

# Studies on Organoleptic, Physicochemical and Microbiological Quality of Lassi Sold in and around Hyderabad

K. Vijaya Goud\* and N. Krishnaiah\*\*

\*(Student, College of Veterinary Science, PVNRTVU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

Email: vijayagoudkatukuri@gmail.com)

\*\* (Professor and Head, Department of Veterinary Public Health and Epidemiology, College of Veterinary Science, PVNRTVU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

Email: drnkrishnaiah@gmail.com)

\*\*\*\*\*

## Abstract:

The present study was carried out to evaluate organoleptic, physicochemical and microbiological quality of Lassi sold in and around Hyderabad city, India. The overall acceptability score of the Lassi samples collected from organized sector was high (8.45), slightly less in semi organized sector (8.23) and least (7.65) in the hawkers samples. The pH, acidity and free fatty acids values were 0.81, 4.05 and 0.150(µeq/g) for organized, 0.79, 3.99 and 0.180(µeq/g) for semi organized, 0.83, 4.10 and 0.210(µeq/g) for hawkers sector samples respectively. The total viable counts were  $7.01 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml,  $9.00 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml and  $9.71 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml, LAB counts were  $5.68 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml,  $6.12 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml and  $7.28 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml, Coliform counts  $8.1 \times 10^1$  CFU/ml,  $9.5 \times 10^1$  CFU/ml and  $2.58 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml and Yeast and Mould counts  $4.5 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml,  $6.8 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml and  $2.81 \times 10^3$  CFU/ml in organized, semi organized and hawkers samples respectively. The incidence was nil, 16% and 36% for *Salmonella*, 28%, 36% and 56% for *Staphylococcus*, 36%, 44% and 72% for *E.coli*, 8%, 16% and 32% for *Listeria* and 20%, 28% and 40% for *Shigella* and the counts were nil,  $0.25 \times 10^1$  and  $3.5 \times 10^1$  CFU/ml for *Salmonella*,  $1.21 \times 10^2$ ,  $3.2 \times 10^2$  and  $9.6 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml for *Staphylococcus*,  $1.5 \times 10^1$ ,  $3.5 \times 10^1$  and  $8.51 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml for *E.coli*,  $0.5 \times 10^1$ ,  $0.7 \times 10^1$  and  $1.5 \times 10^1$  CFU/ml for *Listeria* and  $1.38 \times 10^1$ ,  $1.52 \times 10^1$  and  $2.58 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml for *Shigella* in organized, semi organized and hawkers samples respectively. The microbiological incidence and counts were high in hawkers samples, least in organized sector samples and in between in semi organized sectors.

**Keywords** —Lassi, Sensory quality, Microbiological quality, Organized sector, Semiorganized sector, Hawkiers.

\*\*\*\*\*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Fermented milk products constitute a vital component of human diet in many regions of the world. Fermentation of milk by suitable starter culture has several benefits especially, therapeutic purpose and increased palatability. The nutritive value of fermented milks will be elevated during fermentation and assimilation will be improved. Indigenous fermented milks like Dahi (curd), Lassi, Buttermilk, Srikhand, etc, are the prominent products in Indians' diet. At industrial level, lassi is prepared from Dahi breaking and mixing sugar syrup/ salt flavoured followed by packing and

stored under refrigerated conditions. It is estimated that 9.1% of total milk produced in India is converted into various fermented milk products [6]. The variants of lassi include Buttermilk, Chhas, Mattha are consumed in some regions. Lassi is a white, creamy white, viscous liquid with a sweet rich aroma and mild high acidic taste. It is either favoured with salt or sugar, together with condiments, depending on regional preferences. Due to creamy consistency sweet rich aroma and mild acidic flavour, lassi becomes refreshing, palatable product [11]. Salted lassi is more popular in Southern India whereas sweetened lassi is popular in Northern parts. It can be flavoured with

mint, cumin, fruit, or fruit juices and any spices like chilli, ginger, garlic.

Even though general methods of preparation of lassi is known, no serious attempts to standardize it's composition and method of manufacture for production in large quantities [27]. Unless some quality standards are fixed, consumer will not be assured of getting good quality lassi. Hyderabad is a metropolitan city, where in different categories of people live, taking various types of fermented milks as per their preferences. Number of brands of lassi is marketed in Hyderabad apart from semi organized sector and street vendors (hawkers). Much research work was not published on the quality of lassi, the present work was undertaken to evaluate the sensory, physicochemical and microbiological quality of lassi sold by different sources.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A preliminary study was conducted about the sources and types of lassi marketed in and around Hyderabad city. On the basis of survey, it was decided to collect the lassi samples from organized sector, semi organized sector and street vendors(hawkers). Twentyfive samples each from organized, semi organized and street vendors are collected from markets, packed in poly-ethene bags and kept in ice boxes. Then they were transported to the laboratory of Veterinary Public Health and Epidemiology, College of Veterinary Science, Rajendra Nagar and stored in refrigerator till the lab work was undertaken.

The fresh lassi samples were evaluated for sensory quality by a panel of five experienced judges following nine-point hedonic scale [17]. The acidity was estimated by titration method and pH was measured using electronic pH meter following the procedures listed [18].The free fatty acids was estimated by the method recommended [9]. SPC, LAB count, coliform count and yeast and mould was estimated by using nutrient agar, Lactobacillus selective agar, Mac Conkey agar and Potato Dextrose Agar were used for enumeration of standard plate count, lactobacillus count, coliform count and yeast and mould counts respectively following the procedures given in IS:5403 [20]and

IS:5401 [19]. Various media like Bismuth Sulphite Agar(*Salmonella*), Eosin Methylene Blue Agar (*Escherichiacoli*), Tryptic Soy Agar (*Staphylococcus*), Brain Heart Infusion Agar (*Listeria*) and Deoxy Chocolate Agar (*Shigella*) were used for detection of pathogens.

All medias were obtained in dehydrated forms and prepared according to manufacturer's instructions. Glassware such as petri dishes, test tubes, pipettes, conical flasks and bottles were sterilized in a hot air oven at 160° C for 2 hours. Distilled water and liquid media were sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C for 15 minutes at 15 lbs pressure. One ml of Lassi sample was dissolved in 9ml of sterilized distilled water to make 1:10 dilution, one ml of this dilution added to 9ml distilled water and so on to get 1:10<sup>10</sup> dilution. One ml of selected dilution is transferred into a petri dish and sufficient amount [10-15 ml] of respective liquid media was poured into plates. After proper solidification of the culture media, the plates were inverted and were incubated at 37°C for 24 to 48 hours, except for Yeast and Mould plates which were incubated at 25°C for 3-5 days. After the incubation period, the plates were observed for typical colonies of each microorganism and colonies were counted with the help of colony counter. The results were recorded as CFU/ml. The specific biochemical tests were conducted like gram staining, catalase test, urease test, sugar fermentation test, oxidase test etc. for confirming specific microorganism.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Organoleptic Evaluation

The sensory score of Lassi samples from different sources were presented in Table I.

The flavour score of the Lassi samples was high (8.71) for the samples from organized, least (7.36) with hawkers and in between for semi organized samples. The flavour score of 8.5 reported [45] was almost similar to flavour score observed in the present study for organized sector samples, whereas slightly less score was reported[29], [13], [40]. Very low score of 7.6 for organized samples was also reported [36]. The flavour score of 8.38 reported [21], was slightly higher than the score reported in

the present study for semi organized samples, slightly less of 8.05 [40], whereas low score of 7.27 was also reported [10]. A flavour score of 7.5 [5] and 7.5 [28] reported was almost similar to the flavour of observed in the present study for Hawkers whereas slightly less scores of 7.25 [30] and 7.2 [12] was also reported.

TABLE I  
SENSORY SCORES OF LASSI SAMPLES FROM DIFFERENT SOURCES

Characteristics	Organised sector	Semi organised sector	Hawkers
Flavour	8.71	8.24	7.36
Body and Texture	8.49	8.38	7.53
Acidity	8.19	8.09	7.60
Colour and Appearance	8.41	8.29	8.11
Overall Acceptability	8.45	8.23	7.65

The body and texture score of lassi samples was 8.49, 8.38, and 7.53 for organised, semi organised, and hawkers respectively in the present study. Body and texture score of lassi samples of 8.25 [45] was slightly less than the score in the present study for organised sector, where as low scores of 8.03, 8.19 and 8.2 for organised sector samples was reported [36], [29], [21] from different places. The body and texture score of 8.3 in the present study for semi organised sector samples was almost similar to the score of 8.22 [12], whereas low scores of 8.1 and 7.99 were reported [13], [28]. A score of 7.5 observed in the present study for hawkers samples was less than score of 7.73 [35] and more than the scores of 7.38 [12] and 7.14 [10]. The body and texture of lassi varies from demand, as some consumer prefer viscous body while others like thin body, majority prefer medium bodies lassi [29].

The acidity score of lassi samples from organised, semi organised and hawkers in this study were 8.19, 8.09 and 7.60 respectively. The highest acidity score was observed in the samples from organised sector, which was almost similar to the acidity score reported [35]. The least acidity score was observed in the samples from hawkers (7.60), which was in close agreement with other findings of 7.2 [10], [12].

The colour and appearance scores of lassi samples in the present study from organised, semi

organised and hawkers sources were 8.41, 8.29 and 8.11 respectively. The colour and appearance score was highest in the samples from organised sector (8.41), which was almost similar to the score of 8.44 [13], but more than the scores of 8.00 [45] and 8.3 [40] reported. The colour and appearance score for the samples of semi organised sector in the present study was 8.29, which was in agreement with the score of 8.25 [21], but higher than the scores of 8.02 [29], 7.2 [41] and 7.3 [2] reported. The scores of 7.46 [28], 7.53 [19] and 7.56 [12] reported were lower than the score obtained in the present study (8.11) for samples collected from hawkers, whereas the score of 8.00 reported [35] was in close agreement with the present study.

The overall acceptability scores of Lassi samples are highest for the organised sector samples (8.45), least for the hawkers samples (7.65), and in between for the semi organised samples (8.23). The score of 8.45 observed in the present study from organised sector was almost similar to the score of 8.5 [45] whereas it is more than the scores of 8.29 [29] and 8.30 [13] reported. The scores of 7.39 [34] reported was almost similar to the score of hawker sample in the present study. The scores of 7.1 [46], 7.33 [21], 7.29 [10] and 7.10 [3] reported were lower than the overall acceptability score of Hawker samples in the present study. A score of 8.25 [5],[36] was almost similar to the score of semi organised sector lassi samples observed in the present study, whereas lower score of 8.01 [35] was also reported.

#### B. Physicochemical Quality

The physicochemical properties scores for various lassi samples were presented in Table II.

All the acidity values of Lassi samples from organised, semi organised and hawkers samples in the present study were 0.81, 0.79 and 0.83 (% LA) respectively. The acidity values of the lassi samples in the present study were differing slightly. The acidity values of Lassi samples from organised sector was similar to the values reported earlier [29], [15], [40] whereas slightly higher values were reported [46]. The acidity value of 0.84% LA reported [21] was higher than the acidity value observed in Hawkens samples in the present study.

Very less acidity values of 0.70% [26], [4], 0.73% [8], 0.74% [4], 0.75% [23] and 0.65% [14] were reported. Very high acidity value of 0.95% [13], 0.98% [39] and 1.02% [12] were also reported.

TABLE III  
PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES SCORES OF LASSI SAMPLES FROM DIFFERENT SOURCES

Source	Acidity	pH	Free Fatty Acids (µeq/g)
Organised	0.81	4.05	0.150
Semi organised	0.79	3.99	0.180
Hawkers	0.83	4.10	0.210

The pH value of lassi sample was 4.05 for organised sector, semi organised sector, 3.99 for semi organised sector and 4.10 for hawkers samples in the present study. The pH value of 3.99 observed for semi organised sector samples in the present study was similar to the pH value observed [29]. A pH value of 4.01 [13] and 4.1 [12] reported were almost similar to the pH value observed for the organised sector samples in the present study. Higher pH values of 4.18 [5], 4.2 [1], 4.21 [10], 4.24 [24], 4.3 [4], 4.31 [12] and 4.36 [40] were observed for market samples from different places.

The free fatty acid values of organised, semi organised and hawker samples in the present study were 0.150, 0.180 and 0.210 respectively. The free fatty acid contents observed in the samples from three sources were higher than the values reported from different places [43].

**C. Microbiological Quality Evaluation**

The SPC, LAB, Coliform and yeast and mould counts of Lassi samples from different sources were presented in table III.

The Standard plate count was less ( $7.01 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml) in lassi samples collected from organised sector, higher ( $9.00 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml) from semi organised sector and highest ( $9.71 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml) from Hawkercs in the present study. The counts in the present study from organised sectors was almost similar to the counts of  $7.92 \times 10^7$  [41] reported, whereas lower counts of  $6.35 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml reported [46]. The standard plate counts of  $8.50 \times 10^7$  [29] was reported from Kolhapur, which was less than the counts from semi organised sector in the present study. A range of

$14.95 \times 10^7$  to  $16.32 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml [35] reported in the samples from road side sellers was very high than the counts observed in the present study from hawkers. Very low counts of  $13.2 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml reported from organised sector samples collected from Rahuri [34].

TABLE III  
MICROBIOLOGICAL QUALITY EVALUATION (CFU/ML) OF LASSI SAMPLES FROM DIFFERENT SOURCES

Sector	SPC	LAB count	Coliform	Yeast and mould
Organized	$7.01 \times 10^7$	$5.68 \times 10^5$	$8.10 \times 10^1$	$4.50 \times 10^2$
Semi organized	$9.00 \times 10^7$	$6.12 \times 10^5$	$9.50 \times 10^1$	$6.80 \times 10^2$
Hawkers	$9.71 \times 10^7$	$7.28 \times 10^5$	$2.58 \times 10^2$	$2.81 \times 10^3$

The LAB counts were  $5.68 \times 10^5$ ,  $6.12 \times 10^5$  and  $7.28 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml from organized, semi organized and hawkers samples respectively in the present study. The counts of  $5.68 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml observed in the samples from organised sector in the present study was almost similar to the counts of  $5.21 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml reported from Kolhapur city [29]. A count of  $4.33 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml reported [12] was higher than the counts ( $6.12 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml) observed for semi organised sector samples in the present study. Very low counts of  $1.2 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml was reported [8] in the market samples.

The Coliform counts were less ( $8.1 \times 10^1$  CFU/ml) in Lassi samples collected from organized sector, higher ( $2.58 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml) in sample from hawkers and in moderate ( $9.5 \times 10^1$  CFU/ml) in the samples from semi organized sector. The coliform count of  $2 \times 10^1$  CFU/ml [25] reported from organised sector was less than the counts ( $8.1 \times 10^1$  CFU/ml) observed in the samples from organised sector in the present study. The coliform count in the samples from semi organised sector in the present study was less than the counts of  $14.67 \times 10^1$  CFU/ml [29] reported in lassi samples from Kolhapur city. Very low count of 4.00 CFU/ml [34] was reported in the market samples. Very high counts of  $6.00 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml [35] and  $6.20 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml [7] were reported in the lassi samples from street vendors compared to counts of  $2.58 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml observed in hawkers samples in the present study.

The Yeast and Mould count was low ( $4.5 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml) in Lassi samples collected from organised sector, moderate ( $6.8 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml) in the samples



from semi organised sector and high ( $2.81 \times 10^3$  CFU/ml) in the samples from Hawkers. A count of  $2.15 \times 10^2$  CFU/ml reported in samples from Kolhapur city [29] was less than the count observed in the samples from organised sector in the present study. Very low count of 10 CFU/ml [42] in the samples from organised sector was also reported. The yeast and mould count of  $1.156 \times 10^3$  CFU/ml reported [2] was higher than the counts observed in semi organised sector samples in the present study. The yeast and mould counts of  $9.20 \times 10^6$  CFU/ml [31], [36] and [41],  $9.00 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml [35],  $8.92 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml [7] and  $9.27 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml [28] reported from hawkers samples were higher than the counts from hawkers samples in the present study.

The incidence of pathogens in Lassi samples from different sources was presented in the table IV.

TABLE IIIV  
INCIDENCE OF PATHOGENS OF LASSI SAMPLES FROM DIFFERENT SOURCES

Pathogens	Organised	Semi organised	Hawkers
<i>Salmonella</i>	nil	4(16)	9(36)
<i>Staphylococcus</i>	7(28)	9(36)	14(56)
<i>E.coli</i>	9(36)	11(44)	18(72)
<i>Listeria</i>	2(8)	4(16)	8(32)
<i>Shigella</i>	5(20)	7(28)	10(40)

An incidence of nil, 16% and 36% for *Salmonella*, 28%, 36% and 56% for *Staphylococcus*, 36%, 44% and 72% for *E.coli*, 8%, 16% and 32% for *Listeria* and 20%, 28% and 40% for *Shigella* in the lassi samples from organized, semi organized and hawkers lassi samples respectively was observed in the present study. All Lassi samples tested were *Salmonella* free [29],[26]. Low incidence of *Salmonella* was reported [32] in Nigeria. *E.coli* was not detected in Lassi samples [21].

High incidence of *E.coli* was reported [43] in the samples from Hyderabad. *Staphylococcus* incidence was 100% [43] in hawker samples which was very high than the present study (56%). The incidence (20%) of *Listeria* observed in unbranded samples [37] was less than the incidence (32%) in the present study from hawker samples.

The counts of pathogens in the lassi samples from different sources were presented in table V.

A count of nil,  $0.25 \times 10^1$  and  $3.5 \times 10^1$  for *Salmonella*,  $1.21 \times 10^2$ ,  $3.2 \times 10^2$  and  $9.6 \times 10^2$  for *Staphylococcus*,  $1.5 \times 10^1$ ,  $3.5 \times 10^1$  and  $8.5 \times 10^2$  for *E.coli*,  $0.5 \times 10^1$ ,  $0.7 \times 10^1$  and  $1.5 \times 10^1$  for *Listeria* and  $1.38 \times 10^1$ ,  $1.52 \times 10^1$  and  $2.58 \times 10^2$  for *Shigella* was observed in 25 samples each from organised, semi organised and hawkers samples respectively in the present study.

TABLE V  
THE COUNTS OF PATHOGENS (CFU/ML) OF LASSI SAMPLES FROM DIFFERENT SOURCES

Pathogens	Organised	Semi organised	Hawkers
<i>Salmonella</i>	nil	$0.25 \times 10^1$	$3.5 \times 10^1$
<i>Staphylococcus</i>	$1.21 \times 10^2$	$3.2 \times 10^2$	$9.6 \times 10^2$
<i>E.coli</i>	$1.5 \times 10^1$	$3.5 \times 10^1$	$8.51 \times 10^2$
<i>Listeria</i>	$0.5 \times 10^1$	$0.7 \times 10^1$	$1.50 \times 10^1$
<i>Shigella</i>	$1.38 \times 10^1$	$1.52 \times 10^1$	$2.58 \times 10^2$

The counts of *Salmonella* (4.2 – 8.8) reported [43] were almost similar to the counts observed in the present study from semi organised and hawker samples. Higher counts of log<sub>10</sub> 3.9 CFU/ml [16] were observed in Egypt in the organised sector samples, whereas no *Salmonella* was detected in the present study. Higher counts of *Staphylococcus* of  $8.58 \times 10^2$  to  $3.52 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml were reported [43] compared to similar samples in the present study.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

The Organoleptic quality was high in organised sector, less in hawkers and moderate in semi organised sector and the microbiological incidence and counts were high in Hawkers, least in organised sector and in between in semi organised sector in the present study.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal S and Prasad R. (2013).Effect of Stabilizer on Sensory Characteristics and Microbial Analysis of Low-fat Frozen Yoghurt Incorporated with Carrot Pulp. *Int. J Agril. and Food Science Tech.*4(8):797-806.
- [2] Ali MY, Islam MA, Alam MJ, Islam MJ (2002) Quality of Yoghurt (Dahi) made in laboratory and available in the market of Mymensingh town in Bangladesh. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science* 5 (3): 343-345.
- [3] Anita G Kakde, Jayashree D Shingare and Santosh P Shinde(2019).Studies of sensory evaluation of Lassi prepared with optimized level of wheat grass (*Triticum aestivum*). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 8(10): 30-33.
- [4] Bagal SG, Chavan KD, and Kulkarni MB.(2007)*J. Dairying, Foods and H.S.* 26(2):80-84.

- [5] Bhoir KR, Gubbawar SG, Shelke RR, Nage SP, Sakate SB (2012) Physicochemical properties of lassi sold in Akola market. *Research Journal of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science* 3(1):17-20.
- [6] Chauhan AK, Singh RP, Patil VS (2013) Development of nutritionally enriched dairy based fermented foods using guava. *Indian Dairyman* 65 (4): 170-173.
- [7] Dave, R.I. 1991. "Standardizing conditions for pilot scale production and storage of buffalo milk Dahi using selected strains of *Streptococcus thermophilus*," M.Sc. Thesis submitted to Gujarat Agril. University, Anand.
- [8] Deshmukh YD, Bajaj S, Shirfule AL, Beedkar S (2013) Status of lactic acid bacteria in lassi. *Journal of Chemical, Biological and Physical Science* 3(2):1315-1319
- [9] Deeth, H.C. and Fitz-Gerald, C.H. 1976. Lipolysis in dairy products: A review. *Aust. J. Dairy Technol.*, 31: 53-59.
- [10] Gavhane. M. S, Desale. R. J & Ghule B. K(2015). Studies on the effect of chemical and sensory aspect of papaya lassi. *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research* 5(3): 55-60.
- [11] George V, Arora S, Sharma V, Wadhwa BK, Singh AK (2010) Stability, physico-chemical, microbial and sensory properties of sweetener/ sweetener blends in lassi during storage. *Food and Bioprocess Technology* 5 (1): 323-330.
- [12] Ghule BK, Desale RJ, Gavhane MS and Khore MC (2015) Preparation of strawberry lassi. *Research Journal of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science* 6 (1): 22-26.
- [13] Ghule BK, Munde BB, Gavhane MS and Patange DD (2016). Assessment of Physico-Chemical and Sensory Qualities of Lassi sold in Kolhapur city. *International Journal of Tropical Agriculture © Serials Publications*, ISSN: 0254-8755, 34(6):1663-1671.
- [14] Gupta A, Ghatak PK, Ray PR, Bandopadhyay AK (2014) Effect of addition of carrot juice and stabilizer on the acceptability of lassi. *Beverage and Food World* 41(7): 32-33.
- [15] Handa S and Sharma N (2016) Evaluation of Health Benefits of Lassi (Buttermilk): A Traditional Non Alcoholic Beverage of Northern India. *Journal of Innovative Biology* 3(3): 297-301.
- [16] Ibrahim, F. A. (2007). GROWTH AND SURVIVAL OF *E. coli* AND *S. Typhimurium* IN CULTURED BUTTERMILK. *Journal of Food and Dairy Sciences*, 32(7): 5455-5464.
- [17] Indian Standards (1971) IS:6273part-II. Guide for sensory evaluation of foods. Methods and evaluation cards, Indian standards Institution, Manak Bhavan, New Delhi, India 1971.
- [18] Indian Standards (1961) IS:1479 part-II. Method of test for dairy industry: Chemical analysis of milk. Indian Standard Institution, Manak Bhavan, New Delhi, India 1961.
- [19] Indian Standards(1969). IS:5401. Methods for Detection and Estimation of Coliform Bacteria in food stuffs.
- [20] Indian Standards (1969) IS:5403 Methods for yeast and mould count in food stuffs. Indian Standards Institute, Manak Bhavan, New Delhi.
- [21] Kedaree VC, SD Nalkar and MB Deshmukh(2021). Preparation of lassi blended with kiwi (*Actinidia deliciosa*) Pulp. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2021; 10(2): 768-771.
- [22] Khan K, Rehman SU, Khan MA, Anwar F, Bhadar S (2008) Physical and chemical quality appraisal of commercial yoghurt brands sold at Lahore. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science* 3(3):14-21.
- [23] Kumar A and Tiwari BD (2004) Development of whey based-fermented milk beverage. *Journal of Dairying Foods & Home Sciences* 23 (3&4): 236-239.
- [24] Kumar MHS, 2004. "Development of lassi-like beverage using rennet whey," M. Tech. Thesis, National Dairy Research Institute (Deemed University), Karnal, India.
- [25] Kumar R and Prasad P (2010) Detection of *E.coli* and *Staphylococcus* in Milk and Milk Products in and around Pantnagar. *Veterinary World* 3(11):495-496.
- [26] Kumbhar, S. B., Ghosh, J. S., & Samudre, S. P. (2009). Microbiological analysis of pathogenic organisms in indigenous fermented milk products. *Adv J Food Sci Technol*, 1(1), 35-38.
- [27] Machewad GM, Phalke M (2014) A review on flavoured lassi from soyabean and buttermilk. *Beverage and Food World* 41 (7): 34-36.
- [28] Manvesh Kumar Sihag , Karuna Meghwal and Ankit Goyal(2019). Development of Functional Lassi (Stirred Indian Yoghurt) Supplemented with Beetroot (*Beta vulgaris*) Juice. *Intl. J. Ferment. Food.* 8(2): 85-88
- [29] Munde BB, Patange DD, Shendurse AM, Kamble DK and Sawale PD (2017). Quality evaluation of Lassi sold in Kolhapur city. *Indian J Dairy Sci* 70(5):541-547.
- [30] Nair K, Thompkinsan DK (2007) Selection of functional ingredients for directly acidified whey-based lassi beverage. *Journal of Food Science and Technology* 44(5): 521-523.
- [31] Obande GA, Azua ET (2013) Extent of microbial contamination of Nono, fresh cow milk and yoghurt sold in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. *Journal of Microbial Biotech Research* 3(3):6-14.
- [32] Okonkwo OI (2011). Microbiological analysis and safety evaluation ognono : a fermented milk product consumed in most parts of northern Nigeria. *International J. Dairy Sci.*10:3923:1-6.
- [33] Padghan PV, Bimlesh Mann, Rajeshkumar, Rajan Sharma & Anil Kumar (2015). Studies on bio-functional activity of traditional Lassi. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge* 1(1): 124-131.
- [34] Paradhi, R. J Desale and K. D. Chavan(2015). Quality Assessment of Lassi Blended with Finger Millet (*Eleusine caracana*) Flour. *J. Agric. Res. Technol.*, 40 (2):322-329.
- [35] Pawar BK, Chauri RM, Choudhari DM and Kamble DK (2010). Effect of Nisin on shelf life of lassi. *J Dairying, Foods & H.S.* 29 (2): 79-85.
- [36] Phadatar AA, 2009, "Evaluation of quality of branded lassi sold in Ahmednagar market," M.Sc. (Agri) thesis submitted to Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri (M.S.).
- [37] Poojitha, T, Saisridivya, C, Akshayareddy, B and Krishnaiah, N. (2024) Studies on organoleptic and microbiological quality of Shrikhand sold in and around Hyderabad. *International J. Scientific Res. And Engineering Development.* 7(1): 350-355.
- [38] Rao, AV, 2003, "Selected technological parameters for manufacture of Chhas," M.Sc. thesis submitted to Anand Agricultural University.
- [39] Sanjay R Hingmire, Arvind F Lembhe, Prabhakar N Zanjad, Vithal D Pawar and Girish M Machewad (2018). Production and quality evaluation of instant lassi. *International Journal of Dairy Technology* 62:80-84
- [40] Sayyad SS, Patil BD and Dhumal VS (2022). Evaluation of physico-chemical properties of Lassi prepared with optimized level of Tulsi or Basil (*Ocimum sanctum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 11(1): 285-288
- [41] Shekh AL, Wadud Islam MA, Rahman SME, Sarkar MM, Ding T, Chol JH, Deog HO (2009) Studies on the quality of market dahi compared to laboratory made dahi. *Journal of Food Safety* 24 (4): 318-323.
- [42] Shuwu, Ranganna, Suresh and Veena (2011). Development of Value Added Lassi using Honey. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, 45(4) : 757-763.
- [43] Vennela, B, Himabindu, CH, Suman, P, and Krishnaiah, N. (2024). Sensory and Microbiological Quality of Buttermilk Sold in and around Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation. *International J. Scientific Res. And Engineering Development.* 7(1): 411-417.
- [44] Vrinda PR, Praveen AR and Soumyashree TC(2023). Development of Functional Lassi. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2023; SP-12(10): 439-441.
- [45] Washimbe DV, Patil RA, Patange SB and Kapkar RV(2020). Studies on sensory analysis of low fat muskmelon lassi. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 9(5): 359-361
- [46] Younus S, Masud T, Aziz T (2002) Quality Evaluation of Market Yoghurt/ Dahi. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 1(5): 226-230.