

GENERALIZED PYTHAGOREAN FUZZY CLOSED SETS

T.Rameshkumar*, S.Maragathavalli^{2**}

*(Department of Mathematics, Nehru Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India
Email: rameshmath610@gmail.com)

** (Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, Udumalpet, Tamilnadu, India
Email:smvalli@rediffmail.co)

Abstract:

This document gives formatting instructions for authors preparing papers for publication in the Proceedings of an IEEE conference. The authors must follow the instructions given in the document for the papers to be published. You can use this document as both an instruction set and as a template into which you can type your own text.

Keywords —Put your keywords here, keywords are separated by comma.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, fuzzy set theory first introduced by Zadeh [13]. Fuzzy set theory was characterized by a membership function which assigns to each target membership value ranging between 0 and 1. In 1968, the concept of fuzzy topological space was introduced by Chang [2]. Also generalized some basic notions of topology such as open set, closed set, continuity and compactness to fuzzy topological spaces. Atanassov [1] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces was given by Coker [3] in 1997. Yager proposed another class of non-standard fuzzy sets, called Pythagorean fuzzy sets. The concept and notions of Pythagorean fuzzy topological spaces was introduced by Murat Olgun, Mehmet Unver and Seyhmus Yardimici [6]. In 2020, Naeem et al [6] studied Pythagorean m-polar fuzzy topology with TOPSIS approach in exploring most effectual method for curing from COVID-19 in 2020.

Taha Yasin Ozturk and Adem Yolcu [11] introduced some operations such as Pythagorean fuzzy interior, closure boundary on Pythagorean fuzzy topological spaces. Also, Pythagorean fuzzy open (closed) functions and Pythagorean fuzzy homeomorphisms are introduced and their basic properties are investigated in 2020.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. Let X be the non-empty universe of discourse. A fuzzy set A in X , $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x)) : x \in X\}$ where $\mu_A: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the membership function of the fuzzy set A ; $\mu_A(x) \in [0, 1]$ is the membership of $x \in X$ in A .

Definition 2.2. Let X be the non-empty universe of discourse. An Intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) A in X is given by $A = \{x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) : x \in X\}$ where the functions $\mu_A(x) \in [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A(x) \in [0, 1]$ denote the degree of membership and degree of non-membership of each element $x \in X$ to the set A , respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$ for each $x \in X$. The degree of indeterminacy $I_A = 1 - (\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x))$ for each $x \in X$.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. An intuitionistic fuzzy set A in (X, T) is said to be generalized intuitionistic fuzzy closed (in shortly GIF-closed) if $IFcl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is intuitionistic fuzzy open. The complement of a GIF-closed set is GIF-open.

Definition 2.4. Let X be the non-empty universe of discourse. A Pythagorean fuzzy set (PFS) P in X is given by $P = \{ \langle x, \mu_P(x), \nu_P(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ where the functions $\mu_P(x) \in [0, 1]$ and $\nu_P(x) \in [0, 1]$ denote the degree of membership and degree of non-membership of each element $x \in X$ to the set P , respectively, with the condition that $0 \leq \mu_P^2(x) + \nu_P^2(x) \leq 1$ for each $x \in X$. The degree of indeterminacy $I_P = \sqrt{1 - (\mu_P^2(x) + \nu_P^2(x))}$ for each $x \in X$.

Definition 2.5. Let $P_1 = \{ \langle x, \mu_{P_1}(x), \nu_{P_1}(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ and $P_2 = \{ \langle x, \mu_{P_2}(x), \nu_{P_2}(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ be two Pythagorean fuzzy sets over X . Then,

1. the Pythagorean fuzzy complement of P_1 is defined by

$$P_1^c = \{ \langle x, \nu_{P_1}(x), \mu_{P_1}(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$$

2. the Pythagorean fuzzy intersection of P_1 and P_2 is defined by

$$P_1 \cap P_2 = \{ \langle x, \min\{\mu_{P_1}(x), \mu_{P_2}(x)\}, \max\{\nu_{P_1}(x), \nu_{P_2}(x)\} \rangle : x \in X \}$$

3. the Pythagorean fuzzy union of P_1 and P_2 is defined by

$$P_1 \cup P_2 = \{ \langle x, \max\{\mu_{P_1}(x), \mu_{P_2}(x)\}, \min\{\nu_{P_1}(x), \nu_{P_2}(x)\} \rangle : x \in X \}$$

4. we say P_1 is a Pythagorean fuzzy subset of P_2 and write $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ if

$$\mu_{P_1}(x) \leq \mu_{P_2}(x) \text{ and } \nu_{P_1}(x) \geq \nu_{P_2}(x) \text{ for each } x \in X,$$

5. $0_X = \{ \langle x, 0, 1 \rangle, x \in X \}$ and $1_X = \{ \langle x, 1, 0 \rangle, x \in X \}$.

Definition 2.6. Let $(X, \tau)_P$ be an Pythagorean Fuzzy topological space

and $P = \{ \langle x, \mu_P(x), \nu_P(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ be a Pythagorean fuzzy set over X . Then the Pythagorean fuzzy interior, Pythagorean fuzzy closure and Pythagorean fuzzy boundary of P are defined by;

a. $int(P) = \bigcup \{ G : G \text{ is a PFO in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq P \}$

b. $cl(P) = \bigcap \{ K : K \text{ is a PFCS in } X \text{ and } P \subseteq K \}$

c. $Fr(P) = cl(P) \cap cl(P^c)$

Remark 2.7. It is clear that,

a. $int(P)$ is the biggest Pythagorean fuzzy open set contained in P ,

b. $cl(P)$ is the smallest Pythagorean fuzzy closed set containing P .

Remark 2.8. From the definition Pythagorean fuzzy union and intersection, it is obvious that Pythagorean fuzzy interior, closure and boundary are Pythagorean fuzzy sets.

3. GENERALIZED PYTHAGOREAN FUZZY CLOSED SETS

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, \tau)_P$ be an Pythagorean Fuzzy topological space. A Pythagorean Fuzzy set A in $(X, \tau)_P$ is said to be generalized Pythagorean fuzzy closed (shortly GPFC) if $PFcl(A) \subseteq P$ whenever $A \subseteq P$ and P is PFO.

The complement of GPFC is GPFO

Definition 3.2. Let

$(X, \tau)_P$ be a Pythagorean Fuzzy topological space. Let A be a PFS in X . Then Generalized Pythagorean fuzzy closure and Generalized Pythagorean Fuzzy interior of A are defined by

(1) $GPFcl(A) = \bigcap \{ G : G \text{ is GPFC closed set in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq G \}$

(2) $GPFint(A) = \bigcup \{ G : G \text{ is GPFO open set in } X \text{ and } A \supseteq G \}$

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$. Consider the following family of Pythagorean fuzzy sets $\tau = \{0_X, A, B, 1_X\}$ where

$$A = \{\langle x_1, 0.6, 0.4 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle\}, B = \{\langle x_1, 0.7, 0.04 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.8, 0.3 \rangle\}.$$

Clearly $(X, \tau)_P$ is a Pythagorean fuzzy topological space. Here the set $C = \{\langle x_1, 0.7, 0.3 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.5, 0.4 \rangle\}$ is a Generalized Pythagorean fuzzy closed set.

Proposition 3.4. Let $(X, \tau)_P$ be a Pythagorean Fuzzy topological space. Let A and B be any two Pythagorean fuzzy sets in $(X, \tau)_P$. Then the Generalized Pythagorean Fuzzy closure operators satisfy the following properties.

- i. $A \subseteq \text{GPFcl}(A)$
- ii. $\text{GPFcl}(\text{GPFcl}(A)) = \text{GPFcl}(A)$
- iii. $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{GPFcl}(A) \subseteq \text{GPFcl}(B)$
- iv. $\text{GPFcl}(A \cup B) = \text{GPFcl}(A) \cup \text{GPFcl}(B)$
- v. $\text{GPFcl}(1_X) = 1_X; \text{GPFcl}(0_X) = 0_X$.

Proof. (i), (ii), (iii) and (v) can be easily obtained by the definition of the GPF closure.

(iv) From $\text{GPFcl}(A) \subseteq \text{GPFcl}(A \cup B)$. We obtain

$$\text{GPFcl}(A) \cup \text{GPFcl}(B) \subseteq \text{GPFcl}(A \cup B).$$

On the other hand, from the facts $A \subseteq \text{GPFcl}(A)$ and $B \subseteq \text{GPFcl}(B) \Rightarrow A \cup B \subseteq \text{GPFcl}(A) \cup \text{GPFcl}(B)$ and $\text{GPFcl}(A) \cup \text{GPFcl}(B) \in \text{GPFCS}$. We have $\text{GPFcl}(A \cup B) \subseteq \text{GPFcl}(A) \cup \text{GPFcl}(B)$.

Thus, proof of the axioms (iv) is obtained from the setwise inequalities.

Proposition 3.5. Let $(X, \tau)_P$ be a Pythagorean Fuzzy topological space. Let A and B be any two Pythagorean fuzzy sets in $(X, \tau)_P$. Then the Generalized Pythagorean Fuzzy interior operators satisfy the following properties.

- i. $\text{GPFint}(A) \subseteq A$
- ii. $\text{GPFint}(\text{GPFint}(A)) = \text{GPFint}(A)$
- iii. $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{GPFint}(A) \subseteq \text{GPFint}(B)$
- iv. $\text{GPFint}(A \cap B) = \text{GPFint}(A) \cap \text{GPFint}(B)$
- v. $\text{GPFint}(1_X) = 1_X; \text{GPFint}(0_X) = 0_X$

Proof. (i), (ii), (iii) and (v) can be easily obtained from the definition of the Generalized Pythagorean Fuzzy interior.

(iv) From $\text{GPFint}(A \cap B) \subseteq \text{GPFint}(A)$ and $\text{GPFint}(A \cap B) \subseteq \text{GPFint}(B)$.

We obtain $\text{GPFint}(A \cap B) \subseteq \text{GPFint}(A) \cap \text{GPFint}(B)$. On the other hand, from the facts $\text{GPFint}(A) \subseteq A$ and $\text{GPFint}(B) \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{GPFint}(A) \cap \text{GPFint}(B) \subseteq A \cap B$ and $\text{GPFint}(A) \cap \text{GPFint}(B) \in \tau_P$. We have $\text{GPFint}(A) \cap \text{GPFint}(B) \subseteq \text{GPFint}(A \cap B)$. Thus, proof of the axioms (iv) is obtained from the setwise inequalities.

Proposition 3.6. Let $(X, \tau)_P$ be a Pythagorean Fuzzy topological space. Let A and B be any two Pythagorean fuzzy sets in $(X, \tau)_P$. Then the following properties hold.

1. $1 - \text{GPFcl}(A) = \text{GPFint}(1 - A)$
2. $1 - \text{GPFint}(A) = \text{GPFcl}(1 - A)$

Proposition 3.7. If A and B are GPF-closed sets, then $A \cup B$ is a GPF-closed set.

Remark 3.8. The intersection of two GPF-closed sets need not be GPF-closed set.

Proposition 3.9. Let $(X, \tau)_P$ be a Pythagorean Fuzzy topological space. If B is GPF-closed and $B \subseteq A \subseteq \text{PFcl}(B)$ then A is GPF-closed.

Proof. Let C be GPF-closed set in $(X, \tau)_P$ such that $A \subseteq C$. Since $B \subseteq C$ and B is a GPF-closed set, $\text{PFcl}(B) \subseteq C$. Since $\overline{A} \subseteq \text{PFcl}(B)$, we have $\text{PFcl}(A) \subseteq \text{PFcl}(\text{PFcl}(B)) = \text{PFcl}(B) \subseteq C$. Hence $\text{PFcl}(A) \subseteq C$ which implies that A is a GPF closed in $(X, \tau)_P$.

Proposition 3.10. In a Pythagorean fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)_P$, $\tau_P = \mathcal{T}_P$ (The family of all Pythagorean fuzzy closed sets) iff every Pythagorean fuzzy closed set of $(X, \tau)_P$ is a GPF closed set.

Proof. Suppose that every Pythagorean fuzzy set A of $(X, \tau)_P$ is GPF closed. Let $A \in \tau_P$. Since A

$\subseteq A$ and A is GPF-closed, $PFcl(A) \subseteq A$.
 But $A \subseteq PFcl(A)$. Hence, $PFcl(A) = A$.
 Thus, $A \in \tau_p$. Therefore, $\tau_p \subseteq T_p$. If $B \in T$, then $1_X - B \in \tau_p \subseteq T_p$ and hence $B \in \tau_p$.
 That is $T_p \subseteq \tau_p$. Therefore $\tau_p = T_p$

Conversely, Suppose that A be a Pythagorean Fuzzy set in $(X, \tau)_p$. Let B be a Pythagorean fuzzy open set in $(X, \tau)_p$ such that $A \subseteq B$.
 By hypothesis, B is Pythagorean fuzzy closed set. By the definition of Pythagorean fuzzy closure $PFcl(A) \subseteq B$. Therefore A is GPF-closed.

Proposition 3.11. If $PFint(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ and if A is GPF-open then B is also GPF-open.

Proposition 3.12. Let $(X, \tau)_p$ be an Pythagorean fuzzy topological space. A Pythagorean fuzzy set A is GPF-open iff $B \subseteq PFint(A)$, whenever B is Pythagorean fuzzy closed and $B \subseteq A$.

Proof. Let A be a GPF-open set and B be a Pythagorean fuzzy closed set, such that $B \subseteq A$.
 Now, $B \subseteq A \Rightarrow 1_X - A \subseteq 1_X - B$ and $1_X - A$ is a GPF-closed set $\Rightarrow PFcl(1_X - A) \subseteq 1_X - B$. That is,
 $B = 1_X - (1_X - B) \subseteq 1_X - PFcl(1_X - A)$.
 But $1_X - PFcl(1_X - A) = PFint(A)$. Thus, $B \subseteq PFint(A)$.

Conversely, suppose that A be Pythagorean fuzzy set, such that $B \subseteq PFint(A)$ whenever B is Pythagorean fuzzy closed and $B \subseteq A$. Let $1_X - A \subseteq B$ whenever B is Pythagorean fuzzy-open.

Now, $1_X - A \subseteq B \Rightarrow 1_X - B \subseteq A$. Hence by assumption, $1_X - B \subseteq PFint(A)$. That is, $1_X - PFint(A) \subseteq B$. But $1_X - PFint(A) = PFcl(1_X - A)$. Hence, $PFcl(1_X - A) \subseteq B$. That is, $1_X - A$ is GPF-closed. Therefore, A is GPF-open.

REFERENCES

[1] K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and systems, 20(1986), 87- 96.
 [2] C. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, Journal of M

athematical Analysis and Applications, 24(1968), 182-190.

[3] D. Coker, *An Introduction of Intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Systems, 88(1997), 81-89.
 [4] R. Dhavaseelan, E. Roja and M. K. Uma, *Generalized Intuitionistic fuzzy closed sets*, Advance in Fuzzy Mathematics, 5(2010), 157-172.
 [5] K. Hur, J. H. Kim, J. H. Ryou, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy topological spaces*, The Pure and Applied Mathematics, 11(3)(2004) 243-265.
 [6] K. Naeem, M. Riaz, X. D. Peng, D. Afzal, *Pythagorean m-polar Fuzzy Topology with TOPOSIS Approach in Exploring Most Effectual Method for curing from COVID-19*, International Journal of Biomathematics, 13(8), (2020).
 [7] M. Oligun, M. Univer, S. Yardimci, *Pythagorean Fuzzy Topological Spaces*, Complex and Intelligent Systems, 5(2)(2019) 177-183.
 [8] X. Peng, G. Selvachandran, *Pythagorean Fuzzy Set: State of the art and future directions*, Artificial Rev 52(2019) 1873-1927.
 [9] X. Peng, Y. Yang, *Some results for Pythagorean Fuzzy sets*, International Journal of Intelligent Systems, 30(11)(2005) 1133-1160.
 [10] R. Saadati, J. H. Park, *On the Intuitionistic Fuzzy topological spaces*, Chaos, solutions and Fractals, 27(2)(2006) 331-344.
 [11] Taha Yasin Ozturk, Adem Yolcu, *Some structures on Pythagorean fuzzy topological Spaces*, Journal of New Theory, 33(2020), 15-25.
 [12] Thakur, Samajh Singh, Chaturvedi, Rekha, *Generalized closed sets in intuitionistic fuzzy topology*, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics. 16(2008).
 [13] R. R. Yager, *Pythagorean Fuzzy Subsets*, Proceeding Joint IFSAWorld Congress NAFIPS Annual Meeting, 1, Edmonton, Canada, (2013)

)57-61.

- [14] L.A.Zadeh, *Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control*, 8(1965), 338-353.