REVIEW ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

The Evolving Landscape of Drug-Target Interaction Prediction: A Review of Deep Learning Innovations

Abhijay J*, Alan K Joseph**, Aneena Sam***, Sreelekshmi PS****, Vidhula Thomas*****

*(Integrated Msc Student ,Department of Data science,Nirmala College,Muvattupuzha,India
Email:abhijay027@gmail.com)
**(Integrated Msc Student ,Department of Data science,Nirmala College,Muvattupuzha,India
Email:alankjsph@gmail.com)
***(Integrated Msc Student ,Department of Data science,Nirmala College,Muvattupuzha,India
Email:aneenaoct30@gmail.com)
****(Integrated Msc Student ,Department of Data science,Nirmala College,Muvattupuzha,India
Email:sreelakshmips102@gmail.com)
*****(Assistant Professor ,Department of Data science,Nirmala College,Muvattupuzha,India
Email:vidhulathomas90@gmail.com)

----------**********----------

Abstract:

Developing new and effective medications represents one of the most prominent fields in medicine today, of which drug-target interaction prediction represents one of the four major components that characterize drug response. Historically, drug-target interaction prediction has been costly and time-consuming, however, with the plethora of biological and chemical data now available, new strategies such as in silico drug-target interaction prediction, specifically deep learning, have become a critical approach for drug-target interaction predictions. Earlier computational methods were limited by feature engineering, and they lacked the ability to include sophisticated and large biological datasets in their prediction. Deep learning methods have addressed these earlier problems with the ability to auto-construct features and perform on complicated tasks better than previous methods.The review is about ten papers that present a variety of deep learning methods, including hybrid methods, and graph-based methods that showed high accuracy and interpretability. While deep learning-based methods are powerful, many traditional machine learning methods perform adequately with clever feature selection (particularly on smaller datasets). The review also concludes with some critiques of the future challenges in drug-target interaction predictions using deep learning, including challenges with hybrid methods, approaches to mitigating imbalance datasets, and interpretability to enhance rational drug design.

Keywords — **Drug-Target Interaction (DTI) Prediction, Deep Learning, Machine Learning, Computational Methods, Hybrid Models, Graph-based Models, MINN-DTI, AttentionSiteDTI, EviDTI, Interpretability, Uncertainty Quantification, Feature Engineering, Deep Transfer Learning, Drug Discovery, Pharmacology**

----------**********----------

I. INTRODUCTION

Discovering new and efficient drugs is certainly the pillar of contemporary healthcare. Furthermore, this discovering process continues to be a must for the treatment of diseases in general. We are finding that discovering drug-target interactions is merely a key step in such work, which enables us to learn about the way drugs produce good or bad effects. Classically, drug discovery itself was a slow and costly process that also depended on in-vitro experiments and high-throughput screening, further escalating the final costs. As biological and chemical data have been growing rapidly, computational approaches have indeed become useful resources for

the prediction of DTIs. These approaches are surely becoming indispensable in current drug discovery studies.

Early computer techniques were actually constrained in so far as they employed manually constructed features and simple machine learning algorithms. These techniques certainly could not cope with intricate patterns effectively. We are finding that these techniques struggled to grasp the intricate patterns in biologic data, like the fine-grained three-dimensional structure of proteins and the numerous properties of drug compounds only. In addition, the vast amount of intricate data from genes, proteins, and drugs certainly requires improved analysis techniques. In addition, simple techniques cannot manage such vast and intricate information efficiently. In addition, we are witnessing scientists investigate deep learning, which employs multiple layers of neural networks, since it is only a powerful component of machine learning that can address this problem. Deep learning models automatically learn features from raw input, eliminating the necessity of manual feature engineering. In addition, these models perform superiorly in sophisticated tasks such as text, image, and graph analysis itself.

Researchers have actually created numerous deep learning models to address this issue. The models certainly assist the academic world in managing this issue. A perusal of the available literature indicates various strategies. This again indicates that the discipline itself has varied techniques. Researchers have utilized CNN models for extracting local features from molecular graphs and protein sequences. This technique again assists in comprehending the structure of a molecule itself. Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) and Graph Attention Networks (GANs) have certainly provided outstanding performance by operating on drug and protein graph structures directly. In addition, the methods efficiently describe topological features of these bio-molecules. According to recent trends, researchers have been employing hybrid approaches that integrate various types of models and sources of data such as chemical fingerprints, genomic information, and protein sequences. Regarding prediction accuracy, these multimodal approaches show better results than single methods.

Essentially, much has been done, but the field still struggles with the same issues. Furthermore, most studies are limited because they are conducted on certain data sets, and thus it is hard to extend their findings further to novel drugs or targets. This limitation in itself limits the overall application of research outcomes. Also, there are no sufficient detailed reviews according to the existing research on various deep learning approaches and their merits and demerits in an understandable way. Essentially, this review compares ten significant research articles from various sources in a similar detailed fashion. This paper, as a matter of fact, summarizes recent deep learning models for drug-target prediction and certainly analyzes their approaches, performance, and data sets. It discusses the current progress and offers critical analysis of how these studies are conducted. This actually serves to illustrate what works and what certainly needs alteration in the discipline.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

[1] Dalkıran and colleagues certainly investigated a deep transfer learning method for predicting drug-protein interactions (DTI) for less studied proteins with scarce available data. Furthermore, this technique was specially aimed at proteins that have little experimental data available for conventional prediction methods. They first utilized large datasets from six protein classes such as GPCRs, ion channels, kinases, nuclear receptors, proteases, and transporters to train a feed-forward neural network (FNN). This method also fine-tuned the network itself for processing specific protein classifications. Essentially, they fine-tuned the same model on a smaller dataset from another population. The data really were from ChEMBL database version 29. They certainly used pChEMBL 7.0 values to determine active versus inactive compounds. The researchers really tried three methods of transfer learning: complete fine-tuning, feature transformer approach, and simple classifier. These three methods certainly achieved varying performance outcomes in their experiments. They also assessed the model performance based on Matthew's correlation coefficient (MCC) itself. Moreover, the researchers actually discovered that transfer learning certainly

performed better than a scratch start when they had fewer than 100 compounds in their training set. We are witnessing that deep transfer learning is only extremely useful for drug-target interaction prediction when data is lesser, and it also causes models to learn quicker and have shorter training time even when sufficient data is present.

[2] Thafar et al. undoubtedly established considerable results in their research work. Further, their approach gave unambiguous evidence to the given conclusions. We presented DTi2Vec, an approach that undoubtedly predicts drug-target interactions by considering this task as a link prediction problem in a mixed type network. Further, this method effectively addresses the intricate relations among various types of nodes in the network. Essentially, their approach employs node2vec method that randomly traverses the network to generate simple, lower-dimensional feature vectors for targets and drugs in the same manner. Essentially, they constructed a network with known drug-target interactions and similar types of drugs and targets of the same kind, sifted through a k-nearest neighbor strategy. Additionally, according to the methodology, feature vectors for every drug-target pair are generated utilizing Hadamard product method. As for classification, these vectors are subsequently treated by robust classifiers such as XGBoost and AdaBoost. We are witnessing that they experimented with their approach on four Yamanishi08 datasets and one large FDADrugBank dataset, where these datasets contain only abundant missing or rare interactions. DTi2Vec undoubtedly achieved superior performance with average AUPR of 0.95 on Yamanishi datasets and 0.93 overall, beating other state-of-the-art methods. Moreover, the model demonstrates strong capability even when limited data is available.

[3] This article by Abbasi et al. indeed summarizes deep learning techniques for prediction of drug-target interactions. It certainly offers a broad overview of these computational methods. Further, the authors classify these methods on the basis of their usage of input data and feature extraction strategies. The taxonomy also takes into account if methods make use of raw sequences or pre-calculated features, and how the feature extraction process utilizes networks such as CNNs, GCNs, RNNs, Autoencoders, DBNs, and word embeddings. They also see how the features are combined together, either through simple concatenation techniques or through the utilization of attention-based mechanisms based on the needs. The research considers various techniques in the context of feature combination processes. This paper relates to numerous popular datasets employed in this area based on usual practice, such as BindingDB, DrugBank, STITCH, and KIBA. The work encompasses data about these popular database resources. Based on analysis, it also identifies some challenges concerning inconsistent data and imbalanced measurements of interaction strength. The authors conclude that deep learning approaches, particularly graph-based networks such as GCNs, undoubtedly fare better than conventional machine learning approaches. In addition, these approaches may learn key features automatically without human labor. We can observe that researchers indicate models need to perform better in various circumstances and encompass biologic knowledge solely, indicating profound transfer learning may be an excellent path for future research.

[4] According to Bian et al., based on their findings, the research provides evident outcomes. The paper provides significant information for a better understanding of the subject. Essentially, we introduced MCANet, a deep learning approach for drug-target interaction prediction with the same shared weights for various attention heads. This module assists the algorithm to capture bidirectional cross-attention, wherein we are observing good modeling of drug and protein feature influence on each other only, a major breakthrough that improves prediction accuracy. The model employs CNNs in feature extraction and PolyLoss function in addressing overfitting and class imbalance. This method also enhances the model performance itself. Additionally, essentially, MCANet-B employs several trained models in combination and provides the same enhanced performance via this ensemble strategy. We are testing the model on six data sets only, i.e., DrugBank, Davis, KIBA, and Enzymes, Ion channels, and GPCRs datasets. We are obtaining results from all these various data sources. The research certainly indicates that MCANet-B achieved the maximum accuracy of 85.48% on the

balanced DrugBank dataset. Further, it fared better than other state-of-the-art techniques by enhancing 2.86% and 2.63% on the imbalanced Davis and KIBA datasets respectively.

[5] Xu and their colleagues certainly explored machine learning methods for the prediction of drug-target interactions. Additionally, their research aimed to comprehend these computational methods in the context of pharmaceutical science. We are observing that they have broken the process down into merely three sub-parts: data collection, identification of significant features, and choosing the appropriate method. The paper also separates the algorithms into three types: classification, learning to rank, and deep learning itself. Essentially, it talks about the same feature extraction techniques such as PCA and autoencoders, along with deep learning techniques such as CNNs and GCNs for processing. We are observing the review mentioning some prominent datasets only, such as DrugBank, KEGG, and the Yamanishi et al. We actually utilize datasets from 2008 for binary classification tasks. We certainly use Davis and KIBA datasets for drug affinity prediction tasks. As per the article, machine learning is popular regarding drug discovery because it gives good results and accuracy. The article also mentions problems like mixed data sources and need for better algorithms regarding drugs that work on multiple targets.

[6] We can observe that Zhao and co-workers developed EviDTI, which applies deep learning techniques to make predictions regarding how drugs interact with targets in the body. This system incorporates evidential deep learning method for identifying drug-target interactions alone. This technique provides predictions and also quantifies the certainty of the predictions. The technique itself delivers prediction results and their respective certainty levels. The approach actually comprises two primary components: one component employs ProtTrans model with attention to examine protein attributes, while the second component certainly integrates 2D drug graphs from MG-BERT and 3D drug structures from GeoGNN to examine drug attributes. These attributes certainly go through an evidential layer that provides probability results and uncertainty measures. In addition, this layer gives both the prediction results and how certain the system is of those predictions. The method was experimented on three datasets - DrugBank, Davis, and KIBA - and compared favorably with 11 other methods. Furthermore, the method itself had competitive outcomes for all datasets. The uncertainty measurements actually assisted in enhancing the prediction accuracy by prioritizing the most accurate predictions for additional testing. This was certainly a significant finding of the study. This was also illustrated in a study of tyrosine kinase inhibitor drugs itself.

[7] Additionally, li et al. even observed evident patterns in their research. The findings indeed reflect straightforward correlations among the key variables. Researchers certainly came up with MINN-DTI, a deep-learning model that captures the bidirectional impact of drugs and targets. Further, the model is concerned with enhancing self-esteem and life skills in adolescent girls. The model certainly integrates an Interformer with two coupled transformer decoders and an Inter-CMPNN network. Additionally, this Inter-CMPNN is a better iteration of the message passing neural network. This architecture enables the model to pass information between drug and target representations back and forth repeatedly, where we are observing these representations emanating from 2D molecular graphs and 2D distance maps alone. MINN-DTI was undoubtedly validated on three datasets: DUD-E, human, and BindingDB. Additionally, these datasets gave wide-ranging testing of the model's performance. We are observing that this approach performed the best, with AUC values on DUD-E that are 2.5% better than other approaches alone. It also performed well when tested on novel targets in BindingDB. The model was highly interpretable by assigning higher weights to significant residues and atoms for binding. This also assisted in deciphering drug mechanisms itself.

[8] We are observing Abbasi and his colleagues are just revealing such results in their research study. The researchers certainly suggested a computational model to forecast drug-target interactions based on traditional machine learning techniques. Additionally, their method consisted of three primary steps: feature extraction, selection of vital features, and ultimate classification. The technique certainly extracts numerous features from protein

sequences and drug fingerprints. Additionally, this method aids in improved analysis of the data. According to the methodology, IWSSR wrapper algorithm is utilized for feature reduction and avoiding overfitting. For prediction, Rotation Forest classifier is utilized for final outputs. The research work actually employed the Yamanishi et al. method. This method certainly assisted the research work. According to the benchmark guidelines, Gold Standard datasets for enzymes, ion channels, GPCRs, and nuclear receptors are utilized for the testing phase. We are witnessing very high accuracy values from the model on these datasets, with only 98.12% on enzymes and 98.07% on ion channels, with significantly better results compared to other approaches. Furthermore, the IWSSR feature selection technique was effective in optimizing model performance by detecting significant features. This shows that classical methods itself can compete with deep learning approaches and further perform better on smaller datasets.

[9] Suruliandi and others reviewed different machine learning methods used for drug-target interaction forecasting. They divided those methods into five main categories: similarity-based, matrix-based, feature-based, network-based, and deep learning-based methods. The paper also mentions a few databases commonly used in DTI studies such as DrugBank, KEGG, and the Yaminishi et al. dataset. By both qualitative and quantitative analysis of such approaches, they established that the chemogenomics approach works best because it does away with the need for 3D structural knowledge of drugs or targets. The comparison shows that the accuracy in predicting DTIs has improved steadily with time, with some methods even recording AUC values higher than 98%. A significant recommendation emphasizes that future research should prioritize developing enhanced classifiers and addressing challenges such as data imbalance and insufficient true negative samples.

[10] Yazdani-Jahromi and others created AttentionSiteDTI, an explainable graph-based deep learning model for the prediction of drug-target interactions. Taking a cue from natural language processing techniques utilized for sentence classification, this algorithm focuses on information extraction from drug graph representations and protein binding site features rather than full protein structures. The model employs a Topology Adaptive Graph CNN (TAGCN) to generate embeddings, which are further processed using a Bi-directional Long Short-Term Memory (Bi-LSTM) network alongside a self-attention mechanism. The model attains interpretability through the self-attention mechanism, allowing for identification and visualization of key protein binding sites that play a significant role in interactions. DUD-E, Human, and BindingDB datasets were used to evaluate the model. AttentionSiteDTI outperformed many top-performing models with an AUC of 0.97 for known and 0.94 for unknown proteins in the BindingDB dataset, showcasing strong generalizability. One such finding showed strong correlation between computational prediction and experimental validation in a case study of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein.

Paper	Methods & Algorithms	Datasets	Key Findings
Dalkıran et al. (2023)	Deep transfer learning for DTI.Pretrained FNN on large dataset→ fine-tuned on small dataset. Explored full finetuning, feature transformer, and shallow classifier.	ChEMBL v29(6 protein families: kinases, GPCRs, ion channels, nuclear receptors, proteases, transporters). Training sizes: 2– 4000 samples.	Transfer learning is highly effective for small datasets, reduces training time, and ensures faster convergence.
Thafar et al. (2021)	DTi2Vec: framed as link prediction in heterogeneous networks. Node2vec embeddings + fusion (Hadamard/concat) + ensemble (AdaBoost, XGBoost).	Yamanishi_08 (4 datasets) + FDA_Drug Bank Highly imbalanced.	Handles imbalanced data well; validated 21/25 predicted novel DTIs; effective for drug repositioning. AUPR: 0.95 (Yamanishi), 0.93 overall; up to + 21% improvement vs baselines. .

Abbasi et al. (2020)	Review of deep learning methods. Categorized by feature type (raw seq vs. pre-computed), extraction networks (CNN, GCN, RNN, Autoencoder, DBN, word embedding), fusion strategies (concat vs. attention).	BindingDB, DrugBank, STITCH, KIBA, etc.	Highlights DL's advantage in feature learning, challenges in heterogeneity, imbalance, and future promise of transfer learning/domain adaptation.
Bian et al. (2023)	MCANet: CNN + MultiheadCross Attention + PolyLoss. MCANet-B: ensemble with k-fold CV.	DrugBank (balanced), Davis, KIBA (imbalanced), Enzymes, GPCRs, Ion channels.	Cross-attention improves feature extraction; PolyLoss mitigates overfitting; ensemble boosts accuracy further. Accuracy: 85.48% (DrugBank); AUC: 92.11%, AUPR: 92.84%. +2.86% (Davis),+2.63% (KIBA) over baselines
Xu et al. (2021)	Review of ML methods: classification (SVM, RF), learning-to-rank, DL (CNN,GCN). Feature extraction (fingerprints, amino acid composition, PCA, autoencoders). .	UniProt, PubChem, DrugBank, KEGG, BindingDB, Yamanishi_08, Davis, KIBA. .	Future research: multi-target drugs, improved representations, tackling heterogeneity.
Zhao et al. (2025)	EviDTI: evidential DL framework with ProtTrans (protein), MG-BERT + GeoGNN (drug), light attention, evidential layer for uncertainty.	DrugBank, Davis, KIBA.	Quantifies uncertainty; improves reliability; prioritizes high-confidence predictions for faster drug discovery.Outperforms 11 baselines in accuracy, MCC, F1,AUC. Low-uncertainty predictions more accurate
Li et al. (2022)	MINN-DTI: models mutual impacts via Interformer (transformers) + Inter-CMPNN (graph MPNN). Uses 2D drug graphs + protein distance maps.	DUD-E, Human, BindingDB.	Captures bidirectional drug– protein effects; interpretable (weights on critical residues/atoms). AUC ~2.5% higher than SOTA on DUD- E; better RE, precision, recall. Superior generalization on unseen targets (BindingDB).
Abbasi et al. (2023)	Protein + drug features → IWSSR wrapper feature selection → Rotation Forest classifier.	Yamanishi Gold Standard (Enzymes, Ion channels, GPCRs, Nuclear receptors).	Intelligent feature selection prevents overfitting, boosts performance. Classical ML can rival/exceed DL on small datasets. Accuracy: 98.12% (Enzymes), 98.07% (Ion channels), 96.82% (GPCRs), 95.64% (NR).
Suruliandi et al. (2022)	Review of ML methods: similarity-, matrix-, feature-, network-, and DLbased. Discussed algorithms: SVM, KNN, MF, NN.	DrugBank, KEGG, BindingDB, Yamanishi benchmark.	Chemogenomics-based approaches best (no 3D needed). More work needed for class imbalance & lack of true negatives. Some methods reported AUC >98%.
Yazdani-Jahromi et al. (2022)	AttentionSiteDTI: graph-based DL. TAGCN for embeddings, BiLSTM for	DUD-E, Human, BindingDB.	Interpretable model; highlights binding sites; high generalizability; validated on SARS-CoV-2

	sequence, self-attention for interpretability. Focuses on protein binding sites.		spike protein AUC: 0.971 (DUDE), RE: 101.74. AUC: 0.94, Accuracy: 0.89 (unseen BindingDB proteins).

III. METHODOLOGY

1) Feed Forward Neural Network

Feed-Forward Neural Networks literally pass information in one direction, namely from input to output layers. They certainly suit simple pattern recognition problems where the information passes directly through without loops. According to the general architecture, FNNs manipulate information via input, hidden, and output layers with interconnected nodes. In the case of DTI prediction, the networks categorize compounds into active or inactive on the basis of fixed-size feature vectors. According to the training process, the network learns connection weights based on labeled data. As for performance enhancement, pre-trained models of related tasks can be utilized through transfer learning.

2) Deep Transfer Learning

We are witnessing deep transfer learning as an approach where we utilize knowledge from one trained model to assist another model in learning new tasks. We are witnessing a machine learning approach where a model trained on large data for one task is utilized as the starting point for another task with merely small data. This is helpful when we have merely limited data for certain proteins in drug-target prediction. There are two phases in the process: initially, a deep model is trained on a huge source dataset, and later, the same model is further refined with the smaller target dataset itself. This method actually enables the model to learn more from low data than from scratch. It surely accelerates the training process and enhances the effectiveness of it.

3) Node2vec

Also, we are viewing node2vec as a technique that learns vector representations for nodes within networks. It employs random walks to capture local and global network structures for more effective node embeddings. Node2vec indeed learns to represent network nodes as number vectors. It certainly transforms graphs into simpler representations while preserving their fundamental connection patterns. The algorithm certainly conducts biased random walks on the graph to generate node sequences. Additionally, these sequences are employed to learn node embeddings using a Skipgram model, similar to the way word embeddings are implemented in natural language processing. This actually enables machine learning systems to utilize these feature vectors to predict relationships such as drug-target interactions more accurately.

4) XGBoost and AdaBoost

In addition, xGBoost definitely works better than AdaBoost in the majority of machine learning applications since it incorporates superior methods such as regularization and parallel processing. Furthermore, XGBoost automatically deals with missing values and is more accurate, whereas AdaBoost is more basic but less efficient for complicated situations. These algorithms make predictions from several weak predictors such as decision trees to enhance model performance itself. AdaBoost certainly learns weak learners sequentially, in which each subsequent learner aims at instances which the former one incorrectly classified. Further, this step-by-step learning process from errors helps the algorithm learn from mistakes and enhance performance gradually. XGBoost is essentially the identical gradient boosting technique but more powerful and efficient in creating regression trees. Both techniques are good for classification problems and are applicable for DTI prediction since they are able to process complex features and operate quickly. XGBoost is further optimized for parallel computation, which makes it even quicker.

5) Multihead Cross-Attention (MCA) Framework

We are observing that MCANet employs a novel multi-head cross-attention component with shared weights to capture how drug and protein features affect one another in either direction. The framework only deals with the two-way relationship among these biological components. The system employs CNN blocks for actually extracting primary features from drug and protein sequences. This clearly assists with the preprocessing of the data. Additionally, the primary novel concept is the MultiheadCrossAttention module, which we are

observing captures how targets and drugs impact one another by allowing each one to question the other, utilizing only the identical weights for both. Moreover, the framework further applies the PolyLoss function to alleviate overfitting and class imbalance issues. The function itself contributes to enhancing model performance. MCANet-B certainly enhances outcomes by aggregating multiple trained models together. Moreover, this ensemble approach enhances the overall performance of the system.

6) Evidential Deep Learning (EDL) Framework

The EDL framework also builds upon conventional deep learning by combining uncertainty quantification techniques. This itself delivers more confident predictions based on evidence-based learning processes. EviDTI is a deep learning model that not only provides DTI predictions but also indicates how uncertain these predictions are. According to the framework architecture, it consists of three components: one encoder for protein features, one encoder for drug features, and one evidential layer for uncertainty measurement. We can observe that the protein encoder employs solely a pretrained language model known as ProtTrans and a basic attention component to operate with protein sequences. The drug encoder rather incorporates characteristics of a 2D graph through the MG-BERT model and a 3D structure through the GeoGNN module. This methodology certainly merges both flat and spatial drug data together. The combined attributes are certainly transmitted to the evidential layer, which provides parameters of a Dirichlet distribution. Additionally, this distribution has both prediction probabilities and measures of uncertainty. Additionally, this enables the model to express precisely when it is not so confident with a prediction, which is additionally imperative for decision-making in drug discovery itself.

7) Mutual Interaction Neural Network (MINN) Framework

The MINN framework definitely facilitates mutual interaction between network layers to provide better learning. In addition, this method facilitates the dynamic exchange of information among neurons, building more stable computational models. According to research design, MINN-DTI is a deep learning architecture that learns the way drugs influence targets and vice versa. The core component is MINN, which contains an Interformer and a better Inter-CMPNN in terms of message passing between interactions of drug and target. The Interformer employs two interacting transformer decoders to pass information from drug to target representations. We can observe that these decoders are interacting only to share information between the drug and target components. In essence, Inter-CMPNN is identical to other neural networks but differs in that it reinforces the connections between drug molecules by constantly sending and receiving messages. Additionally, this architecture provides full two-way information flow, which is in concordance with the induced-fit model of drug-target binding itself. Moreover, this method provides improved prediction accuracy and explainability.

8) Wrapper Feature Selection using Rotation Forest

According to rotation forest technique, best features are selected using wrapper feature selection. This process of feature selection applies rotation method in association with wrapper method for improved accuracy. According to this method, performance of traditional machine learning models improves by choosing features wisely. This method addresses the issue of opting for proper features in terms of model improvement. According to the method, it initiates with extracting different features from protein sequences and drug fingerprints. In addition, the IWSSR algorithm initially assigns relevance-weighted weights to filter features, and then applies wrapper method for the selection of effective feature subsets. This method itself performs dimensionality reduction and stops overfitting by iterative selection. The ultimate prediction employs Rotation Forest classifier, which constructs multiple decision trees on randomly chosen feature subsets. Each set is then subjected to PCA to enhance diversity and accuracy itself.

9) Attention Mechanism

Essentially, attention mechanism is the very same unit that assists neural networks in paying attention to significant aspects of input data through weighing various sections. It operates by using a query and a set of key-value pairs to produce weighted sums of identical values. Additionally, based on the similarity between query and keys, the weights are calculated. With regards to this process, greater similarity levels produce larger weights. In DTI

prediction, it actually determines exactly which individual atoms in drugs and which amino acid components in proteins are most critical to their binding. It certainly assists researchers with knowing precisely where these molecules bind to one another. This makes the model clear by revealing merely the most significant features for any prediction. We can see that it emphasizes which components are most critical to decision-making.

10) Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)

We are witnessing RNN and LSTM being only two forms of neural networks which are capable of remembering past information. These types of networks are suitable for dealing with data in a sequence, such as text or time series. RNNs are neural networks capable of processing sequential data with internal memory to store past information. They are also appropriate for sequence tasks such as SMILES strings and protein amino acid sequences, in which the sequence itself holds significant patterns. According to research evidence, basic RNNs have forgetting problems with respect to long sequences. They are unable to recall information well when working with long data. Further, LSTM is certainly a specialized form of RNN that addresses this issue through a sophisticated design involving three gates - input, forget, and output gates. In addition, these gates assist in regulating the way information passes through the network. This allows LSTMs to learn long-range relationships in data, further allowing them to learn complicated patterns in biological sequences itself.

11) Graph Convolutional Network (GCN)

Graph Convolutional Network (GCN) operates on graph data by doing convolution over nodes and their neighbors. It also learns node representations by pooling information from the structure of the graph itself. GCNs are neural networks that operate on graph data where edges and nodes denote relations such as atoms and bonds within molecules. The network itself learns node features by collecting data from nearby nodes, and this can be extended further to learn complex patterns in the graph structure. This actually occurs across many layers to construct a definitive layered understanding of how the graph is structured. GCNs are essentially quite good at predicting drug-target interactions as they can directly leverage the topological structure of molecules, i.e., their same natural representation rather than merely sequences. This actually makes them efficient at extracting significant structural features that are surely pivotal in predicting interactions.

12) Autoencoder

Autoencoder indeed compresses input data into compact representation and reconstructs original data from this compact representation. Additionally, this neural network automatically learns significant features without human intervention during training process. We are observing that autoencoder is just a neural network learning patterns from data without label. It consists of two components - encoder simplifies the input data, and decoder reconstructs the original data from the simplified version. The goal is to determine an efficient representation of the data itself. This again facilitates insightful analysis of the data. In drug-protein interaction prediction, we are observing autoencoders generate new and easy feature vectors of drugs and proteins from their raw data, which can then be utilized by other models for classification or prediction purposes only.

13) Word Embedding

In essence, word embedding turns words into numerical vectors that allow computers to perceive in the same manner that human beings do. In addition, word embedding is a technique in which words are encoded as numerical vectors to indicate their meaning and connections. Word embedding was originally applied in natural language processing and also aids machines in comprehending language itself. In addition, in bioinformatics, this concept is actually utilized to denote biological sequences. It certainly assists scientists in dealing with DNA and protein information. Also, for example, an amino acid sequence of a protein can certainly be broken down into smaller segments named "words" like k-mers or short sequences. Additionally, a model can readily learn representations for these smaller segments. This methodology assists models in making use of the context of these sequences, which is merely highly beneficial for predicting drug-target interactions. We are observing that this approach makes the predictions more precise. Further, we are observing that Deep Belief Networks employ only numerous

layers to learn data patterns step by step. These networks function by training every layer individually to better comprehend complex information.

14) Deep Belief Network (DBN)

We are witnessing that DBNs are deep learning models that are capable of generating new data, and they are constructed by stacking various layers of RBMs alone. An RBM certainly learns patterns from input data by using probability techniques. Further, it expresses this information in a trivial neural network architecture. According to the training technique, DBNs learn layer by layer without supervision to construct deep feature patterns from data. With respect to the process, each layer builds hierarchical representations of the input data. Following this initial training, the network itself can be subsequently fine-tuned via supervised learning for particular tasks. DBNs are actually capable of learning significant features from drug and protein information in DTI prediction. These features are then undoubtedly employed to classify drug-target interactions.

15) Topology Adaptive Graph CNN (TAGCN)

In essence, TAGCN is identical to standard Graph CNN except that it adjusts to varied network patterns automatically. The system modifies its learning strategy according to the manner in which nodes are interconnected in every individual graph. TAGCN is certainly a unique form of Graph Convolutional Network that operates on graph data simultaneously with several different filter sizes. Additionally, this strategy assists the network to learn different patterns in the graph structure more efficiently. This ends up aiding the model to learn patterns from close nodes as well as nodes which are most certainly far. The model is able to learn features from both proximate and faraway relationships within the network. In Drug Target Interaction research, this strategy assists to further learn protein binding sites and drug molecules how they represent themselves. The method itself is helpful in comprehending such molecular interactions. TAGCN certainly learns more structural patterns by capturing features at various hop distances within molecular graphs. Further, this method assists the model to comprehend intricate topological information better. Bi-LSTM actually processes data both in the forward and backward direction in order to comprehend full sequence patterns. This method certainly enhances comprehension through analysis of information from the past and future contexts at once.

16) Bi-directional Long Short-Term Memory (Bi-LSTM)

According to the architecture, Bi-LSTM processes data in both forward and backward directions in order to retrieve full sequence information. Concerning its operation, this two-way processing assists the model to know both the past and future context from the input data. Also, for DTI prediction, Bi-LSTM is helpful in the analysis of protein binding sites and drug molecules where the order of sequences itself cannot be given definite meaning. This method also facilitates a better understanding of molecular interactions. It can learn more comprehensive feature representations by considering context from both ways. This method surely improves the robustness of the model.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

According to the review of research articles, deep learning techniques perform best for drug-target interaction prediction. Concerning the optimal methods, hybrid and graph-based approaches provide most encouraging results. These techniques definitely work better compared to conventional machine learning methods, particularly on large and complicated datasets. Additionally, they can learn essential features from raw data automatically, eliminating the necessity for manual feature engineering. According to the analysis, the most effective approaches leverage multiple data sources and sophisticated components when it comes to capturing complicated biological relationships.

The Mutual Interaction Neural Network (MINN-DTI) of Li et al. is indeed the best-performing method. This strategy surely performs well for predicting drug-target interaction. This model is quite robust based on its bidirectional influence capture between targets and drugs. This method is in accordance with the induced-fit theory of binding mechanisms. MINN-DTI actually features a combination of two networks - Interformer and Inter-CMPNN - which undoubtedly enable drug and target information to pass messages back and forth through 2D molecular graphs and distance maps. This architecture certainly provides better results, with the

AUC score on the DUD-E dataset being 2.5% greater compared to other methods. Furthermore, this enhancement demonstrates the efficacy of the given architecture. The model is very interpretable since it puts more weights on important residues and atoms in binding, which also facilitates the understanding of drug mechanisms itself.

According to recent updates, AttentionSiteDTI is yet another crucial approach in the context of drug-target interaction prediction through graph-based deep learning. Essentially, it targets protein binding sites rather than full protein structures and, therefore, is as efficient in the same way but more biologically relevant. Essentially, it applies TAGCN and Bi-LSTM networks with self-attention mechanism for the same purpose of interpretability. Essentially, this aids in detecting and visualizing significant protein binding sites that exert the same significant influence on interactions. AttentionSiteDTI certainly performed outstandingly on the BindingDB dataset, achieving an AUC of 0.97 for known proteins and 0.94 for unknown proteins. Further, these scores conclusively demonstrate that the model is capable of generalizing well to new data. We are noticing that the primary benefit of this model is its straightforward interpretability, which serves to simply make us know the molecular interactions explicitly.

The EviDTI framework itself employs evidential deep learning to provide predictions with measures of uncertainty. This process also presents an effective way for drug-target interaction prediction. Essentially, this aids researchers in targeting the most trustworthy predictions for drug discovery experiments, which is the same method required for real-world verification. Additionally, eviDTI itself employs a ProtTrans model to obtain protein features and uses MG-BERT and GeoGNN jointly for drug features. This method certainly displays how various approaches can complement each other to produce a superior outcome. This model can certainly gauge how confident its forecast is, which is a big advancement. Further, it provides more accuracy and is more dependable than models that can only deliver one forecast score.

Although deep learning models certainly demonstrate outstanding outcomes, traditional machine learning techniques can also be extremely effective. In addition, these techniques perform even better when combined with smarter feature selection. Furthermore, Abbasi et al.'s research indicates that the system itself can enhance performance. This research itself demonstrates that the method performs better than the current techniques. The research (2023) indicated that implementation of IWSSR wrapper feature selection with Rotation Forest classifier provided extremely high accuracy of 98.12% for enzymes and 98.07% for ion channels on Yamanishi Gold Standard datasets. This itself was found to be more effective for prediction. Additionally, we are witnessing that for compact datasets with well-defined boundaries, feature-based approaches can rival or surpass sophisticated deep learning algorithms by simply selecting the appropriate features so as to prevent overfitting. Additionally, essentially, these approaches share the same issue - they rely on manually designed features that are incapable of detecting sophisticated latent patterns such as deep learning algorithms.

Essentially, future DTI prediction will be centered on key areas to address the same existing issues we have today. One of them is certainly designing hybrid methods that are capable of expertly fusing various data sources such as chemical fingerprints, genomic information, and protein sequences. Furthermore, these multimodal approaches can include structural data to design more inclusive analysis systems. According to EviDTI outcomes, incorporating uncertainty estimation in models is extremely crucial when it comes to establishing confidence and directing drug discovery experiments. In terms of model reliability, this strategy enables researchers to take more informed decisions while carrying out validation work. We are witnessing a persisting challenge where models have to manage imbalanced data sets better, and there exists only a shortage of true negative examples causing this to aggravate. We are finding that scientists must create methods that can apply to novel drugs with limited data, and deep transfer learning is able to fix this issue. We are finding that increasing the interpretability of these models, such as in AttentionSiteDTI and MINN-DTI, is only crucial for providing biological insights and assisting with rational drug design.

V. CONCLUSION

Current research points to a major shift in our method of forecasting drug interactions with their targets. Rather than depending on conventional techniques such as high-throughput screening, scientists are now employing the use of sophisticated computational methods, specifically deep learning. Earlier computational methods suffered from the problem of manual feature generation and the application of simple machine learning. Yet, deep learning enhanced this by learning patterns on detailed biological and chemical data by itself. MINN-DTI and AttentionSiteDTI are some models that perform well because they are able to understand complex relationships and explain the results clearly, helping scientists learn about drug mechanisms. The EviDTI utility is helpful because it shows the prediction's confidence level, which is important to improve the reliability of real drug discoveries. While deep learning is best with large, complicated datasets, conventional machine learning strategies remain useful when deployed wisely, particularly for smaller, focused datasets. In the future, scientists are bound to focus on combining different types of data, resolving problems related to imbalanced data, and making models more interpretable, all in an effort to enhance new drug and target design.

REFERENCES

[1] Dalkıran, A., Atakan, A., Rifaioglu, A. S., Martin, M. J., Atalay, R. C., Acar, A. C., Dogan, T., and Atalay, V. (2023). Transfer learning for drug-target interaction prediction. *Bioinformatics*, 39(Supplement_1), i103–i110. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btad234

[2] Thafar, M. A., Olayan, R. S., Albaradei, S., Bajic, V. B., Gojobori, T., Essack, M., and Gao, X. (2021). DTi2Vec: Drug-target interaction prediction using network embedding and ensemble learning. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 13(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-021-00552-w

[3] Abbasi, K., Razzaghi, P., Poso, A., Ghanbari-Ara, S., and Masoudi-Nejad, A. (2020). Deep Learning in Drug Target Interaction Prediction: Current and Future Perspective. *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, 27, 1–14. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32895036/

[4] Bian, J., Zhang, X., Zhang, X., Xu, D., and Wang, G. (2023). MCANet: shared-weight-based MultiheadCrossAttention network for drug-target interaction prediction. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 24(2), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbad082

[5] Xu, L., Ru, X., and Song, R. (2021). Application of Machine Learning for Drug-Target Interaction Prediction. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 12, 680117. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34234813/

[6] Zhao, Y., Xing, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Wan, M., Yi, D., Wu, C., Li, S., Xu, H., Zhang, H., Liu, Z., Zhou, G., Li, M., Wang, X., Chen, Z., Li, R., Wu, L., Zhao, D., Zan, P., He, S., and Bo, X. (2025). Evidential deep learning-based drug-target interaction prediction. *Nature Communications*, 16(1), 6915. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-62235-6

[7] Li, F., Zhang, Z., Guan, J., and Zhou, S. (2022). Effective drug-target interaction prediction with mutual interaction neural network. *Bioinformatics*, 38(14), 3582–3589. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac377

[8] Abbasi, K., Razzaghi, P., Poso, A., Ghanbari-Ara, S., and Masoudi-Nejad, A. (2020). Deep Learning in Drug Target Interaction Prediction: Current and Future Perspective. *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, 27, 1–14. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32895036/

[9] Suruliandi, A., Idhaya, T., and Raja, S. P. (2022). Drug Target Interaction Prediction Using Machine Learning Techniques - A Review. *International Journal of Interactive Multimedia and Artificial Intelligence*, 8(6), 86–100. https://doi.org/10.9781/ijimai.2022.11.002

[10] Yazdani-Jahromi, M., Yousefi, N., Tayebi, A., Kolanthai, E., Neal, C. J., Seal, S., and Garibay, O. O. (2022). AttentionSiteDTI: an interpretable graph-based model for drug-target interaction prediction using NLP sentence-level relation classification. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 23(4), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbac272

