

The Novel Applications of Fish Protein and Its Derivatives

^{1*}Sheffali Chauhan and ²Anil Kumar Verma

^{1,2}Department of Food Science and Technology, College of Horticulture & Forestry-Neri, Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, India-177001.

*Corresponding author: chauhansheffali17@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The fish processing companies worldwide are very concerned about producing fish proteins to satisfy human nutritional needs. More than 60% of fish by-products are processed to create useful food items in many sectors, including liver, head, skin, and bones, which are the richest source of proteins. Fish proteins have been shown in several studies to lessen the risks of cancer, ageing, diabetes, and cardiovascular disorders. Their functional qualities, such as the ability to retain water and oil, create films, emulsify, solubility, and gel, have enhanced their relevance in the production of functional meals. This review focuses thus on the usage of different types of sources as well as techniques of extraction and functional aspects of fish proteins.

I. INTRODUCTION

The significant nutritional content in fish and marine items is helpful in protein quantities, fats, and important micronutrients. Aquatic foodstuffs are a rich protein source, have lower caloric densities, and have a larger concentration of omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 LC PUFA) than soil-based animals [1]. Many researchers have shown strong connections between fish and seafood and positive health effects, particularly decreasing coronary cardiovascular and coronary heart risk, decreased inflammatory diseases such as arthritis, and cancer prevention [2,3,4 and 5]. The major impacts of fish-eating have historically been attributed to the high n-3 LC PUFA content. However, research is increasingly showing that the health of people is also affected by other components of fish.

Fish and other marine foods also provide a well-balanced composition of amino acid, large amounts of taurine and choline, D3 and B12 vitamins and the mineral calcium, phosphorus and iodine, and selenium, and the primary source n-3 LC PUFA. Potentially, if alternative sources of these nutrients are lacking, fish and shellfish might

also give a major share of Vitamin A, iron, and zinc to a population [5].

II. PROTEINS IN FISH

Fish proteins have a significant nutritional value for a long time [6]. The protein level of aquatic animal meals is higher than most on Earth. Furthermore, aquatic protein is highly digested and high in numerous peptides and important amino acids, restricted to terrestrial animal proteins, such as methionine and lysine, as [1]. However, research has concentrated only in the last decade on the health benefits of fish protein in human diets [4, 7]. Although this study is still underway, investigations have been carried out in almost as many areas as fish lipids, involving inflammation, metabolic syndrome, osteoporosis, insulin resistance, obesity-related comorbidities, and cancer formation. For example, in rats with induced metabolic syndrome the divert protein sardine exhibited lower insulin resistance, leptin, and TNF α , improved hyperglycaemia, and reduced oxidative stress in tissues [8].

As a potential prophylactic against insulin resistance, the scientists proposed eating Sardine protein. In addition, the high composition of amino acids and easily digested proteins makes fish protein hydrolysates regarded as nutritionally superior. However, they have been employed mostly in animal feeding because of the unwanted fishy smell and taste [9, 10]. The tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF α) of fish protein hydrolysates was observed to decrease compared with casein hydrolysates in human macrophages. SAMPELS has synergistic lowered expression (TNF α) levels compared to simply fish protein hydrolysates or n-3 treatment, with the same research the combination of n-3 puffs and fish protein 2 S-K TILAMIA AND S. SAMPELS [4].

The same authors indicated that the increased arginine concentration in fish protein might result in some of the favourable effects of fish protein hydrolysates compared to casein hydrolysates. The generation of superoxide anions by nitric oxide synthase is limited by arginine (iNOS). Furthermore, the increased level of glycine in the hydrolysates of fish protein might be helpful since glycine has demonstrated that TNF α and interleukin-6 (IL6) are expressed in various culture cells [4]. The precise processes are still unknown, but the authors indicated that the peroxisome factor γ (PPAR γ), which is also relevant for lipid metabolism, may be activated. Taurine, which is likewise an amino acid by-product present in fish, might be the third component that has been demonstrated to reduce TNF α , IL6, IL-1 β , and iNOS production [4, 5].

Overall, it appears that these acids and taurine in fish are comparable to those in long-chain n-3 PUFA to anti-inflammatory effects. In addition, some other amino acids, and in particular taurine, may be of significant importance in the positive benefits of fish protein, including sardines, especially oily fish by limiting problems of type 2 diabetes and lowering resistance to glucose, insulin, and insulin [8]. [11] on the other hand, found that in 6 months 100 g of sardine had no impact on glycaemic control but that they had reduced cardiovascular risk 5 days a week for type 2 diabetes in the regular diet. In addition, protein from diverse fish such as bonito, salmon, mackerel,

herring, and cod has anti-inflammatory characteristics and improves insulin sensitivity in rats, as well as salmon and cod proteins [7, 12, 13]. [14] identified cod protein to better enhance the development and regeneration of the skeletal muscles in the aftermath of trauma compared with peanut protein and casein.

Salmon calcitonin has been utilized for more than 30 years for medicinal purposes, 32 amino-acid peptides with blood calcium reduction properties. Calcitonin maintains bone health and has also demonstrated potential for the treatment of osteoarthritis as well as to diminish postmenopausal osteoporosis for metabolite bone disorders such as osteoporosis and Paget's disease [15]. The powers of salmon were 40 to 50 times higher than those of human calcitonin [16]. Recent studies ascribed to the diet of lean fish a reduced risk of metabolic syndrome in adults [17]. [18] reported modest dietary consumption of cod protein already (25 percent), which enhanced lipid metabolism and glucose control in obese mice compared with casein-only diet. For humans, [19] have demonstrated that, as earlier as in a diet without marine foods, 60% of lean-seafood proteins have lowered blood levels of triacylglycerol and avoided rise in the VLDL particulate numbers. The lean meat consumption demonstrated that postprandial concentrations of C-peptide and lactate, as well as TG/HDL cholesterol, were reduced in follow-up research [20].

The scientists found that the dietary effects of 60% lean protein for seafood were long-term insulin resistance, type 2, and cardiovascular disease. In addition, after a lean consumption of fish, [21] showed increased lipid catabolism. An earlier study has demonstrated that fish protein-enhanced insulin sensitivity and hence boosted glucose-store ability as glycogen, following outcomes of type 2 diabetes [7, 22]. These findings demonstrate that both the lipid and protein/peptide composition have a favourable impact on people's health. Many processes have not been thoroughly studied and further investigations are still needed to fully understand the effects of fish proteins and the synergistic effects of combined absorption of fish lipids and protein. In addition, several amines like

sperm and spermidine are extremely important for recent health debates and research into cancer [23, 24]. Since these results are quite early, we make this comment solely.

III. THE GREATEST SOURCE OF ENERGY: FISH MUSCLE PROTEIN

Since ancient times, fish has been a significant food source to humanity across the world. Fish are now widely established to be a source of high quality, balanced, and easily digested protein, vitamins, and polysaturated fats. Fish are precious sources of high-quality protein and other organic compounds. They are the major source of animal protein, and they are widely acknowledged as an excellent supply of protein and other beneficial body factors [25]. In many European nations in recent decades, the eating of fish and fish products is suggested as a method of reducing cardiovascular illnesses and other conditions [26]. Furthermore, these fish have an enormous antimicrobial peptide for the protection of the human pathogen [27]. They play a major part in the country's nourishment, revenues, work, and devices. Fish and shellfish are the main sources of animal protein and are of use in the diet because they give high biological value or a substantial amount (typically 70% or more) or protein of sulfur-containing amino acids [28].

Alongside meat, fish is the sole source of protein that has the correct quantity and so-called complete protein of all necessary amino acids. Fish consumption supplies a wide range of individuals worldwide with key nutrients and contributes significantly to nutrition. The significant sources of macro and micronutrients include small indigenous fish species, which are crucial for providing people with needed nutrients. They supply an important animal protein. It is so important to know the nearest composition of fish in terms of public health to report its nutritional content. The makeup of biochemical components of each organism fluctuates depending on changes in the environment.

IV. THE POWDER OF FISH PROTEIN AS A DIETARY COMPONENT

The growing knowledge of the application of dried fish protein for food fortification and value-added/functional food manufacturing has prompted the food industry to study various techniques of generating fish protein ingredients from different source sources. Fish protein powder (FPP) is a dried, stable, and man-made fish product that contains a higher concentration of proteins than genuine fish meat. Various variables affect the quality and acceptance of FPP. The FPP's fat level is a crucial concern since a pungent and frequently rancid taste is formed when oxidized. Protein FPP content varies on the ingredients; additive amount and moisture content, but at least 65% of the proteins are contained here.

In the food business, FPP is used to generate ready-to-eat, re-structured food items. The FPP keeps its quality at 5 °C for 6 months, however, it quickly loses around 30 °C. A decreasing humidity content and elimination of oxygen from the packet prevent the deterioration of the FPP during storage. The FPP can be used to produce designed ready-to-eat goods as a functional component [29, 30]. Demands for the development of functional foods or ready-to-eat goods for fish protein components, particularly dried fish protein, are increasing worldwide [31]. White meat and low-fat fish are the best species for the development of protein elements for fish [32, 33]. Meanwhile, additional sources of fish protein can be employed to make "dark muscle/underused/low value" protein components, and for fish by-products for human consumption [34].

The quality and properties of fish protein components are largely reliant on the source of the raw materials and the processing processes [34, 35]. This paper presents the processing procedures which were utilized for creating FPP, physicochemical features of such product, and its use in the food business.

V. FISH PROTEIN CONCENTRATE

Protein malnutrition has been attracted worldwide for a long time and a lot of work has previously been put in this domain by nutritionists and others. The manufacture of cheap, nutritious foods may do a great deal to address the problem and liberate millions from a serious condition. Fish specialists say that it is largely because of the availability of nature, cheapness, and nutritional content of fish that is the last response to the burning problem. The most important concern is how to make fish helpful for this purpose. The importance of this issue is reflected in the numerous publications on this topic and the interest displayed by international organizations. Significant amounts of yearly fishery landings in India include inexpensive fish of various varieties which do not find ready consumer reaction. The above fish will make great use of converting them into edible meals in a country like ours, where the problem of protein malnutrition is a very serious issue. Work on the use of excess fish in India has primarily been restricted to fish meal manufacturing.

Work on the foodstuffs was the principal Endeavor to create food from fish [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, and 41]. Another way of extracting solvents, e.g., from the French process, the viobin process, the Canadian process, etc., is not unrestricted. In acetone extraction, remnants of acetone even under reduced pressure are difficult to eliminate. In addition, acetone is less effective in the release of lipids and extracts other than lipids [42]. [43] revealed that Ethylene Cysteine, histidine, and interference with the release of cysteine, histidine, and methionine is destroyed by pancreatic digestion in viobin procedure, which uses the concept of azeotropic extraction with andhylene dichloride Another key approach in preparing an edible fish meal is the technique in Canada which uses isopropyl alcohol for extraction, although even after extensive steam removal under vacuum the meal maintains solvent contamination [44].

VI. WHEAT BREAD FROM FISH FLOUR

In most tropical underdeveloped nations, eating Bread from wheat flour is quite popular [40]. Grain crops provide for roughly 75% of their entire calorie intake and 67% of their entire intake of protein. They are poor nutrient-value foods because they are not sufficient micro and macronutrient sources [45]. Efforts to increase the nutritional value of cereals were centered on legume enhancements in bread, pore, and raspberry products to promote missing amino acids [46]. The quality of protein in cereal legume mixes improves synergetically and has varied organoleptic characteristics and lower digestion than animal proteins due to low plant protein solubility [47]. Fish is a very healthy food regarded for supplying high-quality protein than meat and egg. However, it is one of the most perishable of all the meals owing to an ideal substrate for the development of microbes after death [48]. Solar drying of fish is the method of preservation that improves the shelf life of the products [49]. There are several investigations on the utilization of fish in flour form in bread products.

VII. FISH PROTEIN HYDROLYSATES

One of the most often utilized sources of human protein is fish and marine items. However, a large percentage of fish is best employed for the creation of cheap by-products, such as fish meal, fish oil, or feed, or simply for wasting unwanted resources. At the same time, an increasing number of fisheries and subsequently fishing trash require effective solutions for their use, because they represent a well-estimated nutritious supply. The hydrolysates of fish protein are a product created of fish or fish, using the hydrolysis process (protein breaking from which fish tissues are built in smaller pieces—peptides and ultimately amino acids). Therefore, FPH is a fragmented protein combination [50].

There have been reports of enhanced protein-of-source FPH qualities that are enhanced [51, 52]. Bioactive props, such as antioxidants [53, 54, 55]. FPH has also just begun to be utilized for frozen items as cryoprotectants [54]. Two kinds of FPH are made: fluid and dry. FPH Liquid is a

watering combination containing up to 90% humidity of hydrolysed proteins. FPH is very unstable in liquid form for long-term storage and is also challenging to transport. Due to the long service life, facilitated storage, and transport, dried FPH is hence desirable. However, it is a tough and expensive process to remove a large amount of water from liquid FPH at the same time, which is one of the obstacles of dry FPH manufacturing. As a result, FPH has enormous promise as a source of protein for the consumption of humans. However, dehydration requires a great deal of energy and is thus quite costly.

- **Protein hydrolysates from different fish processing –by-products**

Hydrolysates were produced using various waste products generated during the fish processing process, including head, skin, roe, frame waste, and bone. Also, proteins extracted from the waste components may be utilized to that end. Most research was conducted based on the hydrolysis process and its bioactive and functional characteristics. The manufacture of fish protein hydrolysate from the skull of the fish, viscera, roe, skin, frame, and bone has been documented by scientific investigations. Most of the studies focused on antioxidants, and different molecules of antioxidants peptides were isolated and distinguished.

The Protein hydrolysates from different components of fish waste have been thoroughly studied [10]. The fish head is one of the biggest fishing debris that contains gills, eyes, shoulder, and frame of head. Due to its structural complexity, it is difficult to retrieve the protein. By transforming the protein into peptide forms in the enzyme process, it will then promote simple protein recovery. Different species were used to prepare protein hydrolysates from fish head by-product waste. Collagen is the most important protein in the fish head. This means that peptides generated usually have an anti-arthritis and anti-obesity sequence from collagen. Again, fish skin is a major collagen source. Either straight from the skin of the

fish or after isolation of the collagen or gelatin the hydrolysates are produced.

The fish liver is a product that is often used for the manufacturing of oil and meal. Hydrolysates are prepared using protamex, flavour zyme, alcalase, and neutralize employing tuna liver [54, 56]. Potential protein sources include constituting fish viscera, which may be used to make protein hydrolysates as a raw material. Hydrolysate can be predicted to have specific features of the visceral wasted protein. There have been several recent attempts to use fish visceral waste in the synthesis of protein hydrolysates [57]. A significant quantity of protein in fish roe. Protein hydrolysates were made to use this underused protein 48 sources from the fish roe. For example, alkalosis and papain-reported roe protein hydrolysate from *Cirrhinus mrigala* [10]. Another important source of identification for health-promoting components is the fishbone isolated after removing the muscle proteins on the frame. The organic part of the fishbone is composed of collagen and accounts for 30 percent of the substance. Fishbone is therefore regarded as a source of protein hydrolysates, especially collagen peptides and gelatine hydrolysates [58].

- **APPLICATION OF FISH PROTEIN HYDROLYSATES**

1. **Nutritional application**

The proximate composition of hydrolysate protein fish would vary with raw material (head, bone, skin, viscera), process kind, drying type, hydrolysis size, and any other primary pretreatment. The chemical makeup of foodstuffs plays a significant function in the provision of critical nutrients for happy health for people. In the nutritional viewpoint of human health, the chemistry of fish protein hydrolysates is essential. Protein hydrolysates of amino acid composition from various raw materials produced from different enzyme sources in varied circumstances of hydrolysis are predicted to vary. Essential amino acids in FPH with rich levels of glutamic and aspartic acid are often required. There are other non-essential amino acids in FPH. There have been

reports of aromatic amino acids in hydrolysates of the fish frame protein. Studies demonstrate that FPH might be an optimal source of important amino acids from fish meat/fish waste [59].

2. Nutraceutical applications

Fish protein hydrolysates are specially promoted in industrialized nations as health supplements. The health role of these goods is demonstrated to be different from the benefits of nutrition. The presence of protein hydrolysates or peptides in hydrolysates has shown antioxidant, anti-obesity, immunological modulation, antitox, anti-microbial and anti-hypertensive anti-cancer, etc. [60, 61].

3. The functional component fish protein hydrolysates

The hydrolysates of fish protein are soluble in a variety of pHs, appropriate for usage on various goods. The water content, oil binding, emulating, and foaming characteristics of protein hydrolysates have improved. However, hydrolysis is the fundamental component that controls the functional characteristics. Generally, large hydrolyses cause functional loss. The level of Hydrolysis 49 is crucial for the preparation of protein hydrolysates concerning certain functions to be used as a functional input [62, 63].

VIII. Conclusion

The fish processing sector in India produces enormous protein-rich material that is untapped and can be converted into protein hydrolysates. FPH is used in several sectors, ranging from nutraceutical to plant growth-enhancing ingredients, depending on the qualities and the chemical makeup. FPH's new interest as a nutraceutical compound/bioactive peptide mandates that fish processing waste be handled hygienically and preserved properly. The safety, economic viability, and business case of FPH, when manufactured from fishing waste, are not addressed internationally.

REFERENCES

1. Tacon, A. G. J., & Metian, M. (2013). Fish matters: the importance of aquatic foods in human nutrition and global food supply. *Rev. Fisher. Sci.*, 21: 22–38.
2. Dyerberg, J. (1985). Coronary health aspects of fish food lipids. *Voeding*, 46: 388–391.
3. Calder, P. C. (2004). n-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: Evidence explained, and mechanisms explored. *Clin. Sci.*, 107: 1–11.
4. Rudkowska, I., Marcotte, B., Pilon, G., Lavigne, C., Marette, A., & Vohl, M. C. (2010). Fish nutrients decrease expression levels of tumor necrosis factor- α in cultured human macrophages. *Physiol. Genomics*, 40: 189–194.
5. Lund, E. K. (2013). Health benefits of seafood; Is it just the fatty acids? *Food Chem.*, 140: 413–420.
6. Sargent, J. R. (1997). Fish oils and the human diet. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 78: S5–S13.
7. Pilon, G., Ruzzin, J., Rioux, L. E., Lavigne, C., White, P. J., Froyland, L., Jacques, H., Bryl, P., Beaulieu L., & Marette A. (2011). Differential effects of various fish proteins in altering body weight, adiposity, inflammatory status, and insulin sensitivity in high-fat-fed rats. *Metab. - Clin. Exper.*, 60: 1122–1130.
8. Madani, Z., Louchami, K., Sener, A., Malaisse, W. J., & Yahia, D.A. (2012). Dietary sardine protein lowers insulin resistance, leptin, and TNF- α and beneficially affects adipose tissue oxidative stress in rats with fructose-induced metabolic syndrome. *Int. J. Mol. Med.*, 29: 311–318.
9. Kristinsson, H. G., & Rasco, B. A. (2000). Fish protein hydrolysates: Production, biochemical, and functional properties. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 40: 43–81.
10. Chalamaiah, M., Dinesh Kumar, B., Hemalatha, R., & Jyothirmayi, T. (2012). Fish protein hydrolysates: proximate composition, amino acid composition, antioxidant activities, and applications: A review. *Food Chem* 135(4):3020–3038.
11. Balfegó, M., Canivell, S., Hanzu, F.A. *et al* (2016). Effects of sardine-enriched diet on metabolic control, inflammation, and gut microbiota in drug-naïve patients with type 2 diabetes: a pilot randomized trial. *Lipids Health Dis* 15, 78.

12. Lavigne, C., Tremblay F., Asselin G., Jacques H., & Marette A. (2001). Prevention of skeletal muscle insulin resistance by dietary cod protein in high fat-fed rats. *Am. J. Physiol. -Endocrin. Metab.*, 281: E62–E71.
13. Ouellet, V., Marois J., Weisnagel S. J., & Jacques H. (2007). Dietary cod protein improves insulin sensitivity in insulin-resistant men and women. *Diab. Care.*, 30: 2816–2821.
14. Dort, J., Sirois A., Leblanc N., Cote C. H., & Jacques H. (2012). Beneficial effects of cod protein on skeletal muscle repair following injury. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metabol.*, 37: 489–498.
15. Chesnut, C. H., Azria, M., Silverman, S., Engelhardt, M., Olson, M., & Mindeholm, L. (2008). Salmon calcitonin: A review of current and future therapeutic indications. *Osteoporos. Int.*, 19: 479–491.
16. Azria, M., Copp, D. H., & Zanelli, J. M. (1995). 25 Years of salmon calcitonin -from synthesis to therapeutic use. *Calcif. Tissue Int.*, 57: 405–408.
17. Torris, C., Molin, M. & Cvancarova, M.S. (2016). Lean fish consumption is associated with lower risk of metabolic syndrome: a Norwegian cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 16, 347.
18. Drotningvik, A., Mjøs, S., Pampanin, D., Slizyte, R., Carvajal, A., Remman, T., & Gudbrandsen, O. (2016). Dietary fish protein hydrolysates containing bioactive motifs affect serum and adipose tissue fatty acid compositions, serum lipids, postprandial glucose regulation, and growth in obese Zucker fa/fa rats. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 116(8), 1336–1345.
19. Aadland, E. K., Lavigne, C., Graff, I.E., *et al* (2015). Lean-seafood intake reduces cardiovascular lipid risk factors in healthy subjects: results from a randomized controlled trial with a crossover design. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 102:582–592.
20. Aadland, E. K., Graff, I.E., Lavigne, C., *et al* (2016). Lean seafood intake reduces postprandial C-peptide and lactate concentrations in healthy adults in a randomized controlled trial with a crossover design. *J Nutr*. 146:1027–1034.
21. Schmedes, M., Aadland, K., Sundekilde, U. K., Jacques, H., Lavigne, C., Graff, I. E., Eng, O., Holthe, A., Mellgren, G., Young, J. F., Bertram, H. C., Liaset, B., & Clausen, M. R. (2016). Lean-seafood intake decreases urinary markers of mitochondrial lipid and energy metabolism in healthy subjects: Metabolomics results from a randomized crossover intervention study. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.*, 60: 1661–1672.
22. Prester, L. (2011). Biogenic amines in fish, fish products and shellfish: A review. *Food Addit. Contam. A Chem. Anal. Cont. Expos. Risk Assess.*, 28: 1547–1560.
23. Wang, C., Ruan, P., Zhao, Y., Li, X. M., Wang, J., Wu, X. X., Liu, T., Wang, S. S., Hou, J. Z., Li, W., Li, Q., Li, J. G., Dai, F. J., Fang, D., Wang, C. J., & Xie, S. Q. (2017). Spermidine/spermine N-1-acetyltransferase regulates cell growth and metastasis via AKT/beta-catenin signaling pathways in hepatocellular and colorectal carcinoma cells. *Oncotarget*, 8: 1092–1109.
24. Andrew, A. E. (2001). Fish-processing Technology. University of Ilorin press Nigeria, pp.8-7.
25. Cahu, C., Salen, P., Lorgeril, M.D. (2004). Farmed and wild fish in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases: Assessing possible differences in lipid nutritional values, *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*, 14:34-4.
26. Ravichandran, S., Ramasamy, A., & Gobinath, C. (2011). Antimicrobial Peptide from the Epidermal Mucus of Some Estuarine Cat Fishes, *World Applied Sciences Journal* 12 (3): 256-260.
27. Latham, M.C. (1997). Human Nutrition in the Developing World. *FAO* (Food and Agricultural Organization). pp. 508.
28. Shaviklo A. R. (2015). Development of fish protein powder as an ingredient for food applications: a review. *Journal of food science and technology*, 52(2), 648–661.
29. Etemadian, Y., Zarehgashti, G., Valipour, A. R., Rahnema, M., Khodabandeh, F., Fahim, A. (2019). Production of a Semi Ready-to-Eat Shrimp Soup Powder and Assessment of Its Shelf Life. *Nutr Food Sci Res.*, 6 (1) :41-50.
30. Hultin, H. O., Kristinsson, H. G., Lanier, T. C., Park, J. W. (2005). Process for recovery of functional proteins by pH shifts. In: Park JW (ed) *Surimi and surimi sea food*. Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton, pp 107–139.

31. Park, J. W., Lin, J. T. M. (2005). Surimi: manufacturing and evaluation. In: Park JW (ed) Surimi and surimi seafood. CRC Press/Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, pp 33–106.
32. Arason, A., Karlsdottir, M., Valsdottir, T., Slizyte, R., Rustad, T., Falch, E., Eysturskard, J., & Jakobsen, G. (2009). Maximum resource utilisation-value added fish by-products. Nordic innovation centre, Project nr: 04275, pp 35–46.
33. Shaviklo, G.R., Thorkelsson, G., Kristinsson, H. G., Arason, S., & Sveinsdottir, K. (2010). The influence of additives and drying methods on quality attributes of fish protein powder made from saithe (*Pollachius virens*). *J Sci Food Agric* 90:2133–2143.
34. Pillai, V. K. (1956) *Curr. Sci.* 25, 193.
35. Pillai, V. K. (1957) *Res. & Ind.* 6, 265.
36. Bhatia, D. S., Moorjani, M. N., Iyengar, J. R., & Visweswariah, K. (1959). *Fd. Sci.*, 8: 1.
37. Moorjani, M. N., & Lahiry, N. L. (1961). "Symposium on Proteins". *CFTRI*. Mysore.
38. Moorjani, N., R. Balakrishnan Nair, M., Krishnaswamy, A., & Lahiri, N. L., (1962). *Res. & Ind.*, 1: 307.
39. Kadkol, S. B. & Lahiry, N. L. (1962). *J. Sci, Ind. Res.*, 21 D, 386.
40. Revankar, G. D., Khabade, & Suryanarayana Rao, V. S. (1965). *Res. & Ind.*, I 12: 364.
41. Moorjani, M. N. & Lahiry, N. L. (1962). *Reviews in food Sci. and Tech.*, 4, pp. 113-136.
42. Moorison, A. & Munro, I. C. (1965). *Canadian J. Biochem.* 43, 33.
43. Allen, L. E. (1963). *Fising News international*, 2, 1, 106.
44. Sanful, R. E., & Darko, S. (2010). Utilization of soybean flour in the production of bread. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 9(8): 815–818.
45. Brown, K. H. (1991). The importance of dietary quality versus quantity for weanlings in less developed countries: A framework for discussion. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin*, 13(2): 86–94.
46. Osundahunsi, O. F., Fagbemi, T. N., Kesselman, E., & Shimoni, E. (2003). Comparison of the physicochemical properties and pasting characteristics of flour and starch from red and white sweet potato cultivars. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 51(8): 2232–2236.
47. Okeiyi, E. C. (1983). Evaluation of protein quality of formulations of sorghum grain flour and legumes seeds.
48. Ojutiku, R., Kolo, R., & Mohammed, M. (2009). Comparative study of sun drying and solar tent drying of *Hyperopisus bebeoccidentalis*. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 8(7), 955–957.
49. Patterson, J., & Ranjitha, G. (2009). Qualities of commercially and experimentally sun-dried fin fish, *Scomberoides tol*. *African Journal of Food Science*, 3(10): 299–302.
50. Damodaran, S., Parkin, K. L., and Fennema, O. R. (2010). *Química De Alimentos De Fennema* (4th ed.). Artmed, Porto Alegre.
51. He, L., Liu, J., Torres, G. A., Zhang, H. Q., Jiang, J. M., *et al.* (2013). Interstitial telomeric repeats are enriched in the centromeres of chromosomes in *Solanum* species. *Chromosom Res* 21:5–13.
52. Kristinsson, H. G., & Rasco, B. A. (2000). Fish protein hydrolysates: Production, biochemical, and functional properties. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 40: 43–81.
53. Chalamaiah, M., Kumar, B.D., Hemalatha, R., & Jyothirmayi, T. (2012). Fish protein hydrolysates: Proximate composition, amino acid composition, antioxidant activities and applications: A review. *Food Chem.*, 135: 3020–3038.
54. Bahareh, H., Sarmadi & Amin Ismail. (2010). Antioxidative peptides from food proteins: A review, *Peptides*, 31(10):1949-1956.
55. Peter, J., Jenkelunas, Eunice, C.Y. & Li-Chan (2018). Production, and assessment of Pacific hake (*Merluccius productus*) hydrolysates as cryoprotectants for frozen fish mince, *Food Chemistry*, 239: 535-543.
56. Jae-Young Je, Ka-Hwa Lee, Mi Hyun Lee & Chang-Bum Ahn, (2009). Antioxidant and antihypertensive protein hydrolysates produced from tuna liver by enzymatic hydrolysis, *Food Research International*, 42(9):1266-1272.
57. Ahn, C.B., Lee, K.H. & Je, J.Y. (2010). Enzymatic production of bioactive protein hydrolysates from tuna liver: effects of enzymes and molecular weight on bioactivity. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 45: 562-568.
58. Picot, L., Ravallec, R., Fouchereau-Péron, M., Vandanjon, L., Jaouen, P., Chaplain-Derouiniot,

- M., Guérard, F., Chabeaud, A., LeGal, Y., Alvarez, O.M., Bergé, J.P., Piot, J.M., Batista, I., Pires, C., Thorkelsson, G., Delannoy, C., Jakobsen, G., Johansson, I. & Bourseau, P. (2010), Impact of ultrafiltration and nanofiltration of an industrial fish protein hydrolysate on its bioactive properties. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 90: 1819-1826.
59. Chalamaiah, M., Narsing Rao, G., Rao, D.G., & Jyothirmayi, T. (2010). Protein hydrolysates from meriga (*Cirrhinus mrigala*) egg and evaluation of their functional properties, *Food Chemistry*, 120(3): 652-657.
60. Se-Kwon Kim & Eresha Mendis, (2006). Bioactive compounds from marine processing byproducts – A review, *Food Research International*, 39 (4).
61. Elavarasan, K., Naveen Kumar, V. & Shamasundar, B. (2014), Protein Hydrolysates from *Catla* Fish. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 38: 1207-1214.
62. Elavarasan, K., Shamasundar, B. A., Badii, F., Howell, N. (2016). Angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activity and structural properties of oven- and freeze-dried protein hydrolysate from freshwater fish (*Cirrhinus mrigala*). *Food chemistry*, 1; 206:210-6.
63. Gajanan, P. G., Elavarasan, K., Shamasundar, B. A. (2016). Bioactive and functional properties of protein hydrolysates from fish frame processing waste using plant proteases. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23 (24):24901-11.