Available at www.ijsred.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

# A Study on Quality of Work Life in Maria Marketing Corporation

Arun Visvam S\*, Muthumani S\*\*, Bethel Erastus-Obilo\*\*\*

# Abstract:

Quality of Work Life among employees in the IT INDUSTRY. The sample size taken to conduct the research is **109 employees.** The quantitative phase involves distributing structured **questionnaires** to a diverse sample of employees across various departments. Secondary data was collected from earlier research work and various published journals. Simple Percentage is the tool used for data analysis such as **chi-square, ANOVA, and Regression**. The outcome of this research could inform the factors affecting the quality of work life, the impact of stress on the quality of the work life of employees, and the **level of employee satisfaction** in the organization.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Quality of work life (QWL) can be defined as the extent to which an employee is satisfied with personal and working needs through participating in the workplace while achieving the goals of the organization. A working environment is a place in which one works. It is a social and professional environment in which employees are supposed to interact with several people, and have to work with co-ordination in one or the other way. Safe and healthy working conditions ensure good health, continuity of services, and decreased bad labormanagement relations. A healthy worker registers high productivity.

### II. METHODOLOGY

**Research Methodology** is the systematic way to solve the research problem it gives an idea of various steps systematically and objectively adopted by the researcher.

**Research design:** Research design is a plan, structure, and strategy of investigations to obtain answers to the research questions.

**Descriptive Research**: Descriptive research is defined as a research method that describes the characteristics of the population or phenomenon

# III. MODELING AND ANALYSIS

### TABLE NO 1

2. AGE					
					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	20 - 25 YEARS	61	45.2	56.5	56.5
	26 - 30 YEARS	27	20.0	25.0	81.5
	31 - 35 YEARS	14	10.4	13.0	94.4
	36 - 40 YEARS	4	3.0	3.7	98.1
	ABOVE 40 YEARS	2	1.5	1.9	100.0
	Total	108	80.0	100.0	
Missing	System	27	20.0		
Total		135	100.0		

**INFERENCE:** From the above table it is interpreted that 56.48%, 25.00%,12.96%, 1.85%, and 1.85% of the employees are 20-25 years,26-30 years,31-35 years, 36-40 Years and Above 40 years respectively

### Available at www.ijsred.com

#### TABLE 2

5. WORK EXPERIENCE					
					Cumulative
	-	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	BELOW 1 YEAR	36	26.7	33.3	33.3
	2 - 5 YEARS	51	37.8	47.2	80.6
	6 - 10 YEARS	17	12.6	15.7	96.3
	11 - 15 YEARS	4	3.0	3.7	100.0
	Total	108	80.0	100.0	
Missing	System	27	20.0		
Total		135	100.0		

**INFERENCE:** From the above table it is interpreted that 47.22%, 33.33%, 15.74%, and 3.70% of the employees have 2-5 years, below 1 year, 6-10 years, and 11-15 years of work experience respectively.

#### **TABLE NO 3**

11. THE JOB REQUIRES YOU TO EXTEND YOUR WORKING HOURS FREQUENTLY					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valiđ	YES	52	38.5	48.1	48.1
	NO	28	20.7	25.9	74.1
	MAYBE SOMETIMES	28	20.7	25.9	100.0
	Total	108	80.0	100.0	
Missing	System	27	20.0		
Total		135	100.0		

**INFERENCE:** From the above table it is interpreted that 48.15%, 25.93%, and 25.93% of the employees said yes, no, and maybe sometimes the job requires them to extend their working hours frequently respectively.

#### **REGRESSION:**

		Coeff	icients*			
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	2.173	.352		6.181	.000
	15. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH THE COMPANY AS A GOOD PLACE TO WORK	.002	.103	.002	.018	.986
	17. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH THE OPPORTUNITY GIVEN BY YOUR COMPANY TO LEARN	.298	.108	.280	2.759	.007
	18. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU TO GET ALONG WITH YOUR COLLEAGUES	.167	.106	.157	1.581	.117

a. Dependent Variable: 19. OVERALL HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WORKING FOR THE COMPANY

#### **CHI-SQUARE**

Test Statistics					
		13. THERE IS A RIGIDITY IN THE			
		WORKING SCHEDULE, WHICH MAKES			
		IT DIFFICULT TO BALANCE YOUR			
	3. GENDER	FAMILY AND WORK-RELATED NEEDS			
Chi-Square	17.926*	44.685			
₫ <b>,</b>	1	4			
Asymp. Sig.	.000	.000.			
a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 54.0.					
b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 21.6.					

#### ANOVA



### **IV. RESULTS**

#### **CHI-SQUARE:** The calculated significant value of .000 is less than 0.05(0.05<0.000). Hence H0 is rejected and H1 is accepted. Therefore, **Gender is associated with rigidity in the workplace.**

#### **REGRESSION:**

The calculated significant value of .000 is less than 0.05(0.05<0.000). Hence H0 is rejected and H1 is accepted. There is no satisfaction of the employees concerning to the work of their overall company.

#### ANOVA:

The calculated significant value of 0.444 is greater than 0.05. (0.444>0.05) Hence H0 is accepted and H1 is rejected. There is no significant difference between the age and stress involved in the workplace.

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

Upon discussing with the employees of IT INDUSTRY and analyzing the different factors contributing to the quality of work life of employees it became clear that the employees are satisfied but expect an improvement in their career which included the improvement in designation along with financial upgrade.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank, Dr. M. MALA, M.A, M. Phil., and CEO, of Jerusalem College of Engineering for the sustained support in providing all the resources required to complete the project.

I would like to extend my thanks to Dr. RAMESH.S, MTech, Ph.D., Principal, Jerusalem College of Engineering for his support in providing all resources to complete the project.

International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development--- Volume 7 Issue 2, Mar-Apr 2024

Available at www.ijsred.com

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Dr. S. MUTHUMANI, MCS, MBA, M.Phil., Ph.D., Head of the Department, Department of Management Studies, Jerusalem College of Engineering Chennai for his moral support and continuous encouragement.

I would like to thank Mr. Anwar senior HR member of (MARIA MARKETING CORPORATION) for their guidance and encouragement throughout my project report. I am grateful to my friends who have rendered their helping hands to do this project report successfully.

I also express my sincere gratitude to my parents for their moral support and financial help who were responsible for my stand at this point. other contributors for developing and maintaining the IEEE LaTeX style files that have been used in the preparation of this template.

### REFERENCES

- Kothari C.R. Research Methodology Methods and Techniques, New Age International (p) ltd., publishers, New Delhi, Second Edition,2008.
- Prashanth L.M Human Resource Management< sultan Chand and Sons Publishers, New Delhi, Thirty-Fourth Edition,2005.

Awathappa. K, Human Resource and Personal Management, Tata McGraw Hill, New Delhi, 1999.

## WEBSITE REFERRED

- •www.managementpradise.com
- •www.wikipedia.com
- •www.hr-guide.com
- www.chrmglobal.com
- •www.citehr.com
- •www.tradeget.com
- •www.ibef.org
- •www.businessmanagementideas.com
- www.iosjournals.com