at www.ijsred.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Impact of Alcohol Dependence on Executive Functioning: A Neuropsychological Perspective

Preethi SS*
*Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, Asian International University, Imphal, Manipur, India
Email: preethismile@gmail.com

----------**********----------

Abstract:

Alcohol Dependence Syndrome (ADS) is linked to enduring deficits in cognitive abilities, especially executive functions governed by prefrontal–subcortical brain networks. From a neuropsychological standpoint, the present study explored how long-term alcohol consumption affects executive functioning in individuals with ADS through a cross-sectional comparative approach. Eighty-one individuals meeting ICD-10 diagnostic criteria for ADS were participated for sampling purpose. Participants with ADS and healthy individuals matched for age and education were evaluated using standardized neuropsychological tests targeting executive functions. Compared to the control group, individuals with ADS showed marked impairments in cognitive flexibility, inhibitory control, working memory, and planning abilities. These results emphasize the underlying neurocognitive processes contributing to executive dysfunction in ADS and highlight the importance of routinely assessing executive functions to support effective rehabilitation planning and relapse-prevention efforts.

Keywords — Alcohol Dependence Syndrome, Executive Functions, Neuropsychology, Cognitive Impairment, Addiction

----------**********----------

I. INTRODUCTION

Alcohol Dependence Syndrome (ADS) is a long-term, recurring neurobehavioral condition marked by an uncontrollable urge to consume alcohol, the development of tolerance, withdrawal-related symptoms, and reduced ability to regulate drinking behaviour. In addition to its physical, psychological, and social impacts, sustained alcohol consumption causes notable changes in brain structure and functioning, which subsequently give rise to observable cognitive deficits.

Executive Function and Its Importance

Among the different cognitive areas impacted by Alcohol Dependence Syndrome (ADS), executive functioning is repeatedly found to be the most severely affected. Executive functions encompass advanced cognitive skills such as planning, decision-making, inhibition of inappropriate responses, working memory, and mental flexibility. These capacities are essential for purposeful behaviour, emotional self-regulation, and adherence to treatment, and their disruption leads to impulsive actions, compromised judgment, and a heightened likelihood of relapse.

Neurobiological Basis of Executive Dysfunction in ADS

From a neuropsychological viewpoint, executive deficits observed in Alcohol Dependence Syndrome (ADS) result from the neurotoxic impact of long-term alcohol consumption on prefrontal–subcortical brain pathways. These impairments are driven by multiple mechanisms, including direct damage to neurons, imbalance in key neurotransmitter systems such as GABA, glutamate, dopamine, and serotonin, as well as oxidative stress, neuroinflammatory processes, and nutritional deficiencies, particularly thiamine deficiency. Neuroimaging research has consistently revealed both structural and functional disruptions in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex,

anterior cingulate cortex, and orbitofrontal cortex—areas that play a crucial role in executive regulation.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Alcohol Dependence Syndrome (ADS) constitutes a major global public health concern. According to estimates by the World Health Organization, harmful alcohol consumption is responsible for more than three million deaths each year and contributes to nearly 5% of the total global disability-adjusted life years. In the Indian context, national surveys report that approximately 14–16% of adults consume alcohol, with nearly one-third displaying hazardous drinking or dependence-related patterns. ADS is linked to wide-ranging medical, psychiatric, social, and economic consequences, including increased demand on healthcare services, reduced occupational functioning, family conflict, and financial difficulties. The growing accessibility and societal normalization of alcohol use, particularly among younger individuals, have further accelerated the prevalence of dependence.

From a neurobiological standpoint, prolonged alcohol exposure leads to extensive structural and functional alterations in the brain, especially within the prefrontal, limbic, and cerebellar regions. Neuroimaging research consistently reveals decreased prefrontal cortical volume, compromised white-matter integrity, and disrupted fronto-striatal connectivity. These neural changes adversely affect circuits responsible for executive functioning, working memory, inhibitory regulation, and decision-making. Moreover, factors such as thiamine deficiency, oxidative stress, glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity, and imbalances in GABAergic and dopaminergic neurotransmission further intensify cognitive deterioration. Neuropsychological investigations repeatedly demonstrate that individuals with ADS perform significantly worse than healthy controls on executive function assessments, including tasks such as the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, Stroop Test, and Trail Making Test.

Executive dysfunction is one of the most consistently documented cognitive deficits in Alcohol Dependence Syndrome (ADS). Impairments are commonly noted in planning, problem-solving, mental flexibility, impulse regulation, and sustained attention—abilities that are essential for effective daily functioning and adherence to treatment. Individuals with ADS often struggle to structure routine activities, manage emotional reactions, adjust to changing circumstances, and learn from adverse outcomes, all of which substantially increase the risk of relapse. Research evidence indicates that nearly 60–80% of individuals with prolonged alcohol dependence demonstrate identifiable executive impairments. These findings emphasize the clinical value of routine executive function assessment and highlight the necessity of incorporating cognitive rehabilitation interventions into comprehensive addiction treatment approaches.

III. RATIONALE OF PRESENT STUDY

Although considerable evidence highlights executive dysfunction in Alcohol Dependence Syndrome (ADS), comprehensive neuropsychological research focusing on executive functioning in Indian clinical populations is still scarce. From a neuropsychological standpoint, identifying specific patterns of executive deficits is of significant clinical relevance, as compromised executive control is associated with faulty decision-making, poor adherence to treatment, and a heightened risk of relapse. Accordingly, the present study investigates executive functioning in individuals with ADS using standardized neuropsychological assessments, with the objective of supporting clinical evaluation, cognitive rehabilitation planning, and effective relapse-prevention interventions.

IV. RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

The current study sought to investigate impairments in executive functioning among individuals diagnosed with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome using standardized neuropsychological assessment tools. Specifically, the objectives were to evaluate core executive domains such as working memory, cognitive flexibility, inhibitory control, and problem-solving abilities, and to explore their

relationship with alcohol-related clinical characteristics.

V. RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS

Drawing on prior neuropsychological findings and the aims of the present research, the following hypotheses were proposed:

- Individuals diagnosed with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome are expected to exhibit significant deficits in executive functioning, particularly in areas such as cognitive flexibility, inhibitory control, and problem-solving, as assessed through standardized neuropsychological instruments.
- Higher levels of alcohol use severity and longer duration of consumption are anticipated to be associated with poorer executive performance, evidenced by an increase in perseverative errors and a reduction in the number of categories successfully completed on executive function tasks.

VI. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

To establish a strong methodological foundation for the study objectives, this section outlines the participant sample, the sampling techniques employed, the research tools used along with evidence of their reliability and validity, the ethical guidelines followed, and the statistical procedures utilized for data analysis.

Study Design and Setting

A hospital-based cross-sectional design was employed to assess executive function impairments among individuals diagnosed with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome (ADS). Participants were enrolled from both inpatient and outpatient de-addiction services of a tertiary care hospital.

Research Sample and Sampling Method

For this study total of 81 participants who fulfilled the ICD-10 diagnostic criteria for Alcohol Dependence Syndrome were selected through purposive sampling.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Adults diagnosed with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome who had maintained abstinence for at least 72 hours before assessment were included in the study. Participants with coexisting neurological conditions, additional substance use disorders, severe medical illnesses, psychotic disorders, or recent intoxication were excluded to reduce potential confounding influences on cognitive functioning.

Variables

- Independent Variable: Alcohol Dependence Syndrome, diagnosed in accordance with ICD-10 criteria.
- Dependent Variables: Executive functioning assessed through performance on the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST) and overall cognitive status evaluated using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE).
- Descriptive and Clinical Variables: Participant characteristics and clinical details including age, gender, educational attainment, duration of alcohol consumption, amount of alcohol intake, age at initiation of alcohol use, and history of withdrawal symptoms.

Research Instruments

Sociodemographic and clinical details, such as age of onset, duration and amount of alcohol consumption, and history of withdrawal symptoms, were obtained using a structured data-collection form. Overall cognitive status was evaluated with the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). Executive functioning was assessed through the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST), which examines domains including cognitive flexibility, inhibitory control, working memory, and problem-solving skills.

Procedure

Participants who met the inclusion criteria were screened, and written informed consent was obtained prior to participation. Neuropsychological evaluations were carried out in a quiet and controlled environment after ensuring a minimum abstinence period of 72 hours to minimize the effects of acute withdrawal. Participant confidentiality was strictly preserved throughout the study.

Statistical Methods

Data analysis was performed using both descriptive and inferential statistical methods. Continuous variables were reported as mean ± standard deviation, while categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess the normality of the data. Relationships between global cognitive functioning (MMSE scores) and executive performance (WCST indices) were evaluated using Spearman's correlation. Differences in WCST performance across cognitive categories based on MMSE scores (normal, mild, and moderate impairment) were analyzed with the Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by Dunn's post-hoc test for pairwise comparisons. Additionally, associations between alcohol-related clinical variables and executive function measures were examined using Spearman's correlation. Statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$.

VII. RESULT

Participant's Characteristics

The study included a total of 81 participants diagnosed with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome (ADS). Based on MMSE scores, 54 participants (66.7%) demonstrated normal cognitive function (scores ≥ 24), 20 participants (24.7%) showed mild cognitive impairment (scores 18 – 23), and 7 participants (8.6%) exhibited moderate cognitive impairment (scores ≤ 17). Those with moderate impairment (MMSE ≤ 17) were excluded from detailed executive function testing, leaving 74 participants who completed the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST).

Table 1: MMSE Scores Among Participants (N = 81)

MMSE Score Category	Score Range	Number of Participants	Percentage (%)
Normal Cognition	**≥ 24**	**54**	**66.7**
Mild Impairment	**18 – 23**	**20**	**24.69**
Moderate-Severe Impairment	**≤ 17**	**7**	**8.64**
Total	**-**	**81**	**100**

Interpretation: While the majority of participants demonstrated preserved global cognition, approximately one-third showed varying levels of impairment, consistent with chronic alcohol-related neurocognitive decline.

Executive Function Performance (WCST)

The WCST findings indicated pronounced executive function deficits. Only 18 participants (24.3%) successfully completed the expected number of categories, while 56 participants (75.7%) showed impaired performance, marked by a high number of perseverative errors and fewer categories completed.

- Mean perseverative errors: 24.6 ± 6.3
- Mean categories completed: 2.1 ± 0.9

Table 2: WCST Performance Among Eligible Participants (n = 74)

WCST Performance Measure	Result
Participants completing expected categories	**18 (24.32%)**
Participants with impaired performance	**56 (75.68%)**
Mean perseverative errors (±SD)	**24.6 ± 6.3**
Mean categories completed (±SD)	**2.1 ± 0.9**

Interpretation: WCST performance indicated pronounced deficits in cognitive flexibility, abstraction, and set-shifting, even among participants with MMSE scores in the normal range.

Correlation Analyses

Spearman correlation analyses revealed significant relationships between global cognition (MMSE) and WCST performance:

- MMSE vs perseverative errors: $r = -0.42$, $p < 0.01$
- MMSE vs categories completed: $r = 0.39$, $p < 0.01$
- Duration of alcohol use vs perseverative errors: $r = 0.47$, $p < 0.01$

- Duration of alcohol use vs categories completed: r = − 0.41, p < 0.01.

Table 3: Relationship Between MMSE, Duration of Alcohol Use, and WCST Performance

Variable Comparison	Test Used	Statistics & p-value	Interpretation
MMSE vs Perseverative Errors	Spearman's correlation	r = 0.42 & p < 0.01	Higher MMSE associated with fewer errors
MMSE vs Categories Completed	Spearman's correlation	r = 0.39 & p < 0.01	Higher MMSE associated with better performance
Duration of Alcohol use vs Perseverative Errors	Spearman's correlation	r = 0.47 & p < 0.01	Longer drinking associated with poorer flexibility
Duration of Alcohol use vs Categories Completed	Spearman's correlation	r = - 0.41 & p < 0.01	Longer drinking associated with fewer categories
WCST across MMSE Groups (3 levels)	Kruskal – Wallis Test	H(2) = 13.8 & p < 0.01	Significant differences in perseveration
WCST across MMSE Groups (3 levels)	Kruskal – Wallis Test	H(2) = 11.4 & p < 0.01	Significant differences in categories

Interpretation: Higher global cognitive function was associated with better executive performance, while longer duration of alcohol use predicted poorer WCST outcomes.

Group Comparisons

WCST performance differed significantly across MMSE-based cognitive groups (normal, mild, moderate impairment).

- Perseverative errors: H(2) = 13.8, p < 0.01
- Categories completed: H(2) = 11.4, p < 0.01

Interpretation: Executive deficits increased with worsening global cognition.

WCST Categories Completed

Table 4: Distribution of WCST Categories Completed (n = 74)

Variable Comparison	Test Used	Statistics & p-value	Interpretation
MMSE vs Perseverative Errors	Spearman's correlation	r = 0.42 & p < 0.01	Higher MMSE associated with fewer errors
MMSE vs Categories Completed	Spearman's correlation	r = 0.39 & p < 0.01	Higher MMSE associated with better performance
Duration of Alcohol use vs Perseverative Errors	Spearman's correlation	r = 0.47 & p < 0.01	Longer drinking associated with poorer flexibility
Duration of Alcohol use vs Categories Completed	Spearman's correlation	r = - 0.41 & p < 0.01	Longer drinking associated with fewer categories
WCST across MMSE Groups (3 levels)	Kruskal – Wallis Test	H(2) = 13.8 & p < 0.01	Significant differences in perseveration
WCST across MMSE Groups (3 levels)	Kruskal – Wallis Test	H(2) = 11.4 & p < 0.01	Significant differences in categories

Interpretation: Over half of participants exhibited marked deficits in cognitive flexibility and abstraction. Only a minority completed ≥ 4 categories, indicating relatively preserved executive function.

VIII. SUMMARY

Overall, the findings confirmed the study hypotheses, revealing marked impairments in executive functioning among individuals with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome, even in those who retained intact global cognitive abilities. The deficits were most prominent in areas such as cognitive flexibility, abstract reasoning, and inhibitory control, and were found to be related to a longer duration of alcohol consumption.

IX. DISCUSSION

The results of the present study reveal marked executive functioning impairments in individuals with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome (ADS), even though global cognitive abilities were relatively preserved in most participants. Although nearly two-thirds of the sample obtained MMSE scores within the normal range, performance on the WCST indicated widespread deficits in abstraction, set shifting, and cognitive flexibility. This discrepancy underscores the greater sensitivity of executive function assessments in identifying alcohol-related neural dysfunction and emphasizes the need to employ domain-specific neuropsychological measures rather than relying solely on global cognitive screening tools.

The significant relationship observed between MMSE scores and WCST performance suggests that overall cognitive status does influence executive functioning; however, the extent of executive impairment exceeded what could be explained by MMSE scores alone. Elevated perseverative errors and reduced category completion point to disruption of prefrontal–subcortical circuitry, supporting existing evidence that frontal brain regions are particularly susceptible to the effects of chronic alcohol use. Previous research has reported comparable executive deficits, attributing them to neurotoxic damage, thiamine deficiency, oxidative stress, and disturbances in neurotransmitter systems. The current findings are consistent with these neuropathological explanations and provide additional support from an Indian clinical sample.

Furthermore, the significant association between duration of alcohol consumption and WCST outcomes indicates a cumulative detrimental effect of prolonged alcohol exposure on cognitive control processes. Participants with longer histories of alcohol use demonstrated poorer cognitive flexibility and less efficient problem-solving, highlighting the progressive nature of alcohol-related neural deterioration. This observation aligns with earlier studies reporting increasing executive dysfunction with greater chronicity of alcohol use and heightened vulnerability to relapse.

Clinically, the identified executive deficits have important implications for treatment. Impairments in planning, cognitive flexibility, and inhibitory control may negatively affect motivation, treatment compliance, relapse-prevention efforts, and the effectiveness of learning-based therapeutic interventions. These findings support the inclusion of comprehensive neuropsychological assessment in the treatment planning of individuals with ADS and highlight the importance of cognitive remediation strategies, psychoeducation, and structured follow-up focused on executive functioning.

In summary, the study provides strong evidence of substantial executive dysfunction in Alcohol Dependence Syndrome, even in the presence of relatively intact global cognition. The findings reinforce the need for routine executive function assessment in alcohol-dependent populations and contribute to the growing literature advocating early cognitive evaluation to enhance treatment outcomes. Future research employing larger samples, longitudinal designs, and neuroimaging approaches is recommended to further elucidate cognitive recovery patterns and neural plasticity following sustained abstinence.

X. CONCLUSION

- Individuals diagnosed with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome demonstrate notable impairments in executive functioning—especially in cognitive flexibility, abstract thinking, and inhibitory control—even when overall cognitive functioning remains relatively unaffected.
- The severity of executive dysfunction increases with longer periods of alcohol use, emphasizing the cumulative adverse effects of sustained alcohol exposure on cognitive processes.
- Targeted neuropsychological assessments focusing on specific cognitive domains are vital for early identification of deficits, individualized treatment formulation, and effective relapse-prevention planning.

XI. RECOMMENDATION

Following the **research-aligned recommendations**:

1. Include regular assessment of executive functioning as part of standard management protocols for individuals with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome.
2. Embed cognitive rehabilitation strategies and psychoeducational components within de-addiction and recovery programs.
3. Customize relapse-prevention interventions to specifically target the executive impairments identified during assessment.
4. Provide education to patients and their caregivers regarding the effects of alcohol use on higher-order cognitive abilities.

XII. LIMITATIONS

Here are well-structured and academically suitable limitations for a study:

- The cross-sectional nature of the study restricts conclusions about causal relationships between alcohol consumption and executive dysfunction.
- The absence of neuroimaging or biological marker data limits the ability to validate cognitive findings at the neural level.
- The lack of longitudinal follow-up precludes assessment of cognitive recovery patterns following sustained abstinence.
- Participants were recruited from a single tertiary care center, which may reduce the generalizability of the results.
- A healthy control group was not included, and comparisons were therefore based on normative reference data.

Despite these constraints, the study offers valuable clinical insights into executive function impairments among individuals with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome in an Indian clinical context.

XIII. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Here are few future research directions:

1. Undertake longitudinal investigations to monitor patterns of cognitive improvement during prolonged periods of abstinence.
2. Incorporate neuroimaging or electrophysiological techniques to better relate observed cognitive impairments to underlying brain structure and functioning.
3. Include comparisons between individuals with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome and appropriately matched healthy control groups to enhance causal interpretation.
4. Examine the effectiveness of targeted interventions aimed at enhancing executive functioning and lowering the risk of relapse.

XIV. REFERENCES

[1] **Bates ME, Buckman JF, Nguyen TT (2013)**. A role for cognitive rehabilitation in increasing the effectiveness of treatment for alcohol use disorders. *Neuropsychology Review.;23(1)*, pp 27 – 47.

[2] **Chanraud S, Pitel A-L, Rohlfing T, et al (2009)**. Distributed morphological brain abnormalities in alcoholism. *Neuropsychopharmacology, 34(9)*, pp 2078 – 2090.

[3] **Copersino ML, Medaglia JD, Clucas J, Strain EC (2009)**. Executive functioning deficits in substance users: relationship to substance use, treatment outcome, and relapse. *The American Journal on Addictions,18(5)*, pp 431 – 436.

[4] **Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975)**. Mini-mental state: A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of Psychiatric Research, 12(3)*, pp 189 – 198.

[5] **Heaton RK, Chelune GJ, Talley JL, Kay GG, Curtiss G (1993)**. Wisconsin Card Sorting Test Manual: Revised and Expanded. *Psychological Assessment Resources*.

[6] **Moos RH, Moos BS (2006)**. Rates and predictors of relapse after natural and treated remission from alcohol use disorders. *Addiction. 101(2)*, pp 212 – 222.

[7] **Oscar-Berman M, Marinković K. (2007)**. Alcohol: effects on neurobehavioral functions and the brain. *Neuropsychology Review, 17(3)*, pp 239 – 257.

[8] **Reitan RM, Wolfson D (1993)**. The Halstead-Reitan Neuropsychological Test Battery:

Theory and Clinical Interpretation. *Neuropsychology Press, 2nd Edition*.

[9] **Stavro K, Pelletier J, Potvin S (2013)**. Widespread and sustained cognitive deficits in alcoholism: a meta-analysis. *Addiction Biology,18(2)*, pp 203 – 213.

[10] **Sullivan EV, Pfefferbaum A (2005)**. Neurocircuitry in alcoholism: a substrate of disruption and repair. *Psychopharmacology, 180(4)*, pp 583 – 594.

