RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Ethics in Social Marketing

Dr. Siddharth Kashyap

Abstract

The present paper exhibits the importance of ethics in relation with social marketing. 'Social Marketing' as concept and disciple is an ever-growing field. Over the years consistent vicissitudes have taken place in the field of social marketing and some of these changes need to be examined over time. 'Ethics' being one such significantcontemplation in the field of social marketing has resulted in a lot of impact on the latter. Therefore, this paper is an addition towards the existing pool of literature on this subject and also an effort towards analysing the past and present of social marketing in relation with ethics.

Keywords: *Marketing, Ethics, Social Marketing*

Introduction

The obstacles that marketers confront while dealing with public sector organisations are among the most critical and fascinating topics in marketing today. As a result, it should come as no surprise that social marketing is becoming more recognised as a significant industry. An editorial by Alan Andreasen was once the shortest one-page editorial that was ever published in the field of Applied Marketing, which deals with subjects such as social marketing ethics and ethics. Marketers in successful organisations have a restricted ability to engage in ethical reasons because of their position in the organisation. The ultimate goal is to generate profit for investors, and ethical considerations may influence the methods by which this is accomplished (Andreasen, 1995).

They differ from other marketers in that they are classed; as a result, they have ethical aims that encourage them to assess if the outcomes of their efforts are compatible with the resources that are currently available to them. Therefore, many marketers are unaware of the critically important ethical components of social marketing, despite the fact that it is widely acknowledged that marketers must define what social marketing is and distinguish between the many different types of social marketing that exist from commercial marketing, among other things (Andreasen, 1995).

The idea that social marketing and ethical behaviour are diametrically opposed to one another is generally accepted in society. Specifically, subjective thoughts and statements about social marketing that refer to social marketing as an instrument for improving the well-being of individuals and society, as opposed to referring to social marketing as a tool used by social marketers and their managers, are responsible for the belief that social marketing is ethical. Social marketing has the potential to be either beneficial or extremely detrimental to a particular target group or culture. Unsolicited adverts that appear on our gadgets (and inundate us with irrelevant offers) often lead us to become disoriented. For the reason that advertisements come from two sources: one is genuine marketing that satisfies our wants, and the other is based on exploiting marketing relationships in order to promote product sales (Andreasan, 1994).

It is quite difficult to determine what is morally proper in the field of social marketing. People believe that it is difficult to be right and/or decent in all situations at the same time. While dealing with sensitive problems, such as negotiating behaviours that differ, or when seeing a group of people with varying ethical standards seek to debate on a subject, you may feel conflicted. In addition, remember that when you want to smoke, it is your right to do so; but, the right of others around you to be free of second-hand smoke is their right as well (Shaw and Jones, 2005).

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

A marketing strategy that, in contrast to other marketing strategies, makes use of marketing conventions or practises that are founded on ethical defilements that have been committed in the conventional marketing business, as opposed to other marketing approaches. A marketing ethics framework is a collection of societal values, principles, and beliefs to which marketers adhere. It is comprised of the following: Marketing ethics, according to Ural (2003), is predicated on three separate evaluations: societal values, morality, and beliefs; marketing ethics; and marketing ethics.

According to the current notion of marketing, a company should split the market based on the similarities and variations in their customers' preferences, enabling the company to take use of their competitive advantage by serving the diverse needs of their target market. Market segmentation, on the other hand, may be fraught with moral ambiguity.

Highlighting the various aspects of product and service structures is necessary, including specifics like the accuracy and precision with which marketing statements are made, the rationale for the benefits and drawbacks of a product, customer information about the actual product use, the design of the product packaging, providing a clear description of the product, and simulations.

Enterprise marketing efforts are attempts by a corporation to communicate with its customers. The market concept of the organisation is quite diverse, including everything from marketing materials to assessment and distribution networks. If an unethical sort of selling happens, clients may be deceived into making purchases that they would not have done otherwise. Fear, smacking information, and deception are all outcomes of salespeople failing to adhere to the rules and guidelines.

Ethics and Social Marketing

The ethics of using pain or fear to persuade individuals to alter their behaviour is an important ethical topic for social marketers to take into account. Social marketing initiatives focused on fear and apprehension are often used to influence behaviour via systematic efforts that are centred on fear and apprehension, according to the American Marketing Association. To lessen the likelihood of causing unjustified client anxiety, advertising that generates fear – which is less common among successful marketing methods than it is in social marketing – has been fought against.

Many characteristics that are important to ethical problems have been highlighted by Smith (2000) from the standpoint of social marketing. Using the pretence of a social marketing campaign, the author asserted that it is unethical to hide the fact that one is involved in social marketing promotional activities. Including non-slogans in social marketing initiatives that are related with messaging such as advertising is also unethical. Included in social marketing initiatives that are related with messages such as advertising are non-slogans, which is also against the rules of ethics. Included in social marketing initiatives that are related with messages such as advertising are non-slogans, which is also against the rules of ethics.

It has been said that social marketing's difficulties are due to a general scepticism of traditional marketing, especially advertising. Deliberate lies, illusions, ungenerous behaviour, unsuitable techniques, and belligerence are only a few of the ethical faults of this form of marketing communication. In other cases, it may consist of affirmations that construct and promote social categories in order for people to get things they do not truly need (Shimp, 2003).

As shown by a wide range of issues, many individuals are concerned about the ethicality of social marketing. Aside from the acceptability of social marketing manoeuvres and the use of fear entreaties, there have also been questions expressed about how conflicting demands may be mediated and what evidence it is fair to seek from individuals in order to develop social marketing campaigns, to name a few problems (Murphy& Bloom, 1992).

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

The unquestionable pieces of social advancement perplexity that contribute to the creation of a difficulty relevant to the focal point are those that have to do with a certain demographic option, for example. Marketing professionals must use a variety of techniques to choose target audiences that they can better serve. If this strategy is used to social media material, it has the ability to exclude people who are difficult to reach from the campaign's target audience. When public services make use of common touch points, you may want to address this (Brenkert, 2002).

The ability to distinguish between what is unneeded and what is beneficial is critical when developing social marketing programmes. This may be accomplished by first acquiring certain information. For the procedure to begin, a preliminary permission from the prospective candidate's parents may be required before the applicant may be considered. If the child or adolescent makes it plain that they will not be participating, a moral quandary may arise. Their participation in projects such as social marketing, which may benefit their parents or other professional figures, should not be made to seem like they are being coerced (Moolchan, 2002).

Employees who work in firms where associations are holding meetings to plan social marketing actions have moral misgivings about their jobs. Because of their collaboration with other non-profit organisations, non-profit enterprises are more likely to make sound judgments. The fear of engaging with corporations is understandable; yet, cooperation with non-commercial organisations is less concerning. Even if the corporate sector does not want to get engaged in social marketing, some people may find it difficult to accept this at this point (Hasting, 2007).

The nature of the demands made in the social marketing communication may cause heightened levels of anxiety in the community, as well as increased fear or terror among those who receive them.Those who have responded to prior advertisements with higher levels of education and money seem to be able to react to the persuasive message as well. In addition to being cautious about the employment of fear-mongering tactics, which are particularly effective among less educated parts of target groups, it would seem that research into these groups' attitudes, information needs, and preference for message-setting would be vital (Hasting et al., 2004).

In addition to the reasonable justifications indicated above, there may be further rational justifications for abstaining from utilising fear entreaties. Beyond the significant research and development efforts that have been put out in this field, it has been observed that although short-term efficiency may be obtained, real-world application does not provide the same outcomes. One item that was universally (though not entirely) linked to fear pleas was the occurrence of numerous unexpected outcomes of health-related activities. These included differences of opinion, agony and rebound effects, waves of worry, and desensitisation, among other things. Even more crucially, powerful fear entreaties are more likely to be immoral when the target group does not willingly adopt the celebrated behaviour, or when the celebrated behaviour proves unsuccessful in reducing the seeming intimidation (Snipes et al., 1999).

Social marketing meditations are being employed in a variety of settings, often with a humorous twist. This is due to the fact that humour is largely culturally dependent, and hence the humour that one person considers hilarious may be extremely hurtful to another. Additionally, it may very well have an influence on the material, providing important knowledge without making any obvious changes in the substance. According to a study conducted in Australia, social marketing via the use of amusing messaging on the road may help to decrease speeding. The social marketing message states that young men speed because they have a small penis size, which is supported by the data. That comment was divisive, but it resulted in a significant amount of money being raised. Despite the fact that it is

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

controversial, the press argues that it is one of the most successful efforts ever undertaken (Ramachandran, 2007).

People's perceptions of right and wrong are shaped by their socialisation (i.e., how they learn to behave as they age), and this may have a significant impact on their willingness to follow the rules. The value of culture in creating ethical ideas, on the other hand, is downplayed in the marketing world. According to Social Marketing, fear appeals that are religiously intricate are incompatible with the strategy's use. Having religious beliefs accepted by everyone may not be a suitable objective for all individuals to strive towards. It is almost likely that they will not be effective with some individuals. Information regarding safe sex, for example, may alienate particular religious and cultural groups, and may surprise individuals by presenting material that they did not expect to see (Brenkert, 2002).

When individualistic beliefs that are aligned with societal beliefs that are traditionally followed by the majority of the population are in conflict with collective beliefs that are based on what is considered to be the best way to do things, social marketers give respect to cultural practises that the majority of the population is unaware of while wishing to change them in order to promote health and safety, social marketers are known as social marketers or social activists. Cultural influences may influence how equitable various ethical situations are compared to one another. Affinity for efficient ideas may be felt by certain groups that have beliefs on humility that place a high value on mutual restraint above individual ambitions. While a culture that places a strong focus on individuality may encourage the use of fictitious frameworks (Saeed et al., 2001).

Effective intervention amplification is dependent on obtaining assistance from participants by discussing how to improve their performance and learning about their own learning preferences so that you may educate them in the manner in which they want to learn. Being proactive in preserving peace in the community is critical to the success of intervention efforts. Being unhappy about doing so may result in incursions being viewed as unethical by the target group and as provocative by opponents, resulting in a reduction in the credibility of the counterattacks and a decrease in their effectiveness. In addition, the effectiveness of distinct statement panaches is taken into account. We are aware that Europeans have a negative reaction to commercials or maybe despise them completely (Parry & Stevens, 2001).

Conclusion

The primary objective of social marketing is to improve social welfare, sometimes known as "social good." In social marketing, the goal is to persuade individuals to change their behaviour for the benefit of themselves, their families, their communities, and the rest of the universe. These findings are essential for understanding how individuals and organisations' motivations are moulded, as well as for developing social marketing efforts that aim at influencing and changing people's behaviour. Social marketers have a wide range of tools and approaches at their disposal, many of which are often utilised to promote behaviour change projects.

Because of the uneven research and advancement that has resulted from the application of new social marketing concepts, it is difficult for everyone in the social marketing business who is trying to better human and societal situations to understand what is going on. In the eyes of the public, social marketers have been reduced to awkward and confused people who are unable to deal with serious problems and change the status quo as a collective movement.

It is critical for marketers to behave themselves in accordance with the "rules" that they have established for their profession. Among these "rules" are maxims that have to do with serving the interests of customers. Marketers go about their business in a variety of ways, but it is crucial to remember that assisting the audience in finding things that they are interested in is a critical component of success. To fulfil their responsibilities as social marketers, they must extend the marketing mix to

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

corporate behaviours, readapt inducements, and budgets, which then allows companies to recruit and keep consumers in a variety of ways, each tailored to the company's specific requirements.

References

- Anderson, A. (1994). Social marketing: Its definition and Domain. Journal of public policy and marketing, 13, 108-114.
- Anderson, A.R. (1995). Marketing Social Change: Changing Behaviour to Promote Health, Social Development and the Environment. (Ist ed.). U.K: Jossey -bass.
- Brenkert, G. G. (2002). Ethical challenges of social marketing. Journal of Public Policy & Marketing, 21(1), 14-36.
- Hastings, G. (2007). Social Marketing: Why Should the Devil Have All The Best Tunes? Oxford: Elsevier, Butterworth Heinemann.
- Hastings, G., Stead, M., & Webb, J. (2004). Fear Appeals in Social Marketing Strategic and Ethical Reasons for Concern. Psychology & Marketing, 21(11), 961-986.
- Moolchan, E. T., & Mermelstein, R. (2002). Research on Tobacco Use among Teenagers: Ethical Challenges. Journal of Adolescent Health, 30(6), 409 -417.
- Murphy, P. E., & Bloom, P. N. (1992). Ethical Issues in Social Marketing, in the Public Sector Promoting the Causes of Public & Non-profit Agencies (68-78). Transaction Publishers.
- Parry, J., & Stevens, A. (2001). Prospective Health Impact Assessment: Pitfalls, Problems, and Possible Ways Forward. BMJ, 323 (7322), 1177-1182.
- Ramachandran, A. (2007). Pinkie Ads Slow Down Speedsters. Sydney Morning Herald. Retrieved from http://www.smh.com
- Saeed, M., Ahmed, Z. U., & Mukhtar, S.-M. (2001). International Marketing Ethics from an Islamic Perspective: A Value-Maximization Approach. Journal of Business Ethics, 32(2), 127-142.
- Shaw, E.H. & Jones, D.G.B. (2005). A history of schools of marketing thought. Marketing Theory, 5(3), 239-81.
- Smith, W. (2006). Social marketing: An overview of approach and effect. Injury Prevention, 12, 38-43
- Shimp, T. E. (2003). Advertising, Promotion and Supplemental Aspects of IMC, (6th ed.), Thompson Southwestern.
- Snipes, R. L., LaTour, M. S., & Bliss, S. J. (1999). A Model of the Effects of Self-efficacy on the Perceived Ethicality and Performance of Fear Appeals in Advertising. Journal of Business Ethics, 19(3), 273-285.
- Ural, T. (2003). Business and Marketing Ethics. Ankara: Detay Pub

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved