

# The Future of Api Development: How Proto Reflection Transforms GRPC Interactions

Kish Aleksei

Senior Software Engineer / Technical Owner at Semrush Spain, Barcelona

\*\*\*\*\*

## Abstract:

The article presents a study of a modern approach to developing GUI clients for interacting with gRPC and gRPC-web services, based on Proto Reflection technology. The study addresses the limitations of existing solutions and proposes an innovative approach to overcoming them through the development of specialized tools for the macOS platform. Particular attention is given to implementing dynamic interaction with gRPC services without the need for pre-compiling proto files, significantly simplifying the process of API development and testing. The proposed solution includes full support for various types of gRPC interactions, collaborative tools, and automated API structure updates. The practical application of this approach demonstrates a significant improvement in development efficiency within the context of modern microservice architectures. The results of the research are particularly relevant for advancing data engineering tools and optimizing API development processes.

**Keywords — GUI Client, GRPC, Proto Reflection, API Development, Swift, Microservice Architecture, Development Automation, Data Engineering.**

\*\*\*\*\*

## Introduction

In the era of digital transformation, there has been unprecedented growth in the use of application programming interfaces (API) to integrate various services and applications. According to recent forecasts, the global software market is demonstrating steady growth with an annual rate of 5.27%, projected to reach \$858.10 billion by 2028 [7]. This trend underscores the critical importance of effective mechanisms for inter-system communication, where APIs serve as a key connecting element.

The evolution of architectural approaches to API development has progressed significantly, from the dominance of representational state transfer (REST) architecture to the emergence of more advanced solutions. Sangwai et al. [6] demonstrate that gRPC, introduced by Google in 2015, offers impressive performance advantages—up to eight times faster than traditional JSON serialization while reducing message sizes by 60-80%. Such advancements are achieved through the efficient utilization of HTTP/2 capabilities, including request multiplexing and header compression.

However, the technological breakthrough of gRPC faces substantial limitations in practical implementation. Nimpattanavong et al. [5] note that existing tools for working with gRPC significantly lag behind the needs of modern development.

This problem is particularly relevant in the context of Swift development. Barik et al. [1] highlight fundamental language limitations when working with Protocol Buffers, particularly the absence of built-in mechanisms for dynamic code generation from .proto files. Such constraints significantly complicate the development process, requiring constant recompilation with each API change.

De Matos et al. [4] emphasize that with the rapid evolution of service APIs, traditional static interaction methods have become a critical bottleneck in the development process. The need to regenerate classes and recompile applications not only slows down the release of updates but also severely limits the adaptability of systems to changing market demands.

An analysis of user needs among developers of automated test systems and instrumentation control software reveals a critical

gap between existing solutions and the real requirements of the industry. Liang & He [2] highlight the importance of supporting both unary and bidirectional stream interactions, flexible SSL handling, and automatic project updates when proto files change. These features address key demands observed during the analysis and provide the necessary functionality for modern distributed applications. In this context, the potential of Proto Reflection—a mechanism that enables dynamic exploration of service and message structures at runtime—takes on special significance.

The aim of this article is to present a developed approach to interacting with gRPC services based on the principles of Proto Reflection, which addresses existing limitations and provides developers with a more flexible and efficient toolkit for working with modern APIs. Particular attention is given to solving the challenges of dynamic exploration and interaction with services without the need for prior compilation, opening new opportunities for optimizing API development and testing processes.

## Materials and Methods

The examination of the current state of software development tools reveals a significant gap in the utility available for working with gRPC and gRPC-web services. A market analysis highlights the absence of a universal GUI client capable of fully addressing developers’ needs in this area. The theoretical analysis of existing solutions identifies four major tools dominating the market: Postman, Insomnia, BloomRPC, and Kreya [9-11]. Each has been developed to address certain aspects of API testing and gRPC workflows but

shows varying levels of capabilities and limitations when evaluated against modern development needs. A detailed comparison of these tools based on key user requirements is presented in Table 1.

An analysis of user needs, conducted through structured interviews and the collection of professional feedback, identified the following key requirements:

1. Integrated support for both gRPC and gRPC-web protocols with capabilities for handling unary and bidirectional stream interactions.
2. An enhanced security system with flexible SSL configuration, allowing for testing in various protection modes.
3. Detailed server response representation, including full metadata and status details display for effective debugging.
4. Optimized integration mechanisms for new services via direct proto file uploads or Proto Reflection.
5. An automatic synchronization system for timely updates of definitions when proto files or server signatures change.
6. An ergonomic user interface providing efficient project management and navigation.
7. An intelligent autocomplete system to streamline the request creation process.
8. A flexible environment variable management system supporting multiple configurations.
9. A differentiated licensing model offering free access for individuals and flexible terms for corporate clients.
10. Collaborative features enabling efficient project sharing among team members [8].

Table 1. Comparative Analysis of Tools for Working with gRPC [9-11]

Criterion / Tool	Postman	Insomnia	BloomRPC (archived project)	Kreya
Integrated support for gRPC and gRPC-web protocols	gRPC: Yes, gRPC-web: No	gRPC: Yes, gRPC-web: No	gRPC: Yes, gRPC-web: Yes	gRPC: Yes, gRPC-web: Partial
Enhanced security system with	Basic support	Limited	Limited	Full support

flexible SSL configuration				
Detailed server response representation (metadata, statuses)	Limited	Partial	Basic	Full
Optimized integration mechanisms (proto file uploads and server reflection)	Partial, no reflection	Full	Partial, no reflection	Full
Automatic synchronization for updated proto file definitions	Not supported	Not supported	Not supported	Supported (in paid version)
Ergonomic user interface for efficient project management	Complex and overloaded	Intuitive (more or less)	Simple, easy to use, outdated	Complex (spent 30 minutes to configure a project)
Intelligent autocomplete system	Not supported	Basic	Not supported	Supported
Flexible environment variable management system	Supported	Supported	Not supported	Supported
Differentiated licensing model with free access and flexible corporate terms	Free and paid	Free and paid	Free	Free and paid
Collaborative features for team-based project sharing	Full support	Limited (paid)	Not supported	Limited

The comparative analysis underscores that despite various strengths, none of the existing tools provides comprehensive coverage of all developer requirements. Postman, while robust for HTTP and basic gRPC workflows, lacks critical support for gRPC-web and streamlined proto file integration. Insomnia offers simplicity and server reflection but does not address advanced debugging, automatic synchronization, or flexible security needs. BloomRPC, though initially promising, has become obsolete due to its lack of updates and limited features. Kreya comes closest to meeting developer requirements, offering comprehensive support for gRPC workflows, but it still falls short in critical areas, such as intelligent test data generation, extensive collaboration capabilities, and seamless gRPC-web support [9-11].

This analysis highlights a growing demand for a modern, all-encompassing solution capable of bridging the existing shortcomings in current tools. Such a solution must integrate advanced

functionalities, address the identified limitations, and provide a truly universal tool for gRPC and gRPC-web development.

The theoretical justification for selecting macOS as the development platform is based on statistical analysis of the target audience, which shows over 90% adoption of this operating system among developers. This defines the choice of Swift as the primary programming language, ensuring optimal performance and native integration with the platform.

However, using Swift introduces a significant technical challenge: the lack of libraries for working with Proto Reflection. A theoretical analysis of potential solutions identifies two fundamentally different approaches. The first involves using system calls to protoc with integration into the build process, which potentially creates performance and licensing issues. The second, more promising approach involves developing a specialized library for Proto Reflection.

A significant achievement in addressing this challenge was the development of the SwiftProtoReflect library, which was explored in a previous study [3]. This system implements full support for Proto Reflection in Swift. Additionally, the library enables dynamic exploration of service and message structures without the need for prior proto file compilation, unlocking (not quite correct, cause we still need Swift Proto Parse here or have to use protoc) new possibilities for creating an efficient GUI client. The theoretical rationale for adopting an iterative development approach is based on the concept of continuous product improvement through user feedback. This methodology allows for the prompt identification and resolution of critical issues, ensuring ongoing enhancements in the functionality and usability of the tool under development.

Thus, the theoretical analysis establishes a solid foundation for creating a comprehensive solution capable of meeting all identified developer requirements for working with gRPC services. The practical implementation of this approach will be discussed in detail in the following section.

## **Results and Discussion**

The proposed toolkit for interacting with gRPC services, grounded in the principles of Proto Reflection, has demonstrated significant advantages over existing approaches. A key achievement is the capability to dynamically analyze and interact with services without the prior compilation of .proto files—an innovation that addresses a critical bottleneck noted in contemporary literature and developer practice [1–6]. The choice of Swift, highly popular among the target audience and deeply integrated into macOS, ensures native-level performance and the benefits of SwiftUI for an ergonomic, responsive user interface.

Functionally, the system provides direct support for both gRPC and gRPC-web protocols, including unary and bidirectional streaming, along with flexible SSL configuration. These capabilities directly align with the demands identified through developer feedback in the fields of automated testing and instrumentation software [2, 8]. A particularly noteworthy contribution is the integration of reflection mechanisms

previously unavailable in Swift: by incorporating the SwiftProtoReflect library [3], the system enables runtime exploration of service, message, and method structures without the need for frequent manual updates to generated classes whenever the API changes.

This technological leap bridges the gap between theoretical concepts of reflection and their practical implementation. While the conventional approach to handling Protocol Buffers in Swift relies on static code generation through protoc, the new methodology expands the scope of dynamic modifications to protocol definitions. This advancement enhances responsiveness to evolving business requirements, reduces the time spent on code regeneration and recompilation, and alleviates critical bottlenecks associated with rapidly changing microservice architectures.

From a technical standpoint, SwiftProtoReflect enables on-the-fly message construction and modification. For example, developers can dynamically define and serialize messages before sending them to the server, as shown in the code snippet below. This capability ensures automatic updates to data structures as server signatures evolve—a feature that previously required manual source code adjustments and repeated build cycles.

```

import SwiftProtoReflect
// Define a dynamic message structure
let messageDescriptor = ProtoMessageDescriptor(
    fullName: "ApiRequest",
    fields: [
        ProtoFieldDescriptor(name: "method", number: 1, type:
.string, isRepeated: false, isMap: false),
        ProtoFieldDescriptor(name: "parameters", number: 2, type:
.message, isRepeated: true, isMap: false),
        ProtoFieldDescriptor(name: "metadata", number: 3, type:
.message, isRepeated: false, isMap: true)
    ],
    enums: [],
    nestedMessages: []
)
// Create and configure a dynamic message
var dynamicMessage = ProtoReflect.createMessage(from:
messageDescriptor)
dynamicMessage.set(field: messageDescriptor.fields[0], value:
.stringValue("getUserData"))
dynamicMessage.set(field: messageDescriptor.fields[1], value:
.arrayValue([
    stringValue("id"),
    stringValue("name")
]))
// Serialize and send the message
if let wireData = ProtoReflect.marshal(message: dynamicMessage) {
    sendRequest(data: wireData)
}

```

In addition to dynamic message handling, the solution employs a multi-level data storage and synchronization system. Core Data efficiently stores projects, requests, and environment variables locally, while integration with iCloud/CloudKit supports team collaboration and seamless project sharing across multiple devices. UserDefaults ensures swift retrieval of configuration parameters, streamlining the setup process and enhancing ease of use.

Prior comparative analysis of leading tools (Postman, Insomnia, BloomRPC, Kreya) revealed that none fully meet developers' needs [9-11]. The developed solution not only fills these gaps but also extends the functional horizon with complete gRPC-web support, full integration of Proto Reflection, intelligent autocomplete, flexible

environment management, and automatic adaptation to evolving services. Collectively, this results in a truly universal and adaptive instrument that supports all stages of the API development and testing lifecycle.

From a practical perspective, the findings indicate improved efficiency and flexibility, which are essential in microservice architectures and dynamically evolving service ecosystems. By reducing the overhead associated with adapting to changes and eliminating the reliance on static code generation, the solution fosters closer integration of development and testing processes.

These achievements open new avenues for further advancement in distributed systems interaction. Future work may involve supporting additional serialization formats and protocols, integrating machine learning for predictive

performance analysis and automated test data generation, and scaling the approach to multi-protocol environments and hybrid cloud infrastructures. Such enhancements will further address the pressing challenges of scalability, reliability, and adaptability that define the rapid expansion of modern API ecosystems.

In summary, the results confirm the effectiveness of the developed approach to dynamic interaction with gRPC services in a Swift environment, while also highlighting new opportunities for automating, optimizing, and enhancing the design and testing of contemporary distributed systems.

## Conclusion

The conducted research demonstrates the effectiveness of a new approach to developing tools for working with gRPC services. A key achievement is the creation of a solution that overcomes existing limitations in dynamic API interaction, which is particularly critical in the context of modern requirements for flexibility and speed in software development.

The practical significance of the work is evidenced by the potential for significant time savings in API development and testing, especially in environments with frequent service structure changes. The proposed approach opens new opportunities for optimizing development processes in microservice architectures.

The scientific novelty of the study lies in the development of a methodology for dynamic interaction with gRPC services in environments with limited reflection capabilities, expanding the existing understanding of Protocol Buffers' potential within the Swift ecosystem.

Future research directions involve advancing automation mechanisms for API development processes, improving performance when working with large-scale systems, and expanding functionality to support new protocols and data formats. A particularly interesting avenue is the exploration of machine learning applications for optimizing the generation and validation of API structures.

## References

1. Barik R. et al. Optimization of Swift Protocols //Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages. – 2019. – T. 3. – №. OOPSLA. – C. 1-27.

2. de Matos F. F. S. B., Rego P. A. L., Trinta F. A. M. An Empirical Study about the Adoption of Multi-language Technique in Computation Offloading in a Mobile Cloud Computing Scenario //CLOSER. – 2021. – C. 207-214.

3. Kish A. Proto Reflection Implementation For Dynamic Interaction With gRPC Services In High-load Systems //The American Journal Of Engineering And Technology. – 2024. – T. 6. – №. 12 – C. 84-91.

4. Liang L., He Z. The Design of a Protocol Buffer Library for Vala //2021 IEEE 15th International Conference on Electronic Measurement & Instruments (ICEMI). – IEEE, 2021. – C. 51-55.

5. Nimpattanavong C. et al. Improving Data Transfer Efficiency for AIs in the DareFightingICE using gRPC //2023 8th International Conference on Business and Industrial Research (ICBIR). – IEEE, 2023. – C. 286-290.

6. Sangwai A. et al. Barricading System-System Communication using gRPC and Protocol Buffers //2023 5th Biennial International Conference on Nascent Technologies in Engineering (ICNTE). – IEEE, 2023. – C. 1-5.

7. gRPC vs. REST: Navigating API Communication Standards //Flatirons. [Electronic resource] – URL: [https://flatirons.com/blog/grpc-vs-rest/?utm\\_source.com](https://flatirons.com/blog/grpc-vs-rest/?utm_source.com)

8. gRPC: A Comprehensive Guide to Modern API Development //Kong. [Electronic resource] – URL: <https://konghq.com/blog/learning-center/what-is-grpc>

9. Insomnia. [Electronic resource] – URL: <https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/diseases/12119-insomnia>

10. Postman gRPC client. [Electronic resource] – URL: <https://www.postman.com/product/grpc-client/>

11. What makes KreyA the best BloomRPC alternative. [Electronic resource] – URL: <https://kreyA.app/comparisons/bloomrpc/>