

Critical Review: Reassessing the '75% Farmland Reduction' Claim in the Context of Livestock, Labour, and Circular Economy

Sukumar Radrapu*

*(Independent Researcher in Psychology & Agriculture, Farming Learner)

Email: sukumarams@gmail.com

Author: Sukumar Radrapu, BE Mechatronics, PGDBA Operations Management, MSc Counselling Psychology (Pursuing), MBA HRM (Pursuing), Independent Researcher & Farming Student learning on Agricultural fields.

Abstract:

This paper critically evaluates the claim that global adoption of vegan diets could reduce farmland use by 75%. It analyses the empirical basis, socio-economic impact, and circular-resource role of livestock, comparing data from the Oxford ECI (2025) and FAO (2020–2024). The study highlights livestock's biogas and nutrient recycling potential as key to a balanced sustainability transition.

Keywords — Livestock, Circular economy, Farmland, Biogas, Labour shift, Sustainable agriculture.

Critical Review: Reassessing the '75% Farmland Reduction' Claim in the Context of Livestock, Labour, and Circular Economy

1. Introduction

The widespread claim that global adoption of plant-based diets could reduce farmland use by up to 75% has attracted both attention and controversy. While this figure is derived from idealised land-use modelling studies, it often neglects the socio-economic and circular-resource dimensions of animal farming systems. This critical review evaluates the empirical basis of the 75% reduction claim, contrasts it with the 2025 Oxford Environmental Change Institute (ECI) study on agricultural labour, and examines the broader implications for global livelihoods, emissions, and nutrient circularity.

2. Origin and Misinterpretation of the 75% Farmland Reduction Claim

The 75% reduction figure originates from global land-use analyses, particularly Poore and Nemecek (2018), which show that removing livestock feed and pasture use could free large areas of land. However, this estimate assumes full vegan adoption, homogeneous yield efficiencies, and the conversion of grazing land into productive cropland —

conditions unrealistic for most global regions. Pastures, which account for nearly two-thirds of agricultural land, are often unsuitable for cropping due to soil or terrain constraints. Hence, the 75% land reduction is a theoretical upper bound, not an achievable forecast.

3. Oxford ECI Study: Labour Shifts in Dietary Transitions

The 2025 Oxford ECI study, published in The Lancet Planetary Health, estimates that transitions toward plant-based diets could reduce global agricultural labour by 5–28% while adding 18–56 million full-time horticultural jobs. The model suggests a reallocation rather than elimination of labour but does not factor in the existing 1.3 billion people dependent on livestock systems.

4. Livelihood Dependence on Livestock Systems

Livestock-based systems support approximately 1.3 billion people worldwide (FAO, 2020; HLPE, 2020). These systems provide livelihoods to smallholders, pastoralists, and mixed-farming households across Africa, Asia, and Latin America.

Livestock contributes around 40% of global agricultural GDP, with about 600 million smallholder farmers depending on animals for draught power, income, and manure. The Oxford projection of 56 million new horticultural jobs represents less than 5% of those reliant on livestock, underscoring a large socio-economic imbalance.

5. Livestock Resource Circular Economy: Biogas and Organic Fertiliser Potential

Beyond food, livestock excretions are valuable renewable resources. With 50% dung recovery, global biogas yield could reach ~52 billion m³ per year (containing ~26 billion m³ methane), equivalent to 21 million tonnes of LPG. Simultaneously, nutrient recovery from manure could replace 45% of global NPK fertiliser use, reducing fossil-fuel dependence in urea and ammonia synthesis.

6. Emission Reduction from Biogas and Organic Fertiliser Conversion

Based on FAO manure emission data (~1.4 Gt CO_{2e} in 2018), converting 50% of manure to biogas and organic fertilisers can avoid approximately 0.36 Gt CO_{2e} annually: 139 Mt CO_{2e} from methane abatement, 64 Mt CO₂ from LPG substitution, and 161 Mt CO_{2e} from avoided ammonia production. This estimate excludes feed-related and oil-meal-linked emissions, focusing solely on manure management and fertiliser substitution.

7. Comparative Summary

8. Discussion and Implications

This comparative evaluation highlights a severe asymmetry between potential horticulture labour gains and the livelihoods supported by livestock. While global plant-based transitions may reduce emissions and labour costs, they risk displacing vast rural populations without equivalent alternative employment. Circular livestock integration—through biogas, composting, and organic fertilizer production potentially offers a balanced pathway that maintains rural livelihoods while achieving emission mitigation. Further detailed studies by agricultural experts and environmental experts together could provide a practically viable road map for implementation.

9. Conclusion

The 75% farmland reduction narrative oversimplifies global agricultural systems. Livestock not only sustains over a billion people but also provides renewable energy and fertiliser potential that can reduce global emissions by 0.36 Gt CO_{2e} per year. The Oxford study's 56 million horticultural jobs, while valuable, cannot offset this socio-economic scale. Hence, the realistic sustainability path lies in livestock repurposing—maximising biogas and organic recycling—rather than livestock removal.

10. Conflict of Interest & Funding

The author declares no conflict of interest.

This research received no external funding and was conducted independently.

References

- Environmental Change Institute (Oxford). (2025). Labour requirements for healthy and sustainable diets. The Lancet Planetary Health.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2020). The role of livestock in sustainable food systems. HLPE Report No. 14.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2021). Livestock and livelihoods. Rome: FAO.
- International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI). (2019). Livestock master plans and sector analysis. Nairobi: ILRI.
- International Energy Agency (IEA). (2024). World Energy Outlook 2024.
- Poore, J., & Nemecek, T. (2018). Reducing food's environmental impacts through producers and consumers. *Science*, 360(6392), 987–992.
- Our World in Data. (2021). Land use and diets. Retrieved from <https://ourworldindata.org/land-use-diets>
- FAO (2020). Livestock and environment statistics: Manure and greenhouse gas emissions, 1990–2018.